This is a preview of "AAMI TIR21:2017 (R20...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

Technical Information Report

AAMI TIR21: 2017/(R)2020

Systems used to forecast remaining pacemaker battery service life



This is a preview of "AAMI TIR21:2017 (R20...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

This is a preview of "AAMI TIR21:2017 (R20...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

AAMI Technical Information Report

AAMI TIR21:2017/(R)2020

Systems used to forecast remaining pacemaker battery service life

Approved 11 June 2017 and reaffirmed 17 March 2020 by **AAMI**

Abstract: Discusses clinical expectations for performance of systems used to develop better tools to forecast the remaining battery service life of implantable cardiac pacemakers.

Keywords: battery service life, implants, cardiac pacemakers, pulse generator, labeling

AAMI Technical Information Report

A technical information report (TIR) is a publication of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) Standards Board that addresses a particular aspect of medical technology.

Although the material presented in a TIR may need further evaluation by experts, releasing the information is valuable because the industry and the professions have an immediate need for it.

A TIR differs markedly from a standard or recommended practice, and readers should understand the differences between these documents.

Standards and recommended practices are subject to a formal process of committee approval, public review, and resolution of all comments. This process of consensus is supervised by the AAMI Standards Board and, in the case of American National Standards, the American National Standards Institute.

A TIR is not subject to the same formal approval process as a standard. However, a TIR is approved for distribution by a technical committee and the AAMI Standards Board.

Another difference is that, although both standards and TIRs are periodically reviewed, a standard must be acted on—reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn—and the action formally approved usually every 5 years but at least every 10 years. For a TIR, AAMI consults with a technical committee about 5 years after the publication date (and periodically thereafter) for guidance on whether the document is still useful—that is, to check that the information is relevant or of historical value. If the information is not useful, the TIR is removed from circulation.

A TIR may be developed because it is more responsive to underlying safety or performance issues than a standard or recommended practice or because achieving consensus is extremely difficult or unlikely. Unlike a standard, a TIR permits the inclusion of differing viewpoints on technical issues.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI TIR may be revised or withdrawn at any time. Because it addresses a rapidly evolving field or technology, readers are cautioned to ensure that they have also considered information that may be more recent than this document.

All standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Comments on this technical information report are invited and should be sent to AAMI, Attn: Standards Department, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203.

Published by

AAMI 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22203 www.aami.org

© 2017 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, contact AAMI at 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203. Phone: (703) 525-4890; Fax: (703) 276-0793.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-1-57020-661-0

Contents

Page

Glossary of equivalent standardsv		
Committee representationvi		
1	Scope1	
2	Definitions1	
3	Pulse generator longevity and battery depletion1	
4	Battery depletion and programming for optimum longevity2	
5	The battery depletion curve	
6	Patient follow-up and battery depletion4	
7	Forecasting remaining longevity5	
8	Presentation of remaining longevity	
9	Summary9	
Annex A (informative) Fundamental system model11		
Annex B (informative) Battery variability		
Annex C	C (informative) Remaining battery longevity	
Bibliogr	aphy47	
Figures		
-	 Generic lithium/iodine battery depletion curve 	
Figure 1—Percent uncertainty in remaining battery longevity (L")		
Figure A.1—Simple constant-voltage output circuit		
Figure A.2—Typical constant-voltage pulse waveform		
Figure A.3—Cross-section of new and partially depleted lithium/iodine pulse generator batteries		
Figure A.4—The capacity as a function of current drain for a typical lithium/iodine battery		
Figure A.5—Battery longevity as a function of current drain		
Figure A.6—Battery voltage-time for a specific lithium/iodine battery discharged at constant currents		
	A.7—Relationship between voltage, internal resistance, and capacity for a specific odine battery at a constant discharge current	
Figure A.8—Typical discharge curve		
Figure A	A.9 – Discharge test data at constant resistive loads of 1 to 10 kohms	
Figure /	A.10—Relationship of lithium/carbon monofluoride battery longevity to constant resistive	
Figure A	A.11—Plots for two different lithium/monofluoride cell sizes	
Figure A	A.12—Capacity as a function of load resistance in lithium/carbon monofluoride	
Figure A.13—SVO- carbon monofluoride hybrid cathode discharge profile under a range of current densities		
Figure A.14—SVO- carbon monofluoride hybrid cathode discharge profiles for discharge lengths from 6 to 120 months		

Figure A.15 —SVO- carbon monofluoride hybrid cathode mode – compared to 90 month discharge data

Figure A.16—Relationship between voltage, impedance and capacity for a lithium/manganese dioxide battery at a constant discharge current

Figure A.17—Capacity as a function of current drain for a lithium/manganese dioxide battery

Figure A.18—Longevity as a function of average discharge current (cutoff voltage 2.2 V)

Figure A.19—Threshold, safety margin, and pacing current

Figure B.1—Example of projected longevity variability of a lithium/iodine battery

Figure B.2—Example of projected longevity variability of a lithium/hybrid cathode battery

Figure B.3—Discharge curves of a group of lithium iodine batteries discharged under a 12.5 K Ω load (results are converted to 140 K Ω load) [18-20]

Figure B.4—Scaled discharge curves of a group of lithium hybrid cathode batteries discharged under a 1 mA constant current compared to discharge curves collected at 30 μ A [4]

Figure B.5—Life test results for a group of 77 lithium/iodine batteries discharged under 100 K Ω constant resistive load [15]

Figure B.6—Life test results for lithium/hybrid cathode batteries discharged at 30 µA [15]

Figure C.1—Load voltage-capacity for a specific lithium/iodine battery discharged at constant currents

Figure C.2—Load voltage-capacity for a specific lithium/iodine battery discharged at 20 µA

Figure C.3—Load voltage-capacity for a specific lithium/iodine battery discharged at 30 µA and 50 µA

Figure C.4—Percent uncertainty in Q[´] due to voltage measurement

Figure C.5—Battery current-capacity for a specific lithium/iodine battery

Figure C.6—Percent uncertainty in Q[´] due to current measurement

Figure C.7—Percent uncertainty in Q´ due to measurement error sources

Figure C.8—Percent uncertainty in L" due to measurement error sources

Tables

Table 1—Assumptions for estimating the future use profile

Table A.1—Current taken from the battery

Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. AAMI maintains a current list of each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI). Available on the AAMI website at the address below, this list gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard.

www.aami.org/standards/glossary.pdf

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Cardiac Rhythm Management Devices Committee

This AAMI Technical Information Report was developed by the Cardiac Rhythm Management Devices Committee.

Committee approval of this document does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval. At the time this document was published, the committee had the following members.

Cochairs:	Dr. Roger Carrillo Bob Stevenson
Members:	Dr. Roger Carrillo, University of Miami Hospital Saki Czaczkes, BioControl Medical Tushar Dharampal, St Jude Medical Inc Charles Farlow, Medtronic Inc Campus Dr. Charles Kennergren, Sahlgrenska University Hospital Jessica Paulsen, FDA/CDRH Ron Reitan, Boston Scientific Corporation Mitchell Shein, CPR Consulting Chuck Sidebottom, PPO Standards LLC Bob Stevenson, Integer Larry Stotts, Micro Systems Engineering Inc Dr. William Toff, University of Leicester Dr. Frank Tyers, University of British Columbia
Alternates:	Daniel Cooke, Boston Scientific Corporation Craig Housworth, Medtronic Inc Campus Seth Seidman, FDA/CDRH Ashish Shah, Integer Paul Stadnik, Micro Systems Engineering Inc

NOTE—Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this document does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

AAMI Technical Information Report

AAMI TIR21:2017/(R)2020

Systems used to forecast remaining pacemaker battery service life

1 Scope

This technical information report describes the clinical expectations for the performance of systems that use "realtime" measurements telemetered by a pulse generator to forecast remaining battery service life. These systems combine the "real-time" measurements with assumptions provided by the clinician to forecast the remaining service life of the pacemaker battery. The method described in this report is based on the use of battery voltage measurements. Other methods that use battery impedance or charge measurements can also be used.

This technical information report discusses the input requirements from both the pulse generator (e.g., battery resistance, etc.) and the clinician regarding usage history and the anticipated use profile.

This report also discusses the practical limitations of forecasting remaining pacemaker battery service life using this type of system. These limitations include those inherent in the pacemaker, such as (a) battery variability, (b) accuracy of the measurement system, and (c) variability in the use profile. The report also discusses the limitations associated with the availability and accuracy of information provided by the clinician.

Guidance on presentation of the resulting information is included to facilitate its use by the clinician as part of a total patient management program.

This report does not cover those algorithms that are used by pacemaker manufacturers for longevity analysis associated with labeling claims. This report is not applicable to implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs).

2 Definitions

For the purposes of this technical information report, the following definitions apply.

2.1 Battery: often used either as a single cell or a group of cells connected in a pack.

2.2 Beginning of service (BOS): time when an individual implantable pulse generator is first released by the manufacturer as fit for placing it on the market.

2.3 End of service (EOS): point (determined by the manufacturer) at which the power source can no longer reliably support the device. A "cut-off" voltage may be provided.

2.4 Recommended replacement time (RRT): Time when the battery depletion indicator reaches the value set by the manufacturer of the pulse generator for its recommended replacement.

3 Pulse generator longevity and battery depletion

The normal service life of a pulse generator is usually defined as the expected duration of a pulse generator implant. The normal service life of the pulse generator is dependent on the service life of each of the components of the pacemaker, including the battery. The battery is conceptually different from the other components. In principal, although not always in practice, the other components are designed to last indefinitely. However, the available energy of the battery is consumed during its normal use. The battery has a finite service life, because the battery contains a fixed amount of active chemicals. As the pulse generator operates, the battery's active chemicals are depleted. Eventually, the battery voltage falls to a level that is insufficient to operate the device within the limits specified by the manufacturer. Before this point is reached, the pulse generator must be replaced. Practically, therefore, the normal service life of a pulse generator is determined by the longevity of the battery.

The battery longevity is the interval between implantation of the pulse generator and a manufacturer-defined battery voltage that indicates RRT is reached. Because normal service life can vary dramatically with particular patients, battery longevity is usually stated at a specific set of nominal conditions and programmed parameters. Given a battery with a certain size, design, and chemistry, the battery longevity can be calculated from the average current