

American
National
Standard



ANSI/AAMI/
ISO 10993-11:
2017

Biological evaluation of
medical devices—Part 11:
Tests for systemic toxicity

American National Standard

ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-11:2017

Biological evaluation of medical devices—Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity

Approved 24 March 2020 by
AAMI

Approved 27 April 2020 by
American National Standards Institute

Abstract: This document specifies requirements and gives guidance on procedures to be followed in the evaluation of the potential for medical device materials to cause adverse systemic reactions.

Keywords: biological evaluation, toxicity, adverse systemic reactions

AAMI Standard

This Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. The existence of an AAMI standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. AAMI standards are subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. AAMI procedures require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than 5 years from the date of publication. Interested parties may obtain current information on all AAMI standards by calling or writing AAMI.

All AAMI standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Published by

AAMI
901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300
Arlington, VA 22203

© 2020 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

This publication is subject to copyright claims of ISO and AAMI. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form, including an electronic retrieval system, without the prior written permission of AAMI. All requests pertaining to this draft should be submitted to AAMI. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, contact AAMI, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203 Phone: (703) 525-4890; Fax: (703) 525-1067.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-1-57020-757-0

Contents	Page
Committee representation	iv
Background of ANSI/AAMI adoption of ISO 10993-11:2017	vi
Foreword	vii
Introduction	viii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 General considerations	3
5 Acute systemic toxicity	1
6 Repeated exposure systemic toxicity (subacute, subchronic and chronic systemic toxicity)	5
Annex A (informative) Routes of administration	9
Annex B (informative) Dosage volumes	11
Annex C (informative) Common clinical signs and observations	12
Annex D (informative) Suggested haematology, clinical chemistry and urinalysis measurements	13
Annex E (informative) Suggested organ list for histopathological evaluation	15
Annex F (informative) Organ list for limited histopathology for medical devices subjected to systemic toxicity testing	17
Annex G (informative) Information on material-mediated pyrogens	18
Annex H (informative) Subchronic rat—Dual routes of parenteral administration	19
Bibliography	21

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

BE/WG07, Systemic toxicity

The adoption of ISO 10993-11 as an American National Standard was initiated by the AAMI/BE/WG07, Systemic toxicity working group. AAMI/BE/WG07 provides input to the AAMI Biological Evaluation committee (AAMI/BE), which is the responsible group for providing the U.S. input to the relevant group in ISO/TC 194. U.S. representatives from AAMI/BE/WG07 and the TAG played an active part in developing the ISO document.

At the time this document was published, **AAMI/BE/WG07** has the following members:

Cochair: Daniel Edward McLain, PhD CNS ERT

Members: Bradford Bagley PhD, DABT
Carolyn Braithwaite-Nelson, Philips
Ronald Patrick Brown, BS MS DABT, Risk Science Consortium, LLC
Seraya Carr, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Joseph Carraway DVM, MS, NAMSA
Julia Cesur-Levinsky, Medline Industries Inc
Yan Chen, American Preclinical Services LLC
Annabelle Crusan, FDA - CDRH
Xiaoling Dai, MD PhD RAC DABT, Medtronic Rice Creek Campus
Kimbrell Darnell, CISS-EO, CISS-RAD, Becton Dickinson & Company
Gloria H. Frost MS, PhD, DABT, Cardinal Health
Douglas Fuhrer, WuXi AppTec Inc
Kent Grove, Abbott Laboratories
Hiromi Hosako, Alcon Laboratories Inc
Todd Kennedy, PhD, WL Gore & Associates Inc
Laurence Lister, BS, Toxikon Corporation
Howard I. Maibach, MD,
Daniel Edward McLain, PhD CNS ERT, Walker Downey & Associates Inc
Taryn K. Meade, Fresenius Kidney Care
Bryant Moeller, ResMed Inc.
Christine Olson, Smiths Medical
Beau Rollins, ConvaTec Inc QARAC Global Headquarters
Thor Rollins, BS, Nelson Laboratories LLC,
Anita Y. Sawyer, Anita Sawyer Consulting
Stacie Schulze BS, DABT, Avanos Medical
Brian Sidow, Stryker Instruments Division
Kenneth R. St John, PhD, University of Mississippi Medical Center
Brian Wallace, Intuitive Surgical Inc
Mingyu Zhang, MicroVention, A TERUMO Group Company
Yuzhao Zhou, Ethicon Endo-Surgery

Alternate: Muhammad Ahsan, American Preclinical Services LLC
Lindsey K. Borton MPH, Smiths Medical
Melissa Cadaret MS, NAMSA
Chih-Hu Ho PhD, Fresenius Medical Care
Paul Johnson, Cardinal Health
Hooman T Kashani, Intuitive Surgical Inc
Joyce V. Lee, PhD, CQA, Owens & Minor
Cheryl Marker Marker PhD, University of Minnesota Department of Pharmacology

Sean M. McGurran, WuXi AppTec Inc
Jan Oberdoerster, PhD, DABT, WL Gore & Associates Inc
Mercedes Ofsansky, Boston Scientific Corporation
Christopher Parker MS MBA, Toxikon Corporation
Edward Rankin, Medtronic Inc/Physiological Research Laboratory
Edward Reverdy, PhD, Johnson & Johnson
Sharmilee Sawant, PhD, Avanos Medical
Audrey Turley, BS RM (NRM), Nelson Laboratories LLC
Roberto Zumbado, CISS-EO, Philips

NOTE—Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this standard does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

Background of ANSI/AAMI adoption of ISO 10993-11:2017

As indicated in the foreword to the main body of this document (page vii), the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies. The United States is one of the ISO members that took an active role in the development of this standard, which was developed by ISO/TC 194 to specify the general requirements for evaluating the interactions of medical devices with blood.

U.S. participation in ISO/TC 194 is organized through the U.S. Technical Advisory Group, AAMI Biological Evaluation Committee, administered by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Experts from the United States made a considerable contribution to this standard.

AAMI encourages its committees to harmonize their work with International Standards in the area of biological and evaluation of medical devices. Upon review of ISO 10993-11, the AAMI Biological Evaluation Committee and the AAMI/BE/WG07 decided to adopt it verbatim, as ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-11.

AAMI and ANSI procedures require that standards be reviewed and, if necessary, revised every five years to reflect technological advances that may have occurred since publication.

As used within the context of this document, “shall” indicates requirements strictly to be followed to conform to the recommended practice. “Should” indicates that among several possibilities, one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action should be avoided but is not prohibited.

“May” is used to indicate that a course of action is permissible within the limits of the standard. “Can” is used as a statement of possibility and capability. Finally, “must” is used only to describe “unavoidable” situations, including those mandated by government regulation.

NOTE Users of this standard are advised that this document is an AAMI identical adoption of an ISO document and that the following international conventions have been carried over to the AAMI publication:

- British English spelling (e.g. colour instead of color)
- Use of SI units (e.g. metres instead of feet, Celsius instead of Fahrenheit, etc.)
- Decimal comma instead of a decimal point (e.g. 1 000,15 instead of 1,000.15)

The concepts incorporated in this standard should not be considered inflexible or static. This standard, like any other, must be reviewed and updated periodically to assimilate progressive technological developments. To remain relevant, it must be modified as technological advances are made and as new data comes to light.

Suggestions for improving this standard are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Standards Department, AAMI, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203.

NOTE—Beginning with the ISO foreword on page vii, this American National Standard is identical to ISO 10993-11:2017.

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 194 *Biological and clinical evaluation of medical devices*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 10993-11:2006), which has been technically revised with the following changes:

- a) reduction in group size for chronic toxicity testing in Table 1;
- b) a new Annex F was added;
- c) the original Annex F was moved to Annex G;
- d) a new Annex H was added;
- e) the Bibliography was updated.

A list of all parts in the ISO 10993 series can be found on the ISO website.

Introduction

Systemic toxicity is a potential adverse effect of the use of medical devices. Generalized effects, as well as organ and organ system effects can result from absorption, distribution and metabolism of leachates from the device or its materials to parts of the body with which they are not in direct contact. This document addresses the evaluation of generalized systemic toxicity, not specific target organ or organ system toxicity, even though these effects may result from the systemic absorption and distribution of toxicants.

Because of the broad range of medical devices, and their materials and intended uses, this document is not overly prescriptive. While it addresses specific methodological aspects to be considered in the design of systemic toxicity tests, proper study design has to be uniquely tailored to the nature of the device's materials and its intended clinical application.

Other elements of this document are prescriptive in nature, including those aspects that address compliance with good laboratory practices and elements for inclusion in reporting.

While some systemic toxicity tests (e.g. long term implantation or dermal toxicity studies) can be designed to study systemic effects as well as local, carcinogenic or reproductive effects, this document focuses only on those aspects of such studies, which are intended to address systemic effects. Studies which are intended to address other toxicological end points are addressed in ISO 10993-3, ISO 10993-6, ISO 10993-10 and ISO/TS 10993-20.

Prior to conducting a systemic toxicity study, all reasonably available data and scientifically sound methods in the planning and refinement of the systemic toxicity study design should be reviewed. This includes the suitability of use of input data such as existing toxicological data, data from chemical characterization studies and/or other biological tests (including *in vitro* tests and less invasive *in vivo* tests) for the refinement of study design, dose selection, and/or selection of pathological end points to cover in the evaluation of a study. For the repeated exposure systemic toxicity study in particular, the use of scientifically sound study design, the use of pilot studies and statistical study design and the use of unbiased, quantitative end points/methods in the pathological (including histopathological) and clinical chemistry methods are important so as to obtain data which have sufficient scientific validity.

Finally, toxicology is an imperfect science. The outcome of any single test should not be the sole basis for making a determination of whether a device is safe for its intended use.

Biological evaluation of medical devices—Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity

1 Scope

This document specifies requirements and gives guidance on procedures to be followed in the evaluation of the potential for medical device materials to cause adverse systemic reactions.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 10993-1, *Biological evaluation of medical devices—Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process*

ISO 10993-2, *Biological evaluation of medical devices—Part 2: Animal welfare requirements*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 10993-1 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1

dose

dosage

amount of test sample administered (e.g. mass, volume) expressed per unit of body weight or surface area

3.2

dose-effect

relationship between the dosage and the magnitude of a defined biological effect either in an individual or in a population sample

3.3

dose-response

relationship of dosage to the spectrum of effects related to the exposure

Note 1 to entry: There are two types of dose-response relationships. The first type is the response of an individual to a range of doses. The second type is the distribution of responses of a population of individuals to a range of doses.