American National Standard

ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15223-2:2010

Medical devices — Symbols to be used with medical device labels, labeling, and information to be supplied — Part 2: Symbol development, selection and validation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Objectives and uses of AAMI standards and recommended practices

It is most important that the objectives and potential uses of an AAMI product standard or recommended practice are clearly understood. The objectives of AAMI's technical development program derive from AAMI's overall mission: the advancement of medical instrumentation. Essential to such advancement are (1) a continued increase in the safe and effective application of current technologies to patient care, and (2) the encouragement of new technologies. It is AAMI's view that standards and recommended practices can contribute significantly to the advancement of medical instrumentation, provided that they are drafted with attention to these objectives and provided that arbitrary and restrictive uses are avoided.

A voluntary *standard* for a *medical device* recommends to the manufacturer the information that should be provided with or on the product, basic safety and performance criteria that should be considered in qualifying the device for clinical use, and the measurement techniques that can be used to determine whether the device conforms with the safety and performance criteria and/or to compare the performance characteristics of different products. Some standards emphasize the information that should be provided with the device, including performance characteristics, instructions for use, warnings and precautions, and other data considered important in ensuring the safe and effective use of the device in the clinical environment. Recommending the disclosure of performance characteristics often necessitates the development of specialized test methods to facilitate uniformity in reporting; reaching consensus on these tests can represent a considerable part of committee work. When a drafting committee determines that clinical concerns warrant the establishment of *minimum* safety and performance criteria, referee tests must be provided and the reasons for establishing the criteria must be documented in the rationale.

A *recommended practice* provides guidelines for the use, care, and/or processing of a medical device or system. A recommended practice does not address device performance *per se*, but rather procedures and practices that will help ensure that a device is used safely and effectively and that its performance will be maintained.

Although a device standard is primarily directed to the manufacturer, it may also be of value to the potential purchaser or user of the device as a frame of reference for device evaluation. Similarly, even though a recommended practice is usually oriented towards healthcare professionals, it may be useful to the manufacturer in better understanding the environment in which a medical device will be used. Also, some recommended practices, while not addressing device performance criteria, provide guidelines to industrial personnel on such subjects as sterilization processing, methods of collecting data to establish safety and efficacy, human engineering, and other processing or evaluation techniques; such guidelines may be useful to health care professionals in understanding industrial practices.

In determining whether an AAMI standard or recommended practice is relevant to the specific needs of a potential user of the document, several important concepts must be recognized:

All AAMI standards and recommended practices are *voluntary* (unless, of course, they are adopted by government regulatory or procurement authorities). The application of a standard or recommended practice is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document.

Each AAMI standard or recommended practice reflects the collective expertise of a committee of health care professionals and industrial representatives, whose work has been reviewed nationally (and sometimes internationally). As such, the consensus recommendations embodied in a standard or recommended practice are intended to respond to clinical needs and, ultimately, to help ensure patient safety. A standard or recommended practice is limited, however, in the sense that it responds generally to perceived risks and conditions that may not always be relevant to specific situations. A standard or recommended practice is an important *reference* in responsible decision-making, but it should never *replace* responsible decision-making.

Despite periodic review and revision (at least once every five years), a standard or recommended practice is necessarily a static document applied to a dynamic technology. Therefore, a standards user must carefully review the reasons why the document was initially developed and the specific rationale for each of its provisions. This review will reveal whether the document remains relevant to the specific needs of the user.

Particular care should be taken in applying a product standard to existing devices and equipment, and in applying a recommended practice to current procedures and practices. While observed or potential risks with existing equipment typically form the basis for the safety and performance criteria defined in a standard, professional judgment must be used in applying these criteria to existing equipment. No single source of information will serve to identify a particular product as "unsafe". A voluntary standard can be used as one resource, but the ultimate decision as to product safety and efficacy must take into account the specifics of its utilization and, of course, cost-benefit considerations. Similarly, a recommended practice should be analyzed in the context of the specific needs and resources of the individual institution or firm. Again, the rationale accompanying each AAMI standard and recommended practice is an excellent guide to the reasoning and data underlying its provision.

In summary, a standard or recommended practice is truly useful only when it is used in conjunction with other sources of information and policy guidance and in the context of professional experience and judgment.

INTERPRETATIONS OF AAMI STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES

Requests for interpretations of AAMI standards and recommended practices must be made in writing, to the AAMI Vice President, Standards Policy and Programs. An official interpretation must be approved by letter ballot of the originating committee and subsequently reviewed and approved by the AAMI Standards Board. The interpretation will become official and representation of the Association only upon exhaustion of any appeals and upon publication of notice of interpretation in the "Standards Monitor" section of the *AAMI News*. The Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation disclaims responsibility for any characterization or explanation of a standard or recommended practice which has not been developed and communicated in accordance with this procedure and which is not published, by appropriate notice, as an *official interpretation* in the *AAMI News*.

American National Standard **ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15223-2:2010**

Medical devices — Symbols to be used with medical device labels, labeling, and information to be supplied — Part 2: Symbol development, selection and validation

Approved 8 March 2010 by
Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Approved 20 April 2010 by
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

Abstract: Specifies a process for developing, registering, and validating symbols for use in the labeling of medical devices.

Keywords: medical device symbols, validation

AAMI Standard

This Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. The existence of an AAMI standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. AAMI standards are subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. AAMI procedures require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of publication. Interested parties may obtain current information on all AAMI standards by calling or writing AAMI, or by visiting the AAMI website at www.aami.org.

All AAMI standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Published by

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation
4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301
Arlington, VA 22203-1633
www.aami.org

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 1–57020–387-3

Contents

Page

Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. For each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI), the table below gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard. NOTE: Documents are sorted by international designation. The code in the US column, "(R)20xx" indicates the year the document was officially reaffirmed by AAMI. E.g., ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-4:2002/(R)2009 indicates that 10993-4, originally approved and published in 2002, was reaffirmed without change in 2009.

Other normatively referenced International Standards may be under consideration for U.S. adoption by AAMI; therefore, this list should not be considered exhaustive.

International designation	U.S. designation	Equivalency
IEC 60601-1:2005 Technical Corrigendum 1 and 2	ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005 and ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/A2:2010 ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/C1:2009 (amdt)	Major technical variations C1 Identical to Corrigendum 1 & 2
IEC 60601-1-2:2007	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-1-2:2007	Identical
IEC 60601-2-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-2:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-4:2002	ANSI/AAMI DF80:2003/(R)2010	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-19:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-19:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-20:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-20:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-21:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-21:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-24:1998	ANSI/AAMI ID26:2004/(R)2009	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-47:2001	ANSI/AAMI EC38:2007	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-50:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-50:2009	Identical
IEC 80601-2-30:2009 and Technical Corrigendum 1	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-30:2009 and ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-30:2009/ C1:2009 (amdt) – consolidated text	Identical (with inclusion) C1 Identical to Corrigendum 1
IEC 80601-2-58:2008	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-58:2008	Identical
IEC/TR 60878:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR60878:2003	Identical
IEC/TR 62296:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62296:2009	Identical
IEC 62304:2006	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304:2006	Identical
IEC/TR 62348:2006	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62348:2006	Identical
IEC/TR 62354:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62354:2009	Identical
IEC/TR 80002-1:2009	ANSI/IEC/TR 80002-1:2009	Identical
ISO 5840:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 5840:2005/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 7198:1998	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 7198:1998/2001/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 7199:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 7199:2009	Identical
ISO 8637:2004	ANSI/AAMI RD16:2007	Major technical variations
ISO 8638:2004	ANSI/AAMI RD17:2007	Major technical variations
ISO 10993-1:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-1:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-2:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-2:2006	Identical
ISO 10993-3:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-3:2003/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 10993-4:2002 and Amendment 1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-4:2002/(R)2009 and Amendment 1:2006/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 10993-5:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-5:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-6:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-6:2007	Identical
ISO 10993-7:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-7:2008	Identical
ISO 10993-9:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-9:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-10:2002 and Amendment 1:2006	ANSI/AAMI BE78:2002/(R)2008 ANSI/AAMI BE78:2002/A1:2006/(R)2008	Minor technical variations Identical
ISO 10993-11:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-11:2006	Identical
ISO 10993-12:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-12:2007	Identical
ISO 10993-13:1998	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-13:1999/(R)2004	Identical
ISO 10993-14:2001	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-14:2001/(R)2006	Identical
ISO 10993-15:2000	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-15:2000/(R)2006	Identical
ISO 10993-16:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-16:2010	Identical
ISO 10993-17:2002	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-17:2002/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 10993-18:2005	ANSI/AAMI BE83:2006	Major technical variations
ISO/TS 10993-19:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR10993-19:2006	Identical

International designation	U.S. designation	Equivalency
ISO/TS 10993-20:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR10993-20:2006	Identical
ISO 11135-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11135-1:2007	Identical
ISO/TS 11135-2:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR11135-2:2008	Identical
ISO 11137-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-1:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11137-2:2006 (2006-08-01 corrected version)	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-2:2006	Identical
ISO 11137-3:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-3:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-1: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-1:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-2: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-2:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-3: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-3:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-4: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-4:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-5: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-5:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO/TS 11139:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11139:2006	Identical
ISO 11140-1:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-1:2005/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11140-3:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-3:2007	Identical
ISO 11140-4:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-4:2007	Identical
ISO 11140-5:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-5:2007	Identical
ISO 11607-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607-1:2006	Identical
ISO 11607-2:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607-2:2006	Identical
ISO 11737-1: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11737-1:2006	Identical
ISO 11737-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11737-2:2009	Identical
ISO 13408-1:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-1:2008	Identical
ISO 13408-2:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-2:2003	Identical
ISO 13408-3:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-3:2006	Identical
ISO 13408-4:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-4:2005	Identical
ISO 13408-5:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-5:2006	Identical
ISO 13408-6:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-6:2006	Identical
ISO 13485:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13485:2003/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 14155-1:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14155-1:2003/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 14155-2:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14155-2:2003/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 14160:1998	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14160:1998/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 14161:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14161:2009	Identical
ISO 14708-3:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14708-3:2008	Identical
ISO 14708-4:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14708-4:2008	Identical
ISO 14708-5:2010	ANSI/AAMI /ISO 14708-5:2010	Identical
ISO 14937:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14937:2009	Identical
ISO/TR 14969:2004	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR14969:2004	Identical
ISO 14971:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971:2007	Identical
ISO 15223-1:2007 and A1:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15223-1:2007 and A1:2008	Identical
ISO 15223-2:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15223-2:2010	Identical
ISO 15225:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15225:2010	Identical
ISO 15674:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15674:2009	Identical
ISO 15675:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15675:2009	Identical
ISO 15882:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15882:2008	Identical
ISO 15883-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI ST15883-1:2009	Major technical variations
ISO/TR 16142:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR16142:2005	Identical
ISO 17664:2004	ANSI/AAMI ST81:2004	Major technical variations
ISO 17665-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 17665-1:2006	Identical (with inclusions)
ISO/TS 17665-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR17665-2:2009	Identical
ISO 18472:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 18472:2006	Identical
ISO/TS 19218:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 19218:2005	Identical
ISO 22442-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-1:2007	Identical
ISO 22442-2:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-2:2007	Identical
ISO 22442-3:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-3:2007	Identical
ISO 25539-1:2003 and A1:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-1:2003/(R)2009 and A1:2005/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 25539-2:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-2:2008	Identical
ISO 81060-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-1:2007	Identical
ISO 81060-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-2:2009	Identical

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Quality Management and Corresponding General Aspects for Medical Devices Committee

The adoption of ISO 15223-2 was initiated by the AAMI Quality Management and Corresponding General Aspects for Medical Devices Committee, which also functions as a U.S. Technical Advisory Group to the relevant work in the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). U.S. representatives from the AAMI Symbols and Nomenclature Working Group (U.S. Sub-TAG for ISO/TC 210/WG 3), chaired by Leighton W. Hansel of Abbott Laboratories and Charles B. Sidebottom of Medtronic, Inc. played an active part in developing the ISO standard.

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Quality Management and Corresponding General Aspects for Medical Devices Committee** had the following members:

Cochairs
Carol L. Herman, FDA/CDRH
Charles B. Sidebottom, PE, Medtronic Inc.

Members
Leighton W. Hansel, Abbott Laboratories
Carol L. Herman, FDA/CDRH
Ed R. Kimmelman, BME, JD, (Independent Expert)
David Osborn, Philips Healthcare
Harvey Rudolph, PhD, Underwriters Laboratories Inc.
Charles B. Sidebottom, PE, Medtronic Inc.
Al Van Houdt, Spacelabs Medical Inc.

Alternates
David J. Geraghty, Spacelabs Medical Inc.
Sherry Leichtweis, Abbott Laboratories
Luann M. Pendy, Medtronic Inc
Kimberly A. Trautman, FDA/CDRH

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Symbols and Nomenclature Working Group** had the following members:

Cochairs
Leighton W. Hansel, Abbott Laboratories
Charles B. Sidebottom, PE, Medtronic Inc.

Members
Krisann M. Anderson, St Jude Medical Inc.
Candice Betz, Becton Dickinson & Company
Charles Cogdill, Boston Scientific Corporation
Rich Eaton, Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance a Division of NEMA
Christine M. Flahive, Chris Flahive Associates
Nancy George, CSQE CQA, Software Quality Management Inc.
David J. Geraghty, Spacelabs Medical Inc.
Leighton W. Hansel, Abbott Laboratories
Christopher Heckert, Zimmer Inc.
Steve Hellstrom, Hospira Worldwide Inc.
Carol L. Herman, FDA/CDRH
Joshua Kim, Welch Allyn Inc.
David Osborn, Philips Healthcare
Mandy Savino, Covidien
Charles B. Sidebottom, PE, Medtronic Inc.
John G. Smith, CareFusion
Nancy J. Stark, PhD, Clinical Device Group Inc.
Richard C. Thorne, Eli Lilly & Company

Alternates
Richard H. Bean, Zimmer Inc.
Tom C. Gorgol, Eli Lilly & Company
Michael Jaffe, PhD, Philips Healthcare

Patricia A. Melerski, Hospira Worldwide Inc.
Susan Qualey, Spacelabs Medical Inc.
Kay Sachs-Campbell, Boston Scientific Corporation
Bradford Marshall Spring, Becton Dickinson & Company
Victoria Wagman, FDA/CDRH

NOTE—Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this standard does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

Background of ANSI/AAMI adoption of ISO 15223-2:2010

As indicated in the foreword to the main body of this document (page ix), the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies. The United States is one of the ISO members that took an active role in the development of this standard.

International standard ISO 15223-2:2010 was developed by Technical Committee ISO/TC 210, Quality management and corresponding general aspects for medical devices to provide rules and guidelines for a medical device nomenclature data structure in order to facilitate cooperation and exchange of data used by regulatory bodies on an international level between interested parties such as regulatory authorities, manufacturers, suppliers, health care providers, and end users.

U.S. participation in this ISO TC is organized through the U.S. Technical Advisory Group for ISO/TC 210, administered by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation on behalf of the American National Standards Institute. The U.S. made a considerable contribution to this International Standard.

AAMI encourages its committees to harmonize their work with International Standards in the area of quality management and corresponding general aspects for medical devices. Upon review of ISO 15223-2, the Quality Management and Corresponding General Aspects for Medical Devices Committee and the AAMI Symbols and Nomenclature Working Group decided to adopt it verbatim, as a new American National Standard.

AAMI and ANSI procedures require that standards be reviewed and, if necessary, revised every five years to reflect technological advances that may have occurred since publication.

AAMI (and ANSI) have adopted other ISO standards. See the Glossary of Equivalent Standards for a list of ISO standards adopted by AAMI, which gives the corresponding U.S. designation and the level of equivalency with the ISO standard.

As used within the context of this document, "shall" indicates requirements strictly to be followed to conform to the recommended practice. "Should" indicates that among several possibilities, one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action should be avoided but is not prohibited. "May" is used to indicate that a course of action is permissible within the limits of the recommended practice. "Can" is used as a statement of possibility and capability. Finally, "must" is used only to describe "unavoidable" situations, including those mandated by government regulation.

The concepts incorporated in this standard should not be considered inflexible or static. This standard, like any other, must be reviewed and updated periodically to assimilate progressive technological developments. To remain relevant, it must be modified as technological advances are made and as new data comes to light.

Suggestions for improving this standard are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Standards Department, AAMI, 4301 N. Fairfax Dr. Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

NOTE—Beginning with the ISO foreword on page ix, this American National Standard is identical to ISO 15223-2:2010.

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 15223-2 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 210, *Quality management and corresponding general aspects for medical devices*.

This first edition of ISO 15223-2, together with ISO 15223-1:2007, cancels and replaces ISO 15223:2000, which has been technically revised.

ISO 15223 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Medical devices — Symbols to be used with medical device labels, labeling and information to be supplied*:

— *Part 1: General requirements*

— *Part 2: Symbol development, selection and validation*

Introduction

The ISO 15223 series of International Standards addresses symbols that can be used to convey information that is essential for the safe and proper use of medical devices. As such, in most regulatory domains the symbols are required to be presented with the device. The information can be required to be presented on the device itself, as part of the label or provided with the device.

Many countries require that their own language be used to present textual information with medical devices. This presents problems to device manufacturers and users. Faced with the requirement to produce labeling in a number of different languages, manufacturers might have to increase the size of the package or label, thus potentially increasing packaging waste, or compressing the information, thus compromising legibility. Users presented with devices labeled in a number of different languages can experience confusion and delay in locating the needed information in an appropriate language. ISO 15223-1 proposes solutions to these problems through the use of internationally recognized symbols, with precisely defined meanings, that are independent of language.

While compiling the symbols presented in ISO 15223-1, it was recognized that a systematic methodology for the development and presentation of symbols was needed. ISO/TC 210 began by formulating a “best practices” document, *Guide to the development and registration of symbols for use in the labeling of medical devices*.

When this guide was circulated to interested parties, a number of regulatory authorities were of the opinion that they would have greater confidence in the use of symbols to replace text if the best practices set out in the Guide were expressed as normative requirements in a standards document. Some of the best practices for symbols development and usage have been translated into normative requirements in ISO 15223.

Much of the information required on a medical device itself, as part of the label, or provided with the device constitutes information for safety within an integrated approach to risk management. As with any risk control measure, the manufacturer needs to verify the effectiveness of the information for safety before it can be accepted. The use of standardized symbols agreed by consensus on an international basis can address the confusion that users can experience when presented with labeling in a number of different languages. However, the proliferation of symbols without control and harmonization is undesirable and detracts from the effectiveness of using symbols to convey information for safety. In addition, some users and regulatory authorities have concerns that the unrestricted use of symbols without validation can represent a hazard.

This part of ISO 15223 includes methods for validating those candidate symbols being proposed for inclusion in ISO 15223-1. It can also be used by manufacturers and regulators for validating symbols for use with medical devices, where suitable symbols are not standardized.

This document has been prepared by ISO/TC 210 to influence the quality of symbols developed for use in labeling by establishing a process that addresses the need to ensure quality of symbols accepted in ISO 15223-1 by:

— establishing need;

— providing guidance on development of symbols;

— carrying out testing to make sure that the candidate symbol is suitable for adoption and use.

When the processes detailed in this part of ISO 15223 have been carried out, the probability of misinterpretation of symbols accepted in ISO 15223-1 is reduced.

Medical devices — Symbols to be used with medical device labels, labeling, and information to be supplied — Part 2: Symbol development, selection and validation

1 Scope

This part of ISO 15223 specifies a process for developing, selecting and validating symbols for inclusion in ISO 15223-1.

The purpose of this part of ISO 15223 is to ensure that symbols included in ISO 15223-1 are readily understood by the target group.

If the symbol validation process detailed in this part of ISO 15223 has been complied with, then the residual risks, as defined in ISO 14971 and IEC 62366, associated with the usability of a medical device symbol are presumed to be acceptable, unless there is objective evidence to the contrary.

This part of ISO 15223 is not restricted to symbols intended to meet regulatory requirements or specified in regulatory guidelines on labeling.