


ANSI/AGMA 1010-E95

(Revision of AGMA 110.04)

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

*Appearance of Gear Teeth -
Terminology of Wear and Failure*

ANSI/AGMA 1010-E95



AGMA STANDARD

**American
National
Standard**

Appearance of Gear Teeth - Terminology of Wear and Failure

**ANSI/AGMA 1010-E95
(Revision of ANSI/AGMA 110.04)**

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretation of this standard should be addressed to the American Gear Manufacturers Association.

CAUTION NOTICE: AGMA technical publications are subject to constant improvement, revision, or withdrawal as dictated by experience. Any person who refers to any AGMA Technical Publication should be sure that the publication is the latest available from the Association on the subject matter.

[Tables or other self-supporting sections may be quoted or extracted. Credit lines should read: Extracted from ANSI/AGMA 1010-E95, *Appearance of Gear Teeth - Terminology of Wear and Failure*, with the permission of the publisher, the American Gear Manufacturers Association, 1500 King Street, Suite 201, Alexandria, Virginia 22314].

Approved December 13, 1995

ABSTRACT

This nomenclature standard identifies and describes the classes of common gear failures and illustrates degrees of deterioration.

Published by

**American Gear Manufacturers Association
1500 King Street, Suite 201, Alexandria, Virginia 22314**

Copyright © 1995 by American Gear Manufacturers Association

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 1-55589-665-0

Contents	Page
Foreword	v
1 Scope	1
2 Nomenclature	1
3 Wear	1
4 Scuffing	12
5 Plastic deformation	16
6 Contact fatigue	21
7 Cracking	26
8 Fracture	30
9 Bending fatigue	35
Tables	
1 Nomenclature of gear failure modes	2
2 Fracture classifications	30
Figures	
1 Mild wear	3
2 Moderate wear	3
3a SEM micrograph - abrasion	4
3b Mild abrasion near the tip of a ground gear	4
4a Severe abrasion	5
4b Severe abrasion	5
4c Severe abrasion	6
5 Severe polishing	7
6 Extensive corrosion	7
7 Fretting corrosion	8
8 Scaling	8
9 Cavitation damage	9
10a SEM micrograph - cavitation damage	9
10b SEM micrograph - cavitation damage	10
11 Erosion of a high speed gear	10
12 Electric discharge damage due to a small electric current	11
13 Severe electric discharge damage due to an electric current of high intensity	11
14a SEM micrograph - typical crater	12
14b SEM micrograph - fused metal and gas pockets near edge of crater	12
14c SEM micrograph - electric discharge damage	13
15 Mild scuffing	13
16a SEM micrograph - scuffing damage showing rough, torn, and plastically deformed appearance	14
16b SEM micrograph - scuffing damage showing crater formed when welded material was torn from surface	14
17 Moderate scuffing	15
18 Severe scuffing	15
19 Severe scuffing of a low speed gear lubricated with grease	16

Contents *(concluded)*

Figures *(concluded)*

20	Severe indentations	16
21	Hot flow	17
22	Plastic deformation by rolling	17
23	Plastic deformation by tooth hammer	18
24a	Rippling	18
24b	Rippling	19
25	Ridging	19
26	Burr	20
27	Tip-to-root interference	20
28a	Cross section through a tooth flank showing how a pit develops below the surface	21
28b	SEM micrograph - pitting damage caused by contact fatigue, showing fatigue cracks near boundary of pit	21
29	Initial pitting	22
30	Progressive pitting	22
31	Flake pitting	23
32	Spalling	23
33	Micropitting	24
34	Detail of tooth surface showing micropitting	24
35	Detail of tooth surface showing micropitting at 1000X magnification	25
36	Regularly distributed micropitting	25
37	Subcase fatigue	26
38	Crack at a forging defect	26
39	Hardening cracks	27
40	Grinding cracks with a crazed pattern	28
41	Rim crack	28
42	Case/core separation	29
43	Bending fatigue crack	29
44	Brittle fracture	31
45	Micrograph of transgranular brittle fracture	32
46	Micrograph of intergranular brittle fracture	32
47	Micrograph of ductile fracture	33
48	Mixed mode fracture	33
49	Tooth shear	34
50	Fracture after plastic deformation	34
51	Fatigue of two spur teeth	36
52	Fatigue of two helical teeth	37
53	Fatigue of two bevel pinion teeth	38
54	Fatigue of several teeth that were loaded on both flanks	39
55	Profile cracks originating from severe pitting	40
56	Broken tooth ends	40

Foreword

[The foreword, footnotes, and annexes, if any, are provided for informational purposes only, and should not be construed as part of American Gear Manufacturers Association Standard ANSI/AGMA 1010-E95, *Appearance of Gear Teeth - Terminology of Wear and Failure*].

This standard provides a means to document the appearance of gear teeth when they wear or fail. The study of gear tooth wear and failure has been hampered by the inability of two observers to describe the same phenomenon in terms that are adequate to assure uniform interpretation.

The term "gear failure" is subjective and a source of considerable disagreement. For example, a person observing gear teeth that have a bright, mirrorlike appearance may believe that the gears have "run-in" properly. However, another observer may believe that the gears have failed by polishing wear. Whether the gears should be considered failed or not depends on how much wear is tolerable.

This standard provides a common language to describe gear wear and failure, and serves as a guide to uniformity and consistency in the use of that language. It describes the appearance of gear tooth failure modes and discusses their mechanisms, with the sole intent of facilitating identification of gear wear and failure. The purpose of the standard is to improve communication between equipment users and gear manufacturers for failure and wear analysis. Since there may be many different causes for each type of gear tooth wear or failure, it is not possible in the standard to identify a single cause for each type of wear or failure, nor to prescribe remedies.

AGMA Standard 110 was first published in 1943. A revised standard, AGMA 110.03, was published in 1979 with improved photographs and additional material. AGMA 110.04 was reaffirmed by the members in 1989.

ANSI/AGMA 1010-E95 is a revision of AGMA 110.04. This version was approved by the AGMA Membership in March 9, 1995. It was approved as an American National Standard on December 13, 1995.

Suggestions for the improvement of this standard will be welcome. They should be sent to the American Gear Manufacturers Association, 1500 King Street, Suite 201, Alexandria, Virginia, 22314.

PERSONNEL of the AGMA Nomenclature Committee

Chairman: J.R. Colbourne University of Alberta
Vice Chairman: B.C. Newcomb Chicago Gear

ACTIVE MEMBERS

K. Acheson The Gear Works - Seattle, Inc.	E.E. Shipley Mechanical Technology Inc. (Deceased)
R. Errichello Geartech	R.E. Smith R. E. Smith & Co., Inc.
L. Faure CMD	L.J. Smith Invincible Gear Company
D. McCarroll Gleason Works	O.A. LaBath Cincinnati Gear Company
D.R. McVittie Gear Engineers	

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

S.E. Borg Borg Associates	I. Laskin Irving Laskin, P.E.
M.R. Chaplin Contour Hardening	M. Noguchi Tsubakimoto Chain Company
A.S. Cohen Engranos y Maquinaria	T. Okamoto Nippon Gear
P.M. Dean Consultant	C. Moyer Consultant
R. Green Eaton Corporation	

American National Standard - Appearance of Gear Teeth - Terminology of Wear and Failure

1 Scope

This standard provides nomenclature for general modes of gear tooth wear and failure. It classifies, identifies, and describes the most common types of failure and provides information which will, in many cases, enable the user to identify failure modes and evaluate the degree or progression of wear.

This standard is based on experience with steel gears; however, many of the failure modes discussed may apply to gears made from other materials.

The solution to many gear problems requires detailed investigation and analysis by specialists and is beyond the scope and intent of this standard.

This standard does not define "gear failure". One observer's "failure" is another observer's "run-in". There is no single definition of gear failure, since whether or not a gear has failed depends on the specific application.

2 Nomenclature

2.1 Definitions

The terms used in this standard, wherever applicable, conform to the definitions given in the following standard:

ANSI/AGMA 1012-F90, *Gear Nomenclature, Definitions of Terms with Symbols*

2.2 Symbols

NOTE: The symbols and definitions used in this standard may differ from other AGMA Standards. The user should not assume that familiar symbols can be used without a careful study of these definitions.

2.3 Classes and modes of failure

Table 1 groups the common modes of gear failure into seven general classes and subdivides the general classes into general and specific modes. It also includes commonly used, but not preferred names.

3 Wear

Wear is a term describing change to a gear tooth surface involving the removal or displacement of material, due to mechanical, chemical, or electrical action.

Figures 1 and 2 show mild and moderate wear. They are not intended to indicate the mode of wear.

Wear can be categorized as mild, moderate or severe. Mild wear is considered normal in many applications. Moderate and sometimes even severe wear may be acceptable in some applications.

3.1 Adhesion

Adhesion is caused by transfer of material from one tooth surface to another due to microwelding and tearing. It is confined to surface films and oxide layers on the tooth surface.

Adhesion can be categorized as mild or moderate. Severe adhesion is termed scuffing (see clause 4).

3.1.1 Mild adhesion

Mild adhesion typically occurs during running-in and usually subsides after it has smoothed the tooth surfaces by removing minor imperfections through local wear. To the unaided eye, the tooth surface appears undamaged and the original machining marks are visible. Microscopically, smooth microplateaus can be seen between the machining furrows.

3.1.2 Moderate adhesion

Adhesion is classified as moderate if it removes some or all of the original machining marks from the active surface of the tooth. Under certain conditions, adhesion may cause continuous removal of surface films and oxide layers, resulting in severe wear.