



American
Gear Manufacturers
Association

Technical Resources

Metric Edition of ANSI/AGMA 2001-D04
Reaffirmed March 16, 2016

American National Standard

Fundamental Rating Factors and Calculation Methods for Involute Spur and Helical Gear Teeth

American National Standard

Fundamental Rating Factors and Calculation Methods for Involute Spur and Helical Gear Teeth

ANSI/AGMA 2101-D04

[Metric Edition of ANSI/AGMA 2101-D04]

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretation of this standard should be addressed to the American Gear Manufacturers Association.

CAUTION NOTICE: AGMA technical publications are subject to constant improvement, revision, or withdrawal as dictated by experience. Any person who refers to any AGMA technical publication should be sure that the publication is the latest available from the Association on the subject matter.

[Tables or other self-supporting sections may be referenced. Citations should read: See ANSI/AGMA 2101-D04, *Fundamental Rating Factors and Calculation Methods for Involute Spur and Helical Gear Teeth*, published by the American Gear Manufacturers Association, 500 Montgomery Street, Suite 350, Alexandria, Virginia 22314, <http://www.agma.org>.]

Approved December 28, 2004

ABSTRACT

This standard specifies a method for rating the pitting resistance and bending strength of spur and helical involute gear pairs. A detailed discussion of factors influencing gear survival and calculation methods are provided.

Published by

American Gear Manufacturers Association
500 Montgomery Street, Suite 350, Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Copyright © 2004 by American Gear Manufacturers Association
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 1-55589-840-8

Contents

	Page
Foreword	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references, definitions and symbols	2
3 Application	2
4 Criteria for tooth capacity	7
5 Fundamental rating formulas	9
6 Geometry factors, Z_I and Y_J	11
7 Transmitted tangential load, F_t	12
8 Dynamic factor, K_V	12
9 Overload factor, K_O	15
10 Service factor	15
11 Safety factors, S_H and S_F	16
12 Elastic coefficient, Z_E	16
13 Surface condition factor, Z_R	16
14 Hardness ratio factor, Z_W	17
15 Load distribution factor, K_H	17
16 Allowable stress numbers, s_{HP} and s_{FP}	23
17 Stress cycle factors, Z_N and Y_N	36
18 Reliability factor, Y_Z	38
19 Temperature factor, Y_q	38
20 Size factor, K_s	38
Bibliography	56
Annexes	
A Method for determination of dynamic factor with AGMA 2000–A88	39
B Rim thickness factor, K_B	41
C Application analysis	43
D Discussion of the analytical face or longitudinal load distribution factor	46
E Gear material fatigue life	49
F Controlling section size considerations for through hardened gearing	54
Figures	
1 Dynamic factor, K_V	14
2 Hardness ratio factor, Z_W (through hardened)	18
3 Hardness ratio factor, Z_W (surface hardened pinions)	18
4 Instantaneous contact lines in the plane of action	19
5 Pinion proportion factor, K_{Hpf}	21
6 Evaluation of S and S_1	21
7 Mesh alignment factor, K_{Hma}	22
8 Allowable contact stress number for through hardened steel gears, σ_{HP} ...	24
9 Allowable bending stress number for through hardened steel gears, σ_{FP} ...	25
10 Allowable bending stress numbers for nitrided through hardened steel gears (i.e., AISI 4140, AISI 4340), σ_{FP}	26
11 Allowable bending stress numbers for nitriding steel gears, σ_{FP}	27
12 Variations in hardening pattern obtainable on gear teeth with flame or induction hardening	32
13 Minimum effective case depth for carburized gears, $h_{e \min}$	33
14 Core hardness coefficient, U_c	34
15 – Minimum total case depth for nitrided gears, $h_{c \min}$	34
16 Allowable yield strength number for steel gears, σ_s	35

17	Pitting resistance stress cycle factor, Z_N	37
18	Bending strength stress cycle factor, Y_N	37

Tables

1	Symbols used in gear rating equations	3
2	Empirical constants; A, B, and C	22
3	Allowable contact stress number, σ_{HP} , for steel gears	23
4	Allowable bending stress number, σ_{FP} , for steel gears	24
5	Allowable contact stress number, σ_{HP} , for iron and bronze gears	25
6	Allowable bending stress number, σ_{FP} , for iron and bronze gears	26
7	Major metallurgical factors affecting the allowable contact stress number, σ_{HP} , and allowable bending stress number, σ_{FP} , of through hardened steel gears	27
8	Major metallurgical factors affecting the allowable contact stress number, σ_{HP} , and allowable bending stress number, σ_{FP} , of flame or induction hardened steel gears	28
9	Major metallurgical factors affecting the allowable contact stress number, σ_{HP} , and allowable bending stress number, σ_{FP} , of carburized and hardened steel gears	29
10	Major metallurgical factors affecting the allowable contact stress number, σ_{HP} , and allowable bending stress number, σ_{FP} , for nitrided steel gears	31
11	Reliability factors, Y_Z	38

Foreword

[The foreword, footnotes and annexes, if any, in this document are provided for informational purposes only and are not to be construed as a part of ANSI/AGMA 2101-D04, *Fundamental Rating Factors and Calculation Methods for Involute Spur and Helical Gear Teeth*.]

This standard presents general formulas for rating the pitting resistance and bending strength of spur and helical involute gear teeth using ISO symbology and SI units, and supersedes AGMA 2101-C95.

The purpose of this standard is to establish a common base for rating various types of gears for differing applications, and to encourage the maximum practical degree of uniformity and consistency between rating practices within the gear industry. It provides the basis from which more detailed AGMA application standards are developed, and provides a basis for calculation of approximate ratings in the absence of such standards.

The formulas presented in this standard contain factors whose values vary significantly depending on application, system effects, gear accuracy, manufacturing practice, and definition of gear failure. Proper evaluation of these factors is essential for realistic ratings. This standard is intended for use by the experienced gear designer capable of selecting reasonable values for rating factors and aware of the performance of similar designs through test results or operating experience.

In AGMA 218.01 the values for Life Factor, Z_N and Y_N , Dynamic Factor, K_v , and Load Distribution Factor, K_H , were revised. Values for factors assigned in standards prior to that were not applicable to 218.01 nor were the values assigned in 218.01 applicable to previous standards.

The detailed information on the Geometry Factors, Z_I and Y_J , were removed from ANSI/AGMA 2001-B88, the revision of AGMA 218.01. This material was amplified and moved to AGMA 908-B89, *Geometry Factors for Determining the Pitting Resistance and Bending Strength for Spur, Helical and Herringbone Gear Teeth*. The values of Z_I and Z_J have not been changed from previous Standards.

In ANSI/AGMA 2001-B88 the Allowable Stress Number section was expanded. Metallurgical quality factors for steel materials were defined, establishing minimum quality control requirements and allowable stress numbers for various steel quality grades. Additional higher allowable stress numbers for carburized gears were added when made with high quality steel. A new rim thickness factor, K_B , was introduced to reduce allowable bending loads on gears with thin rims. Material on scuffing (scoring) resistance was added as an annex. ANSI/AGMA 2001-B88 was first drafted in January, 1986, approved by the AGMA Membership in May 1988, and approved as an American National Standard on September 30, 1988.

ANSI/AGMA 2101-C95 was a revision of the rating method described in its superseded publications. The changes include: the Miner's rule annex was removed; the analytical method for load distribution factors, K_H , was revised and placed in an annex; nitrided allowable stress numbers were expanded to cover three grades; nitrided stress cycle factors were introduced; through hardened allowable stresses were revised; application factor was replaced by overload factor; safety factors S_H and S_F were introduced; life factor was replaced by stress cycle factor and its use with service factor redefined; and the dynamic factor was redefined as the reciprocal of that used in previous AGMA standards and was relocated to the denominator of the power equation.

This standard, ANSI/AGMA 2101-D04, is a revision of its superseded version. Clause 8 was changed to incorporate ANSI/AGMA 2015-1-A01 and the K_v method using AGMA

2000-A88 was moved to Annex A. References to old Annex A, "Method for Evaluating the Risk of Scuffing and Wear" were changed to AGMA 925-A03. It also reflects a change to clause 10, dealing with the relationship between service factor and stress cycle factor. Editorial corrections were implemented to table 8, figure 14 and table E-1, and style was updated to latest standards.

This AGMA Standard and related publications are based on typical or average data, conditions, or applications. The Association intends to continue working to update this Standard and to incorporate in future revisions the latest acceptable technology from domestic and international sources.

The first draft of ANSI/AGMA 2101-D04 was completed in February 2002. It was approved by the AGMA membership in October 23, 2004. It was approved as an American National Standard on December 28, 2004.

Suggestions for improvement of this standard will be welcome. They should be sent to the American Gear Manufacturers Association, 500 Montgomery Street, Suite 350, Alexandria, Virginia 22314.

PERSONNEL of the AGMA Helical Gear Rating Committee

Chairman: John V. Lisiecki Falk Corporation

Vice Chairman: Michael B. Antosiewicz Falk Corporation

ACTIVE MEMBERS

K.E. Acheson Gear Works - Seattle, Inc.
J.B. Amendola MAAG Gear AG
T.A. Beveridge Caterpillar, Inc.
M. Broglie Dudley Technical Group
G.A. DeLange Hansen Transmissions
G. Elliott Lufkin Industries, Inc.
R.L. Errichello GEARTECH
R.W. Holzman Innovative Gearing Solutions LLC
O.A. LaBath Gear Consulting Services of Cincinnati, LLC
G. Lian Amarillo Gear Company
L. Lloyd Lufkin Industries, Inc.
D. McCarthy Gear Products, Inc.
D.R. McVittie Gear Engineers, Inc.
A.G. Milburn Milburn Engineering, Inc.
G.W. Nagorny Nagorny & Associates
F.C. Uherek Falk Corporation

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

M. Bartolomeo Rolls-Royce Corporation
E.J. Bodensieck Bodensieck Engineering Company
D.L. Borden D.L. Borden, Inc.
K.J. Buzdygon ExxonMobil Research and Engineering
A.B. Cardis Consultant
M.R. Chaplin Contour Hardening, Inc.
J. Chen General Motors Corporation
E. Chermet CETIM
R.J. Ciszak GE - Rail
A.S. Cohen Engranos y Maquinaria Arco, S.A.
S. Copeland Gear Products, Inc.
R.L. Cragg Steward Machine Company, Inc.
T.J. Dansdill General Electric Company AE Marine Engines
F. Eberle Hi-Lex Controls, Inc.
J.M. Escanaverino Instituto Superior Politecnico
L. Faure Compagnie Engrenages Et Reducteurs
T. Funk Gear Products, Inc.
M.J. Gardner Boeing Commercial Airplane Group
C. Gay Charles E. Gay & Company, Ltd.
T.C. Glasener Xtek, Inc.
G.G. Rey Instituto Superior Politecnico
H. Hagan Philadelphia Gear Corporation
H. Hagiwara Nippon Gear Company, Ltd.
E.C. Hahlbeck Powertrain Engineers, Inc.
R.W. Hankes A-C Equipment Services Corporation
M.A. Hartman ITW

J.M. Hawkins	Rolls-Royce Corporation
G. Henriot	Consultant
M. Hirt	Renk AG
M.R. Hoeprich	Timken Company
R.S. Hyde	Timken Company
K.T. Jones	Boeing Commercial Airplane Group
J.R. Keough	Applied Process, Inc.
H.J. Kim	General Motors Corporation
J.G. Kish	Sikorsky Aircraft Division
R.H. Klundt	Timken Company
I. Laskin	Consultant
D.A. Lauer	Kluber Lubrication North America L.P.
S. Luchetta	Philadelphia Gear Corporation
W. Luo	Chicago Gear - D.O. James Corporation
J.J. Luz	General Electric Company AE Marine Engines
J. Maddock	Consultant
K. Miller	Dana Spicer Off Highway Products
S. Miller	David Brown Engineering, Ltd.
H. Minasian	Consultant
G.P. Mowers	Gary P. Mowers, Inc.
R.A. Nay	Hamilton Sundstrand
A. Noll	Horsburgh & Scott Company
B. O'Connor	The Lubrizol Corporation
M. Octrue	CETIM
J.A. Pennell	University of Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, Design Unit
A.E. Phillips	Rockwell Automation/Dodge (Retired)
A. Piazza	Centro Ricerche Fiat S.p.A.
W.P. Pizzichil	Rockwell Automation/Dodge
J.W. Polder	Delft University of Technology
S. Rao	Philadelphia Gear Corporation
E. Sandberg	Det Norske Veritas
H. Sanderow	Management & Engineering Technologies
C.D. Schultz	Pittsburgh Gear Company
E.S. Scott	Consultant
Y. Sharma	Rockwell Automation/Dodge
B.W. Shirley	Emerson Power Transmission, Gearing Facility
D.F. Smith	Solar Turbines, Inc. Gear Systems
L.J. Smith	Consultant
G.L. Snelling	General Motors Corporation
L. Spiers	Emerson Power Transmission Corporation
W.T. Sumi	Cognis Corporation - Lubricant Technologies
A.A. Swiglo	Alion Science and Technology
K. Taliaferro	Rockwell Automation/Dodge
F.A. Thoma	F.A. Thoma, Inc.
D. Townsend	Townsend Engineering
A. von Graefe	MAAG Gear AG
H.W. Wallis	Cognis Corporation - Lubricant Technologies
C.C. Wang	3E Software & Engineering Consulting
B. Ward	Recovery Systems, LLC
R.F. Wasilewski	Arrow Gear Company

American National Standard – Fundamental Rating Factors and Calculation Methods for Involute Spur and Helical Gear Teeth

1 Scope

1.1 Rating formulas

This standard provides a method by which different gear designs can be theoretically rated and compared. It is not intended to assure the performance of assembled gear drive systems.

These fundamental rating formulas are applicable for rating the pitting resistance and bending strength of internal and external spur and helical involute gear teeth operating on parallel axes. The formulas evaluate gear tooth capacity as influenced by the major factors which affect gear tooth pitting and gear tooth fracture at the fillet radius.

The knowledge and judgment required to evaluate the various rating factors come from years of accumulated experience in designing, manufacturing, and operating gear units. Empirical factors given in this standard are general in nature. AGMA application standards may use other empirical factors that are more closely suited to the particular field of application. This standard is intended for use by the experienced gear designer, capable of selecting reasonable values for the factors. It is not intended for use by the engineering public at large.

1.2 Exceptions

The formulas of this standard are not applicable to other types of gear tooth deterioration such as plastic yielding, wear, case crushing and welding. They are also not applicable when vibratory conditions exceed the limits specified for the normal operation of the gears (see ANSI/AGMA 6000-A88, *Specification for Measurement of Lateral Vibration on Gear Units*).

The formulas of this standard are not applicable when any of the following conditions exist:

- Damaged gear teeth.
- Spur gears with transverse contact ratio, ϵ_a , less than 1.0.
- Spur or helical gears with transverse contact ratio, ϵ_a greater than 2.0.
- Interference exists between tips of teeth and root fillets.
- Teeth are pointed.
- Backlash is zero.
- Undercut exists in an area above the theoretical start of active profile. The effect of this undercut is to move the highest point of single tooth contact, negating the assumption of this calculation method. However, the reduction in tooth root thickness due to protuberance below the active profile is handled correctly by this method.
- The root profiles are stepped or irregular. The Y_J factor calculation uses the stress correction factors developed by Dolan and Broghamer [19]. These factors may not be valid for root forms which are not smooth curves. For root profiles which are stepped or irregular, other stress correction factors may be more appropriate.
- Where root fillets of the gear teeth are produced by a process other than generating.
- The helix angle at the standard (reference) diameter* is greater than 50 degrees.

Scuffing criteria are not included in this standard. A method to evaluate scuffing risk can be found in AGMA 925-A03. This information is provided for

[] Numbers in brackets refer to the reference number listed in the Bibliography.

* Refer to ANSI/AGMA 1012-F90 for further discussion of standard (reference) diameters.