AIAA
R-093-2003

Recommended Practice

Calibration of Subsonic and Transonic Wind Tunnels

AIAA
R-093-2003

Recommended Practice

Calibration of Subsonic and Transonic Wind Tunnels

Sponsor

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Abstract

The calibration of a wind tunnel is a necessary, yet often neglected, process needed to ensure accurate and repeatable test data. In general, a wind tunnel calibration program encompasses many related topics—basic operating condition calibration, flow quality mapping, wall interference, and model blockage corrections are all topics that can be addressed as part of a tunnel calibration program. However, it is not practical to address all of these topics in a single document, so the scope of this recommended practice has been defined as the empty test section calibration of subsonic and transonic wind tunnels. This American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA) Recommended Practice is intended to (1) provide an overview on the calibration of subsonic and transonic wind tunnels and (2) provide a basis for commonality within the wind tunnel community in the area of wind tunnel calibration. This document is a compilation of input from several wind tunnel operators and users that summarizes the best practices and recommendations from these experts.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Recommended practice : calibration of subsonic and transonic wind tunnels.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 1-56347-661-4 (Hardcopy) -- ISBN 1-56347-662-2 (Electronic)
1. Wind tunnels--Calibration. 2. Aerodynamics--Research--Standards.
3. Wind tunnel models--Testing--Standards. I. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

TL567.W5R435 2003
629.134'52--dc22

2003023502

Published by
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
1801 Alexander Bell Drive, Reston VA 20191

Printed in the United States of America.

Contents

Foreword

Wind tunnels are the primary source of test data for basic aerodynamic research and for the design and development of aircraft, aircraft components (including propulsion systems), launch vehicles and land vehicles. Since the 1960's, tens of thousands of wind tunnel test hours have usually been required to complete the development and evaluation of a new aircraft. Because of the advances in instrumentation and data systems that provide more accurate measurement of flow parameters and because of the increased sensitivity of aerodynamic and propulsion system performance to wind tunnel flow quality, the accurate calibration of wind tunnels has become progressively more important. Without detailed knowledge of the wind tunnel operating conditions and flow quality, it is impossible to provide reliable and accurate test data to support the needs of test customers.

In the past, there have been workshops and general meetings to discuss issues pertaining to wind tunnel calibration, but in general, no closure or final recommendations were produced from these exercises. To provide a means of closure on calibration issues, the AIAA Ground Testing Technical Committee (GTTC) formed a working group on wind tunnel calibration methodology. This working group was chartered to bring together wind tunnel calibration experts from various Government, industry, and university organizations to share information on calibration techniques and to ultimately make recommendations on preferred methods for wind tunnel calibration.

Because of the large variance in wind tunnel characteristics across facilities (e.g., Mach number range, size and type of facility, types of testing, model blockage effects, etc.), an exhaustive treatment of all pertinent calibration issues was not practical. Therefore, the working group focused on a subset of wind tunnels and test ranges. On the basis of discussions with wind tunnel users and operators from within the GTTC, the scope of the Wind Tunnel Calibration Methodology Working Group was limited to the empty test section calibration of subsonic and transonic wind tunnels. For this exercise, subsonic wind tunnels have a test section Mach number capability up to 0.5, and transonic tunnels up to 1.5. The working group decided to classify this document as a Recommended Practice because the variety of wind tunnels and types of testing conducted in each tunnel make it difficult to define standards that can be directly applied in all instances. Instead, general recommendations are made on hardware, methodologies, and philosophy such that each reader can determine the best calibration program for his or her situation. This document does not address such issues as wall interference, support interference, or model size. This is not to minimize the importance of these issues, since they must be addressed for each proposed wind tunnel test. However, many of these issues are covered in detail in other reference documents.

In order to facilitate the exchange of information between the member organizations and to build a database on wind tunnel calibrations, each organization wrote a summary report on their calibration procedures. The information in these summary reports became the building blocks for constructing this Recommended Practice.

The members of the GTTC Wind Tunnel Calibration Methodology Working Group were

Allen Arrington, Chairman	QSS Group, Inc., at the NASA Glenn Research Center
Mark Perry, Vice-Chair	Lockheed Martin Corporation
Stephen Arnette	Sverdrup Technology
Alan Boone	NASA Ames Research Center
Colin Britcher	Old Dominion University
Tom Beutner	Air Force Research Lab
Andy Garrell	Veridian Engineering Division

James Hallissy	NASA Langley Research Center
Dennis Hergert	The Boeing Company
Bonnie Johnson	National Institute for Aviation Research
Mark Kammeyer	The Boeing Company
Mike Mills	Sverdrup Technology, AEDC Group
Mark Rennie	Aiolos Engineering Corp.
David Sanford	Micro Craft Technology
Lew Scherer	Northrop Grumman
Frank Steinle	Sverdrup Technology, AEDC Group
James Thain	National Research Council, Canada

On the recommendation of the Wind Tunnel Calibration Working Group the following knowledgeable individuals reviewed the document and provided valuable critique:

Max Amaya	NASA Ames Research Center
Cabot Broughton	National Research Council, Canada
Peter Brown	QSS Group, Inc., at the NASA Glenn Research Center
Joel Everhart	NASA Langley Research Center
Brian Geppart	University of Washington
Jose Gonsalez	QSS Group, Inc., at the NASA Glenn Research Center
Michael Hemsch	NASA Langley Research Center
Frank Jackson	Sverdrup Technology, AEDC Group
Frank Kmak	NASA Ames Research Center
Alan Linne	NASA Glenn Research Center
Kevin Mejia	The Boeing Company
Scott Meredith	Sverdrup Technology
Roman Paryz	Veridian
Fred Peitzman	Northrop Grumman
Juergen Quest	European Transonic Wind Tunnel
Mathew Rueger	The Boeing Company
David Spera	QSS Group, Inc., at the NASA Glenn Research Center
Peter Waudby-Smith	Aiolos Engineering

This document was approved by the AIAA Ground Test Technical Committee (Mr. Allen Arrington, chairman) in January 2003.

The AIAA Standards Executive Council (Mr. Phil Cheney, chairman) approved the document in September 2003.

The AIAA Standards Procedures dictates that all approved Standards, Recommended Practices, and Guides are advisory only. Their use by anyone engaged in industry or trade is entirely voluntary. There is no agreement to adhere to any AIAA standards publication and no commitment to conform to or be guided by standards reports. In formulating, revising, and approving standards publications, the committees on standards will not consider patents that may apply to the subject matter. Prospective users of the publications are responsible for protecting themselves against liability for infringement of patents or copyright or both.

Acknowledgments

The working group chair acknowledges the following significant contributions by nonworking group members: Max Amaya (NASA Ames Research Center) for providing a tunnel calibration example on the Ames 11- by 11-Foot Transonic Wind Tunnel (Annex E), and Nancy Amman (InDyne, Inc., at NASA Glenn) for her editorial review of the draft document and for her considerable aid in making a lot of pieces into one cohesive document.

Dedication

The Wind Tunnel Calibration Working Group dedicates this document in memory of Frank Wright, formerly of the Boeing Company. Frank was not only an original member of the working group, but was also among those originally consulted on the formation of this group. Frank's years of knowledge regarding wind tunnel testing and his insightful comments on topics relating to calibration were particularly important as the group began drafting this document.

1 Executive summary

The purposes of this document are (1) to provide an overview of the calibration of subsonic and transonic wind tunnels and (2) to provide a basis for commonality within the wind tunnel community in the area of wind tunnel calibration. Various standards have been developed over the years in the aeronautics industry, many of which pertain to ground testing. These standards have made it easier to share information and hardware, and have allowed the community to improve its overall effectiveness. This document, however, is not intended to set standards for wind tunnel calibration. Details of calibrating a wind tunnel vary from facility to facility according to the type of tests conducted, the operating envelope of the tunnel, and the physical constraints of the facility, which makes having a rigid definition of calibration procedures inappropriate. Instead, this document provides a set of recommended practices that the reader can use to develop a complete tunnel calibration program.

This document focuses on general calibration practices and principles that should be incorporated into the calibration of any tunnel. It provides recommendations on calibration hardware and instrumentation based on the current knowledge of the authors, along with a list of references that readers can use to develop detailed calibration schemes for their tunnels. Detailed examples have been included to provide insight into the current calibration activities at existing wind tunnel facilities. In addition, this document brings to light topics such as statistical quality control that have only recently been applied to tunnel calibration.

This guide strongly recommends that wind tunnel calibrations become a regular part of the operational cycle for any wind tunnel. A planned schedule of calibration tests should be created and executed to ensure that the wind tunnel is operating properly. Beyond this baseline requirement, planned calibration tests offer the advantage of constructing an extensive database describing the tunnel operation, which can be very useful in determining the cause of changes in a flow field. In short, wind tunnel calibrations should be thought of as a regularly scheduled maintenance activity or diagnostic test. Instead of a series of disconnected tests, the calibration activities should take the form of an ongoing test program.

Properly planned, recurring, and well-documented calibrations of a wind tunnel provide several benefits to the tunnel operator and end user.

- They ensure that the wind tunnel is operating as expected and are useful in identifying problems in the wind tunnel circuit.
- They provide potential customers with a documented assessment of the tunnel calibration and are essential in determining overall data quality.
- They provide data essential for interpretation and correction of test data.
- They provide archival documentation of tunnel operating conditions, so that modifications to the wind tunnel may be assessed for their impact on the operating conditions.
- They aid in establishing statistical process control on wind tunnel test data by providing a database of wind tunnel parameter variability.
- They aid in identifying data anomalies that are attributable to the wind tunnel itself, not to the variability in the calibration process.
- They may indicate, by comparison with previous calibrations, that portions of the wind tunnel circuit or instrumentation are in need of repair or recalibration.
- They facilitate tunnel-to-tunnel data comparisons.

Since calibration is considered a critical item in the health monitoring of a wind tunnel, this guide recommends that experienced personnel be assigned to the execution of calibration tasks. In instances where the calibration tests are perceived as routine, they are commonly used as training exercises for

less experienced personnel in the operation of the wind tunnel. Although training is important, it is also important that an experienced person lead the calibration activities. To maximize the value of a regular program of wind tunnel calibration, it is also important to maintain some level of personnel continuity over the course of the calibration program. A core group of personnel should be assigned to develop, execute, and report on the calibration test program with other personnel included as needed. Forming a team, as opposed to a single lead engineer, to be responsible for all aspects of the tunnel calibration program is the most desirable arrangement since the corporate knowledge can be passed between the team members. This cross training of personnel allows for continuity of calibration execution and task knowledge in the inevitable occurrence of personnel turnover.

Although this document is intended more for the practitioners who will conduct the tunnel calibration activities, it contains important points that managers in charge of wind tunnel operations should consider. The first of these points, which has been largely ignored over the years, is the strategic importance of a properly calibrated wind tunnel. A properly calibrated wind tunnel is required for timely, effective product development. Despite this fundamental point, wind tunnel managers often consider calibrations an option instead of a necessity. There have been instances of major wind tunnels operating for years between significant calibration activities. In the current environment of increased data accuracy requirements and reduced time available for tunnel tests, it is imperative that accurate and complete wind tunnel calibrations are established, maintained, and placed under process control. To not do so is to place at risk substantial investments in wind tunnel testing, which is unacceptable given the alternative of simply maintaining the wind tunnel calibration. In looking at the business case for regular wind tunnel calibration, the question should not be “Can we afford to?” but rather “Can we afford not to?” Regardless of which way the question is posed, the answer requires a valuation in terms that are understood economically by those in control of the investment. We believe that the operator of the wind tunnel should have a vested interest in taking the lead to establish such a valuation.

Some of the major items discussed in this Recommended Practice follow:

- The importance of detailed pretest planning and the use of uncertainty analysis in the planning phase
- The selection of calibration hardware and instrumentation that address the particular facility’s operating range and size, calibration history, and type of tests conducted
- The introduction of statistical process control as a means of tracking the wind tunnel calibration history and ensuring that the facility is operating within acceptable margins
- The frequency of calibration tests, both full or check calibrations, and how the timing of the calibration supports the process control philosophy
- The need for a consistent calibration team composition and the identification of a lead or project engineer
- The importance of documentation and the types of documentation required, ranging from engineering logs to formal test reports. The bottom line is that during a tunnel calibration, everything must be documented. It is then imperative that the results of the calibration and any related implications to the tunnel be quickly communicated to the facility staff and to the test customers
- A nomenclature list is included in Annex A to aid readers.

2 Introduction

2.1 Background

Wind tunnels play a critical role in the development of aircraft, launch vehicles, and land vehicles. Accurate predictions of vehicle and vehicle subsystem performance can be critical in determining whether a potential customer is willing to purchase the vehicle. Advances in instrumentation and data acquisition technology have improved data quality and greatly increased the quantity of measurements that can be made quickly and easily in a wind tunnel test. However, in some instances, the weak link in the data quality chain is a lack of sufficient detail or accuracy in information characterizing the tunnel flow field. Simply stated, the lack of a proper and current calibration can be the governing element of uncertainty in interpreting wind tunnel data. In analyzing and trying to understand data anomalies, the need for current wind tunnel calibration data is amplified further still. Given the aerospace community's concern with data quality, it is in the best interest of the wind tunnel operators to understand and maintain the calibrations of their facilities.

The resources required to calibrate a wind tunnel can be extensive, and since facilities are costly to run and commonly have full test schedules, it is often difficult to promote a proper calibration program as a requirement to maintaining a wind tunnel. However, the knowledge gained from these tests will help recover the cost of the calibrations in many ways. When viewed from an economic standpoint, the operators benefit if customers choose to employ the tunnel because of increased confidence, and the customers benefit if the improvement in data quality helps them to improve their designs. For instance, the current drag measurement goal for subsonic transport tests is one drag count (0.0001), so improving data quality may allow designers to trim a few more drag counts from their aircraft. This can increase payload and, therefore, revenues. Another benefit is that regular wind tunnel calibrations bring a facility under statistical control, which is a process of understanding the variations in the facility's systems and how these variations affect the data. Having the facility in statistical control allows a facility operator to differentiate between normal tunnel variations and true changes in tunnel characteristics. This increases facility availability and improves resource utilization in that no time or funds are wasted trying to fix perceived problems that are really just part of normal tunnel variability.

One goal of this document is to provide a better understanding of a wind tunnel calibration and make higher quality wind tunnel data possible by providing common calibration procedures. Specifically, this document provides guidelines on the empty test section calibration of subsonic and transonic wind tunnels. Another goal of this document is to emphasize the importance of establishing and maintaining wind tunnel calibration and of understanding why changes in a calibration occur. A true tunnel calibration is not only a calibration of specific tunnel parameters but also a comprehensive understanding of the test section flow quality. It is essential that a wind tunnel be calibrated completely and accurately and that this calibration can be maintained over the lifetime of the tunnel.

This document is intended for the use of wind tunnel operators, managers, and customers. In particular, it is meant as a teaching aid for engineers and technicians not familiar with wind tunnel calibration and as a refresher for those who have conducted calibration tests in the past but can benefit from information pertaining to current calibration practices. The methodology outlined in the document could also be used as the foundation for calibration procedures as part of quality assurance initiatives.

Extensive work has been done concerning wind tunnel calibration, as described in References 1 to 3. The most recent analysis of wind tunnel calibration hardware and methodology was done as part of the National Wind Tunnel Complex proposal (Reference 4). The purpose of this document is to provide guidelines on wind tunnel calibration procedures that, along with the existing body of work, will improve wind tunnel data quality. Adoption of the guidelines presented here could be the first step in moving toward international standards that would permit accurate investigation of tunnel-to-tunnel-to-flight test-bias issues. Other benefits of a common wind tunnel calibration methodology include: