

AIAA S-117A-2016

Standard

Space Systems Verification Program and Management Process

AIAA standards are copyrighted by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA), 12700 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, VA 20191-5807 USA. All rights reserved.

AIAA grants you a license as follows: The right to download an electronic file of this AIAA standard for storage on one computer for purposes of viewing, and/or printing one copy of the AIAA standard for individual use. Neither the electronic file nor the hard copy print may be reproduced in any way. In addition, the electronic file may not be distributed elsewhere over computer networks or otherwise. The hard copy print may only be distributed to other employees for their internal use within your organization.



This is a preview of "AIAA S-117A-2016". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

AIAA S-117A-2016

Standard

Space System Verification Program and Management Process

Sponsored by

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Approved 3 June 2016

Abstract

This standard is an AIAA mandatory 5 year review version of the original AIAA S-117-2010.

This updated version continues to be intended for commercial/noncommercial manned or unmanned space programs to achieve a key Mission Assurance goal of “Robust yet Cost Effective” systems by enforcing a “distributed” verification program for planning and executing “system is built right” verification activities

It provides a set of requirements that utilizes a standardized set of “six” verification management processes starting from the earliest phase and the lowest level of a program to develop a robust system since even a single overlooked deficiency could cause very costly late changes or catastrophic post-launch failures of any heritage/new space systems.

AIAA S-117A-2016

As such, it corrects fundamental problems associated with the traditional “centralized” approach, those dictated by “Total System Program Responsibility (TSPR)”, or “Faster, Better, Cheaper” policy that often caused program failures due to overlooking deficiencies in their systems.

Finally, this verification standard facilitates the closely coordinated verification and validation (V&V) activities based on the similar “distributed” systems engineering processes from the earliest and the lowest system development.

Published by
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
12700 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, VA 20191

Copyright © 2016 American Institute of Aeronautics and
Astronautics
All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system
or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-1-62410-444-2

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Foreword | v |
| Introduction | vii |
| 1 Scope..... | 1 |
| 2 Tailoring | 1 |
| 3 Applicable Documents and Reference Documents | 1 |
| 3.1 Applicable Documents | 1 |
| 3.2 Reference Documents | 1 |
| 4 Vocabulary | 2 |
| 4.1 Acronyms and Abbreviated Terms | 2 |
| 4.2 Terms and Definitions | 3 |
| 5 Requirements for Space System (SS) Verification Program and Management Processes..... | 9 |
| 5.1 SS Verification Program | 9 |
| 5.2 SS Verification Plans | 11 |
| 5.3 Standardized Modular Distributed Verification Management Processes | 13 |
| 5.4 Use of Distributed Verification Management Process for Late Changes and Heritage/Commercial Systems | 20 |
| Annex A A Typical Space System and an Example of WBS-WG-Based Verification Management Structure (Informative)..... | 23 |
| Annex B Review of Verification Plans for SS and Lower System Level, Including Those Developed by Subcontractor/Vendor (Informative) | 24 |
| B.1 Outline Requirements | 24 |
| B.2 Document Delivery Requirements | 24 |
| B.3 Review of Requirement Flow-Down and Establishment of Specifications | 24 |
| B.4 Analysis, Test, Inspection, and Demonstration Plan for SS and Lower Level Systems (Based on VCRM Process) | 25 |
| B.5 I&T Plans for the SS and Lower Level Systems..... | 26 |
| B.6 ISDVL Plans for the SS Element, Segment, Module, System, Unit, and Contractor/Subcontractor/Vendor | 26 |
| B.7 SS and Lower Level Systems Sell-Off and Consent-to-Ship Data Package | 27 |
| B.8 Verification Issue/Watch List Management Plan for SS, Segment, Higher Level External IF, and Module | 27 |
| Annex C Deliverable/Review Documents Associated With Each Distributed Verification Management Process (Informative) | 29 |
| Annex D Required Minimum Contents of Individual Specification Dedicated Verification Ledger (ISDVL) (Informative) | 30 |
| Annex E Check List for Planning and Executing Late Changes, Heritage, or Commercial System Applications (Informative) | 32 |
| E.1 Scope..... | 32 |

AIAA S-117A-2016

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| E.2 | Checklist for Planning and Executing Late Changes, Heritage, or Commercial System Applications: Requirement/CONOPS Related | 32 |
| E.3 | Checklist for Planning and Executing Late Changes, Heritage, or Commercial System Applications: Design and Analysis Related | 32 |
| E.4 | Checklist for Planning and Executing Late Changes, Heritage, or Commercial System Applications: Manufacturing Related | 33 |
| E.5 | Checklist for Planning and Executing Late Changes, Heritage, or Commercial System Applications: System Integration and Test Related | 34 |
| E.6 | Checklist for Planning and Executing Late Changes, Heritage, or Commercial System Applications: Piece Parts and Materials Related | 34 |

Figures

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure A-1 | Example of Verification Management Structure | 23 |
|------------|--|----|

Tables

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table C-1 | Space Systems Verification Program and Plan Developments & Reviews Requirements for Prime Contractor, Subcontractors, and Vendors | 29 |
| Table D-1 | Required Minimum Contents of Individual Specification Dedicated Verification Ledger (ISDVL)..... | 31 |

Foreword

This standard has been developed by the AIAA Systems Engineering Committee on Standards (SECoS) under the auspices of AIAA Standard Executive Council (SEC).

The standard delineates a specific set of requirements for each commercial/noncommercial space program to successfully achieve a key mission assurance goal of “Robust yet Cheaper” system developments based on a “distributed” verification program and associated processes. Namely, the “distributed” verification program requires all the participants, including the prime developer and associated subcontractors, and vendors, of a space program to implement the standardized set of “six” verification management processes described in this standard in developing each of their responsible part of a space system.

In particular, this standard uniformly applies to the verification of space and launch vehicles, ground systems and associated devices, units, subsystems, and internal/external interfaces regardless of builders that are engaged in the development of any of these components.

Adhering to the requirements specified in this standard by each space program is important to avoid overlooking of deficiencies associated with requirements, designs and analyses, or tests, etc., as earliest phase/lowest level of system development as possible in order to prevent/minimize typically very costly late changes and catastrophic post-launch mishaps, in the worst case.

The required “distributed” verification program and its processes also facilitate implementation of similar “distributed” validation program such as those conducted by third-party participants in the work breakdown structure—integrated product team (WBS-IPT) as well as Development Test and Evaluation (DT&E), Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E), or Independent Readiness Review (IRR) teams.

In any regard, this standard can be tailored to be applicable to any space programs such as of lean acquiring groups as long as the tailoring will not jeopardized the prevention of any very costly/catastrophic post-launch mishap.

This AIAA standard was developed as the result of a series of reviews by the SECoS, SEC, and the general public.

At the time of approval, the members of the AIAA SECoS who participated in the 5yrs review of this standard are listed in the table below (See next page)

AIAA S-117A-2016

| | | | |
|------------|------------|---|----------|
| Bailey | Michelle | DAU South | |
| Blackstock | Dexter Lee | NASA Langley | |
| Brandt | Shirley | Jacobs Engineering | |
| Conrow | Edmund H. | Management and Technology Assoc. | |
| Dahlgren | John | MITRE | |
| Day | John | NASA- Caltech JPL | |
| French | Mat | Rolls-Royce | Co-chair |
| Hiremath | Mahantesh | Space Systems Loral | |
| Hsu | John C. | CSULB | |
| Jensen | Stephen | NASA Dryden Flight Research Center | |
| Muehlbauer | John C. | Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company, Retired | |
| Nagano | Satoshi | Independent Consultant | Chair |
| Nichols | Eric E. | Orbital Sciences Corp. | Co-chair |
| Selvy | Brian | Large Synoptic Survey Telescope, Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy" (AURA). | |
| Vaughan | William W. | NASA Emeritus | |

The above SE CoS consensus body approved this document in May 2016. The above consensus body submitted this document to the AIAA Standards Executive Council (SEC) for their review in May 2016. The AIAA SEC (Allen Arrington, Chair) accepted the document for publication in June 2016.

The AIAA Standards Procedures dictates that all approved Standards, Recommended Practices, and Guides are advisory only. Their use by anyone engaged in industry or trade is entirely voluntary. There is no agreement to adhere to any AIAA standards publication and no commitment to conform to or be guided by standards reports. In formulating, revising, and approving standards publications, the committees on standards will not consider patents that may apply to the subject matter. Prospective users of the publications are responsible for protecting themselves against liability for infringement of patents or copyright or both.

Introduction

It is critical for each commercial/noncommercial space system (SS) acquisition program to establish a standard set of management processes that enforce the “system is built right” verification approaches from the earliest phase and the lowest level of system development in order to achieve successful mission assurance. This is based on survey evidence indicating that very costly “late changes” or post-launch mishaps could have been avoided by implementing a thorough verification program. The findings show that such a program is needed throughout the system development phases/levels including those associated with system building facility and the launch site activities (see Reference 1).

This document outlines “distributed” verification program as a space system community standard that implements a set of six verification management processes in every level and phase of a system’s development as follows:

- (1) VM-Process 1: Requirement flow-down and establishment of specification process
- (2) VM-Process 2: Verification cross-reference matrix (VCRM) process
- (3) VM-Process 3: Integration and test (I&T) process
- (4) VM-Process 4: Individual specification dedicated verification ledger (ISDVL) process
- (5) VM-Process 5: Sell-Off/Consent-to-Ship process
- (6) VM-Process 6: Verification-related risk management process

When applied, these management processes enforce verification approaches that are consistent and uniform among all space system builders.

These processes also enable and encourage each system developer to conduct proactive and continual risk management. This includes the use of issue and watch lists to help identify and resolve concerns at the earliest phase and lowest level of the system being developed.

This “distributed” verification process, if applied from the start of a program, will also ensure thorough re-verification of any late changes that might occur.

Furthermore, this standard will also help each space program to properly apply any heritage/commercial systems to a new program by examining whether the applicability of these systems have been thoroughly verified based on the distributed verification program and its processes. Namely, appropriate modifications of any heritage systems for new/modified systems will be systematically accomplished by applying these six verification management processes.

Although this standard focuses on system verification, it includes some requirements for system validation because of the close relationship between these two activities, verification and validation (V&V), i.e., requirements developed for verification are frequently applicable to validation efforts.

This is a preview of "AIAA S-117A-2016". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

1 Scope

This standard establishes a set of requirements for planning and executing verification programs for commercial/noncommercial manned and unmanned space systems.

This standard enforces the “distributed” verification program among general space system builders that engage in the development of any components of a space system, starting at the lowest level (i.e., unit/piece part level) and the earliest phase (i.e., requirement phase) through to the sell-off and the consent-to-ship of a system’s development as well as the launch site activities.

Although space systems generally include all or combinations of five segments—Space Segment, Launch Segment, Ground Segment (GS), User Segment, and Satellite Control Network Segment—this standard primarily addresses verification associated with space segment, launch segment, and ground segment acquisitions. Launch segments including range safety, ground support equipment, and launch operation facilities, which are not otherwise addressed in this document, may also benefit from the described verification management processes.

The standard may be adapted to the remaining two space systems: the user segment and the satellite control network segment.

2 Tailoring

In order to better support a specific program or project, the processes defined in this standard may be tailored to match the actual requirements or needs of the particular program as long as any tailoring will not accidentally lead to overlooking of technical deficiencies that could cause very costly late changes or catastrophic post launch failures. Any tailoring of this document should be coordinated with/approved by the procuring authority or customer.

NOTE Tailoring is a process by which individual requirements or specifications, standards, and related documents are evaluated and made applicable to a specific program or project.

3 Applicable Documents and Reference Documents

3.1 Applicable Documents

These documents should support a specification by including additional guidance on the verification method, approach, and success criteria, and by promoting compliance through design, analysis, manufacturing, test, and system acceptance at each level of the system’s development.

3.2 Reference Documents

Reference documents are defined as documents that are not contractually binding but that may contain useful or supporting information. A list of references used for developing this standard is as follows:

- Reference 1: AIAA 2007-6099 Solid Verification Program Enables Cost-Effective Acquisition of Complex yet Reliable Space Systems, September 2007
- Reference 2: INCOSE Systems Engineering Handbook Version 3.2, February 15, 2010
- Reference 3: NPR 7123.1 NASA Systems Engineering Processes and Requirements, March 26, 2007
- Reference 4: ANSI/EIA-632 EIA Standard, Processes for Engineering a System, 1999