

ANSI/AIAA G-043B-2018
(Revision of G-043A-2012)

Guide

American National Standard

Guide to the Preparation of Operational Concept Documents

AIAA standards are copyrighted by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA), 12700 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, VA 20191-5807 USA. All rights reserved.

AIAA grants you a license as follows: The right to download an electronic file of this AIAA standard for storage on one computer for purposes of viewing, and/or printing one copy of the AIAA standard for individual use. Neither the electronic file nor the hard copy print may be reproduced in any way. In addition, the electronic file may not be distributed elsewhere over computer networks or otherwise. The hard copy print may only be distributed to other employees for their internal use within your organization.

ANSI/AIAA G-043B-2018
(Revision of G-043A-2012)

American National Standard

Guide to the Preparation of Operation Concept Documents

Sponsored by

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Approved 13 April 2018

American National Standards Institute

Approved 3 May 2018

Abstract

A recognized systems engineering best practice is early development of operational concepts during system development and documentation of those operational concepts in one or more operational concept documents. Recognizing this best practice, U. S. Department of Defense (DoD) and NASA standard procedures have required that information relating to system operational concepts be prepared in support of the specification and development of systems. In the past, the DoD has published Data Item Descriptions (DIDs), and NASA has published Data Requirements Documents (DRDs), which describe the format and content of the information to be provided.

This AIAA Guide describes which types of information are most relevant, their purpose, and who should participate in the operational concept development effort. It also provides advice regarding effective procedures for generation of the information and how to document it.

This is a preview of "ANSI/AIAA G-043B-201...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

American National Standard

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to affirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of approval. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

Published by
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
12700 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, VA 20191

Copyright © 2018 American Institute of Aeronautics and
Astronautics
All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-1-62410-548-7

Contents

Foreword	vi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 System	1
1.3 Operational Concept Document Versus Concept of Operations Document	1
1.4 Other Sources	4
1.5 Structure of the Guide	7
2 Scope	7
3 Tailoring	7
4 Applicable Documents	7
5 Vocabulary	7
5.1 Acronyms and Abbreviated Terms	7
5.2 Terms and Definitions	9
6 Operational Concepts	12
6.1 Purpose of the OCD	13
6.2 Operational Concept Document in the Development Process	16
6.3 Perspectives on Operational Concept Analysis	17
6.4 Operational Concept Documents and Use Cases	21
6.5 Intended Audience	21
6.6 When to Generate an OCD	23
6.7 OCD Maintenance	23
7 Operational Concept Development Guidance	24
7.1 Establish OCD Development Team	24
7.2 Participants	24
7.3 Generating OCD Content	25
7.4 Agreed Format and Style	30
8 Bibliography	32
Annex A Recommended OCD Content (Informative)	36
A.1 Scope	37
A.2 Referenced Documents	37
A.3 Background Information	37
A.4 Existing Systems and Operations	38
A.5 Proposed System Operational Overview	38
A.6 System Overview	40

A.7	Operational Processes.....	42
A.8	Other Operational Needs	43
A.9	Analysis of the Proposed System	44
A.10	Appendix A: Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Glossary	45
A.11	Appendix B: System Operational Scenarios	45
	Annex B Background to This Guide (Informative).....	46

Figures

Figure 1	— Single organization-wide concept of operations may lead to many operational concepts.....	4
Figure 2	— Operational concept grows through the product life cycle.....	5
Figure 3	— Strategy-to-task-to-need links organization (enterprise) policies to operational concepts.....	16
Figure 4	— An operational concept document describes the system and its context with both text and graphics in the user’s terminology.....	17
Figure 5	— An effective operational concept document will “tell a story” from the operators’ users’ point of view to a wide and varied audience.....	19
Figure 6	— EIA 632 “Building Block” Model provides guidance in determining system scope and boundaries.....	16
Figure 7	— The key to a successful operations concept document is the development of operational scenarios.....	27
Figure 8	— Outline for operations concept document.....	31

Tables

Table A.1	— Mapping of annex clauses to OCD sections.....	36
-----------	---	----

Revisions

Change Number	Comments
1	Some revisions made regarding membership, definition, Bibliography, 6.2.1, and editorial matter.
2	Public Comments incorporated

Foreword

This *Guide for the Preparation of Operational Concept Documents* (OCD) has been sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA) as a part of its Standards Program. It is an update and extension of the original ANSI/AIAA Guide (ANSI/AIAA G-043-1992), incorporating new insights, knowledge, and experience that have been recognized since the Guide's original publication. The original Guide was developed by the AIAA Software Systems Committee on Standards and formed a sound foundation for this updated version. This edition of the Guide has been prepared by the AIAA Systems Engineering Committee on Standards, the AIAA Software Engineering Technical Committee, and the Requirements Working Group of the International Council on Systems Engineering.

At the time that the original Guide was published, various government standards required the generation of operations concept information. The U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) had developed Data Item Descriptions (DIDs), but little information was provided describing the manner in which an Operational Concept Document should be used in support of systems development. No guidelines were provided regarding which information was most useful, how to develop that information, which developer and customer personnel should participate, or how to document it.

Subsequent to the publication of the original Guide, the DoD embarked on a substantial acquisition reform activity, which resulted in the cancellation of many standards that had guided the development of systems and software in favor of comparable commercial standards. In the same time period, guides to the preparation of Operational Concept Documents were published by the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE 1362) and by ISO (ISO 14711:2002 (E)). Lastly, many advances have been made in the last decade in methods used in systems and software development, not the least of which has been the expansion of object orientation and the development of the Unified Modeling Language (UML) and the Systems Modeling Language (SysML).

The original Guide, ANSI/AIAA G-043-1992, was subject to review and revision in 1997. At approximately that time, members of the International Council on Systems Engineering Requirements Working Group (INCOSE RWG) had recognized a need for such a Guide and had begun work on their own document. After discussion between both organizations, the INCOSE RWG and the AIAA Systems Engineering Committee on Standards (SECoS) decided to work jointly on the revision of the ANSI Guide. In addition, the INCOSE Net-Centric Operations (NCO) Working Group has worked jointly with the AIAA SETC in reviewing the G-043 document. The NCO Working Group has concurred with the contents. Although the review and revision process was begun in 1997, it was not completed until 2011.

The 2012 version of the "Guide for the Preparation of Operational Concept Documents", in addition to including new information, had been broadened to encompass the development of all system types, including software-intensive systems, and to reflect technological advances of the last decade. Development of the current Guide has benefited from the cooperative effort between the AIAA and INCOSE by providing a broad systems-level viewpoint and inclusion of international knowledge, information, and experience.

This 2018 version of the "Guide for the Preparation of Operational Concept Documents" was developed by the SECoS which had also coordinated the review and publication of the 2012 version

This publication is a Guide and contains no normative material. It is informative only.

At the time of approval, the members of the AIAA Systems Engineering Committee on Standards (SECoS) were as follows:

Name	Last Name	Company	
Michelle	Bailey	DAU South	
Dexter	Blackstock	NASA Langley	
Shirley	Brandt	Jacobs Engineering	
Conrow	Edmund	Management and Technology Assoc.	
John	Dahlgren	MITRE	
John	Eiler	Stellar Solutions	
Mat	French	Rolls Royce	Deputy-Chair
John	Hsu	California State University Long Beach	
Stephen	Jensen	NASA Dryden Flight Center	
Tony	Lindeman	Jacobs Engineering	
John	Muehlbauer	AIAA SETC	
Eric	Nichols	Orbital ATK	Deputy-Chair
Satoshi	Nagano	Independent Consultant	Chair
Kristin	Rozier	Iowa State University	
William	Vaughan	Independent Consultant	

The AIAA Systems Engineering Committee on Standards (Satoshi Nagano, Chairperson) approved this document for publication in February 2018.

The AIAA Standards Executive Council (Allen Arrington, Chairperson) accepted this document for publication in April 2018.

The AIAA Standards Procedures provide that all approved Standards, Recommended Practices, and Guides are advisory only. Their use by anyone engaged in industry or trade is entirely voluntary. There is no agreement to adhere to any AIAA standards publication and no commitment to conform to or be guided by a standards report. In formulating, revising, and approving standards publications, the Committees on Standards will not consider patents, which may apply to the subject matter. Prospective users of the publications are responsible for protecting themselves against liability for infringement of patents or copyrights, or both.

This is a preview of "ANSI/AIAA G-043B-201...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Guide is twofold. First, the Guide describes a time-tested process for operational concept development. Second, it is intended to recommend how to compile the information developed during operational concept development into one or more Operational Concept Documents (OCDs) encompassing the full range of the product lifecycle (Haskins, 2010): concept, development, production, utilization, support, and retirement stages.

1.2 System

The Operational Concept is prepared initially to support the concept and development stages of the *system* life cycle. The Operational Concept is then maintained throughout the Program to support the production, utilization, support, and retirement stages of the system life cycle. As the concept of “system” is central to the Operational Concept and its preparation and maintenance, for the purposes of this Guide, a *system* is defined as:

A combination of interacting elements organized to achieve one or more stated purposes. A system may be considered as a product or as the services it provides. In practice, the interpretation of its meaning is frequently clarified by the use of an associative noun (e.g., aircraft system). Alternatively, the word “system” may be substituted simply by a context-dependent synonym (e.g., aircraft), though this may then obscure the system principles perspective [after ISO, 2008].

Early in the system development activity, a system is conceptual in nature. As the development effort continues, the system becomes realized in hardware, software, materials, personnel, facilities, and processes.

A system may consist of several levels where each element at each lower level may by this definition itself be considered a *system* (i.e., a subsystem of a large system may itself possess all of the attributes of a system).

1.3 Operational Concept Document Versus Concept of Operations Document

The terms “operational concept” and “concept of operations,” and the terms “operational concept document” and “concept of operations document,” are often used interchangeably in system development. Although there are similarities between the two terms in each set, there are also significant differences. It is important to understand the term “concept of operations” and “operational concept” before trying to understand the terms describing the documents.

For the purposes of this Guide, a distinction shall be made between the terms “concept of operations” and “operational concept.” Each has a separate purpose and is prepared and used to meet separate ends. It is imperative that the system and software engineering communities within a given Program agree on the usage of the two terms, and use this Guide in accordance with the Program-specific meanings. The terms “concept of operations” and “operational concept” will be used as defined below throughout this Guide.

1.3.1 Concept of Operations

A concept of operations is an abstract model created by an organization or enterprise¹ to describe how it intends to operate to achieve its goals and objectives. The concept of operations may be very high level and independent of the particular systems to be used in the organization or enterprise operations or it may be developed as part of the process for acquisition of a new, upgraded, or modified system. A detailed

¹ See Section 5.2, Definitions, for the distinction between “organization” and “enterprise.”