

CQI-10 *Effective Problem Solving Guideline*



A Guideline for Culture, Process, Tools, & Training





AIAG PUBLICATIONS

An AIAG publication reflects a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. An AIAG publication is intended as a guide to aid the manufacturer, the consumer and the general public. The existence of an AIAG publication does not in any respect preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the publication.

CAUTIONARY NOTICE

AIAG publications are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

MAINTENANCE PROCEDURE

Recognizing that this AIAG publication may not cover all circumstances, AIAG has established a maintenance procedure. Please refer to the Maintenance Request Form at the back of this document to submit a request.

APPROVAL STATUS

The AIAG Quality Steering Committee and designated stakeholders approved this document for publication on October 20, 2005.

Published by: Automotive Industry Action Group 26200 Lahser Road, Suite 200 Southfield, Michigan 48034 Phone: (248) 358-3570 • Fax: (248) 358-3253

AIAG Copyright and Trademark Notice:

The contents of all published materials are copyrighted by the Automotive Industry Action Group unless otherwise indicated. Copyright is not claimed as to any part of an original work prepared by a U.S. or state government officer or employee as part of the person's official duties. All rights are preserved by AIAG, and content may not be altered or disseminated, published, or transferred in part of such content. The information is not to be sold in part or whole to anyone within your organization or to another company. Copyright infringement is a violation of federal law subject to criminal and civil penalties. AIAG and the Automotive Industry Action Group are registered service marks of the Automotive Industry Action Group.

© 2006 Automotive Industry Action Group



Effective Problem Solving



LETTER OF ENDORSEMENT

Quality of product is of paramount importance to customer satisfaction and market competitiveness. Effective Problem Solving directly impacts product quality and company profitability.

Currently, companies use their respective problem solving processes and methods to comply with customer and certification requirements. Generally, problem solving results address the immediate issue and serve to satisfy the customer for the short term. While some organizations have developed problem solving processes that are robust and effective, all companies should pursue the opportunity to evaluate their processes and identify opportunities for improvement.

The *Effective Problem Solving Guideline* represents a consensus of the problem solving methodology and concepts used today by automotive OEMs and the supply chain. This consensus of problem solving methodology should improve the consistency of problem solving results in all segments and at all levels of the industry.

Enhancing the fundamental structure of this consolidated problem solving process is the integration of cultural behaviors that challenge company management to consider problem solving as a strategic planning tool to achieve business success.

The impact of effective problem solving extends beyond the immediate issue. Taking the experience and lessons from an issue and implementing them throughout an organization for similar products and processes will reduce the risk of recurrence and proactively improve first-time quality.

In addition, eliminating recurrent problems directly impacts profitability by improving cost-of-quality measures. As competitive pressures force efficiency improvements, the effective execution of problem solving reduces the waste of repetitive problem solving action.

Many company problem solving processes have structured methods, nomenclature, and verbiage that are unique and institutionalized. We suggest that the concepts and principles of the *Effective Problem Solving Guideline* be used as a benchmark for comparison to identify opportunities for improvement.

Through this endorsement, the following OEM and Tier 1 manufacturers expect that suppliers or producers of automobile systems, components, or material consider how the intent and principles of the *Effective Problem Solving Guideline* can be applied within their existing problem solving processes.

Aziz Aghili Vice President – Procurement ArvinMeritor

Tony Brown Senior Vice President – Global Purchasing Ford

bsm.

Peter Rosenfeld Executive Vice President – Procurement and Supply DaimlerChrysler

Thomas S. Hahn Vice President – Purchasing Freudenberg-NOK General Partnership

Bryce Currie Vice President – Purchasing TRW Automotive

X while dela

"R. David Nelson" Vice President – Purchasing Delphi Corporation

Bo I. Andersson Vice President, Global Purchasing and supply chain General Motors



FOREWORD

Members of the Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG) Problem Solving Work Group developed this *Effective Problem Solving (EPS) Guideline* for AIAG member companies and their supplier community to use for improving overall customer satisfaction and industry-wide competitiveness by improving quality and reducing costs.

The Work Group members and their sponsoring companies recognized that ineffective problem solving contributes to quality issues that impact customer satisfaction. Further, there's a compelling need to address the large amount of waste, in both financial and human terms, generated in the automotive industry due to ineffective problem solving. The Work Group identified the major contributors to the waste to be the following:

- weak or inconsistent industry- and company-wide problem solving cultures,
- failure to use an effective problem solving process,
- ineffective problem solving skills, and
- variations in industry and company communications and support structures for problem solving.

What's EPS going to do for you and your company that so many other books, initiatives, and tools haven't already provided? Simply put, EPS is about a new way of thinking, acting, and doing with regard to getting the most value out of your problem solving process.

Ask yourself the following questions, and be honest:

- Does your company promote a culture where problems are viewed as opportunities and ALL employees are encouraged to be actively involved in using structured problem solving as part of their jobs every day?
- Is your company doing everything it can to improve quality, reduce cost, and eliminate waste through the use of effective problem solving?
- Is it clear who "owns" problem solving in your company?
- Are you, as a top leader or manager, personally involved in encouraging and coaching your employees to make the problem solving process more effective?
- Do you know how much money your company is wasting due to poor launches, added containment, recurring concerns?
- Is your problem solving activity more proactive than reactive?
- Do you regularly review the results of your problem solving activities?
- Do you have a way to measure the effectiveness of your problem solving process?

If the answer to any of these questions is NO, then your company would benefit by adopting EPS.



So, as a leader, what should you do next?

Do what you do best: Lead and reinforce the values that you already know are important to your business. When it comes to problem solving, the values include these:

- protect the customer first,
- coach your employees to adopt and use effective problem solving as part of their jobs, and
- value consistent application of the problem solving tools and method.

Section 2 of this guidebook is dedicated to the topic of problem solving cultural change and desired problem solving behaviors and values. These values are both universal, and steadfast. Establishing these values can directly impact the effectiveness of problem solving and the bottom line of your company.

Leading an organization with the right EPS values can ensure the right behaviors by insisting that your culture responds to the right measures and metrics of effective problem solving. The metrics come naturally when the language of the organization is modeled around the right behaviors. The language of the organization is developed by its leaders knowing the right questions to ask. This guidebook will help leaders change the culture of their organization by providing a simple set of questions that guide behavior change toward Effective Problem Solving.

When all is said and done, for EPS to be effective in a company, the top leaders and managers at all levels must get involved and "lead by example." This guidebook provides the foundation. It's up to you to act.



Effective Problem Solving

Effective Problem Solving



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This document was developed by the Problem Solving Work Group to address the automotive industry's need for improved problem solving capabilities.

At the time the original document was published, the following individuals contributed to the development and deployment of this common process:

| Frederick Arndt | Delphi Corporation |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Robert Cranford | TRW Automotive |
| H. Sam Hamade | Ford Motor Company |
| Fred Johns | Ford Motor Company |
| Jerod Long | General Motors Corporation |
| T. Harrison Priest Jr. | DaimlerChrysler Corporation |
| Dan Roche | ArvinMeritor, Inc. |
| Lyndon Smith | Freudenberg-NOK General Partnership |
| Al Stock | Robert Bosch Corporation |
| Jeff Sutter | Delphi Corporation |



Effective Problem Solving

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| LETT | ER OF ENDORSEMENT | 3 |
|-------|---|----|
| FORE | WORD | 4 |
| ACKN | NOWLEDGEMENTS | 7 |
| TABL | E OF CONTENTS | 8 |
| FIGUI | RES | 11 |
| TAI | BLES | 13 |
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 15 |
| 1.1 | QUICK START GUIDE | |
| 1.2 | BACKGROUND | 16 |
| 1.3 | APPLICABILITY | 17 |
| 1.4 | IS / IS NOT FOR PROBLEM SOLVING | |
| 1.5 | How This Guideline Is Organized | 19 |
| 2.0 | LEADING PROBLEM SOLVING FOR CULTURAL CHANGE | |
| 2.1 | INTRODUCTION | |
| 2.2 | Foundational Problem Solving Behaviors & Values | |
| 2.3 | DESIRED PROBLEM SOLVING BEHAVIORS FOR EXECUTIVE LEADERSHIP | 24 |
| 2.4 | DESIRED PROBLEM SOLVING BEHAVIORS FOR PROBLEM OWNERS | 25 |
| 2.5 | DESIRED PROBLEM SOLVING BEHAVIORS FOR PROBLEM SOLVERS | |
| 2.6 | DESIRED PROBLEM SOLVING BEHAVIORS FOR CUSTOMERS & SUPPLIERS | |
| 3.0 | PROBLEM SOLVING PROCESS SURVEY | |
| 3.1 | NEXT STEPS TO ADDRESS GAPS FROM THE PROBLEM SOLVING SURVEY | |
| 4.0 | EFFECTIVE PROBLEM SOLVING PROCESS | |
| 4.1 | RECOMMENDED PROBLEM SOLVING PROCESS | |
| 4 | .1.1 Problem Notification | |
| 4 | .1.2 Problem Identification | |
| 4 | .1.3 Containment | |
| 4 | .1.4 Failure Mode Analysis | |
| 4 | .1.5 Root Cause Analysis | 41 |
| 4 | .1.6 Choose & Implement Corrective Actions | |
| 4 | .1.7 Control & Standardize | |
| 5.0 | PROBLEM SOLVING TOOLS | 53 |
| 6.0 | PROBLEM SOLVING TRAINING AND COMPETENCIES | 55 |
| 6.1 | TRAINING FOR COMPETENCY SKILLS | 55 |
| 6.2 | COMPETENCY DEVELOPMENT MODEL | 55 |
| 6 | .2.1 Competency Development | 55 |
| 6 | .2.2 Competency Development Model | 56 |
| 6.3 | TRAINING DELIVERY | |
| 6.4 | TRAINING SUPPORT AND RESOURCES | |
| 7.0 | LESSONS LEARNED – A SUMMARY OF EPS | 59 |

Effective Problem Solving



| APPENDIX A. PROBLEM SOLVING PROCESS SURVEY | 61 |
|--|----------|
| APPENDIX B: EFFECTIVE PROBLEM SOLVING REPORTING FORMAT (PAGE1) | 74 |
| APPENDIX C: PROBLEM SOLVING TOOL DESCRIPTIONS | 78 |
| 5 WHY ANALYSIS | 79 |
| BENCHMARKING / BEST PRACTICES | 80 |
| Benchmarking a Process Benchmarking a Product | 81 82 |
| BRAINSTORMING | 84 |
| CAPABILITY (QUALITY) INDEX | 86 |
| CAUSE-AND-EFFECT DIAGRAM | 90 |
| CHECKSHEET | 94 |
| CONCENTRATION DIAGRAM | 95 |
| CONTAINMENT PROCESS | 96 |
| CONTROL CHART | 100 |
| DECISION MATRIX | 103 |
| DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS | 106 |
| DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS (DOE) | 108 |
| DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS (FULL FACTORIAL / FRACTIONAL FACTORIAL) | 109 |
| DESIGN ERROR-PROOFING / PROCESS MISTAKE-PROOFING | 116 |
| ERROR-PROOFING | 117 |
| FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS | 120 |
| FAULT TREE ANALYSIS | 122 |
| FLOWCHARTING | 126 |
| GANTT CHART | 129 |
| HISTOGRAM | 131 |
| HYPOTHESIS TESTING | 133 |
| IS / IS NOT (STRATIFICATION ANALYSIS) | 134 |
| LESSONS LEARNED DATABASE | 137 |