

**B11.TR7 – 2007**

**ANSI Technical Report for Machines –**

## **Designing for Safety and Lean Manufacturing**

A guide on integrating safety and lean manufacturing principles in the use of machinery

**Registered: MAY 6, 2007**

by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

Secretariat and Standards Developing Organization:

**AMT- The Association For Manufacturing Technology  
Technology Department  
7901 Westpark Drive  
McLean, VA 22102**

**Copyright; All rights reserved**

**No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.**

**Printed in the United States of America**

## **ABSTRACT**

Lean manufacturing includes a variety of initiatives, technologies and methods used to improve productivity (better and faster throughput) by reducing waste, costs and complexity from manufacturing processes. However, the effort to get lean has too frequently led to the misapplication of lean manufacturing principles in ways that result in significant risks to worker safety and to the goal of lean manufacturing. Safety is a critical element in the lean manufacturing effort to yield processes that are better, faster, less wasteful and safer. This document provides guidance for persons responsible for integrating safety into lean manufacturing efforts. This integration is only possible if lean manufacturing concepts and safety concerns of machinery are addressed concurrently. A brief overview of lean manufacturing concepts is presented. The challenge of concurrently addressing safety and lean is described and examples demonstrate situations where this has not occurred. A process model for safety and lean is presented. A risk assessment framework is outlined that demonstrates how lean manufacturing concepts and safety can be implemented concurrently. Examples where safety and lean have been successfully applied are shared. This document also provides design guidelines on how to meet lean objectives without compromising safety. This document does not provide detailed guidance on lean methodologies, the risk assessment process or how to reduce risk. Readers seeking detailed guidance on these topics should consult the references listed in clause 2, the B11 series of standards or other sources.

## **PURPOSE**

This document provides guidance for persons interested in how to concurrently address lean manufacturing concepts and safety concerns of machinery. A brief overview of lean manufacturing concepts is presented. The challenge of concurrently addressing safety and lean is described and examples are provided to demonstrate situations where this has not occurred. A process model for safety and lean is presented. A risk assessment framework is outlined that introduces how to effectively address both lean and safety concerns. Examples where safety and lean have been successfully applied are shared. This document also provides design guidelines on how to meet lean objectives without compromising safety.

## **Acknowledgment**

Materials used in the development of this document have been graciously and voluntarily shared by the following:

- The Boeing Company
- Deere & Company
- General Motors Corporation
- Liberty Mutual Group
- design safety engineering, inc.
- Tenneco, Inc.

## AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS / TECHNICAL REPORTS

By registering this ANSI Technical Report, the ANSI Board of Standards Review confirms that the requirements for due process, consensus, balance and openness have been met by AMT – The Association For Manufacturing Technology (the ANSI-accredited standards developing organization).

American National Standards and Technical Reports are developed through a consensus process. Consensus is established when substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward resolution. This process brings together volunteers or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While AMT administers the process and establishes procedures to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not write the document and it does not independently test, evaluate or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards or guidelines.

American National Standards and Technical Reports are promulgated through ANSI for voluntary use; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the standards/technical reports or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the these documents. However, users, distributors, regulatory bodies, certification agencies and others concerned may apply American National Standards or Technical Reports as mandatory requirements in commerce and industry.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards or technical reports and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of an American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the Secretariat (AMT).

AMT MAKES NO WARRANTY, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED AS TO THE FITNESS OF MERCHANTABILITY OR ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS TECHNICAL REPORT, AND DISCLAIMS AND MAKES NO WARRANTY THAT THE INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT WILL FULFILL ANY OF YOUR PARTICULAR PURPOSES OR NEEDS. AMT disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application or reliance on this document. AMT does not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this technical report, nor does it take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with the items which are mentioned in or are the subject of this document, and AMT disclaims liability for the infringement of any patent resulting from the use of or reliance on this document. Users of this document are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

In publishing or making this document available, AMT is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is AMT undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment, or as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances.

AMT has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce conformance to the requirements of this document. AMT does not certify, test or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of conformance to any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to AMT and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

**NOTICE:** This ANSI Technical Report may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken every 10 years to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this technical report. You may contact the Secretariat for current status information on this, or other B11 documents. Individuals interested in obtaining up-to-date information on standards can access this information at <http://www.nssn.org> (or by contacting ANSI). NSSN - A National Resource for Global Standards, provides a central point to search for standards information from worldwide sources and can connect those who seek standards to those who supply them.

Published by: AMT – The Association For Manufacturing Technology  
7901 Westpark Drive, McLean, VA 22102-4206, USA [www.AMTonline.org](http://www.AMTonline.org)

Copyright © 2007 by AMT- The Association For Manufacturing Technology

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America

**No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.**

## Table of Contents

## Page

<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1 SCOPE.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2 REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3 DEFINITIONS.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>4 OVERVIEW OF LEAN CONCEPTS.....</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1 GENERAL.....	11
4.2 LEAN METHODOLOGIES.....	13
4.2.1 Kaizen.....	13
4.2.2 Five-S (5-S).....	13
4.2.3 Pull.....	14
4.2.4 Kanban.....	14
4.2.5 Six-sigma.....	14
<b>5 CHALLENGES AND EXAMPLES.....</b>	<b>15</b>
5.1 GENERAL.....	15
5.2 EXAMPLES OF LEAN AND SAFETY CONFLICT.....	16
5.2.1 Pneumatic punch press.....	16
5.2.2 Robot Example.....	17
5.2.3 Significance.....	18
5.3 LEAN AND RISK.....	18
5.3.1 Errors in implementation of the Kaizen process.....	18
5.3.2 Additional considerations.....	18
5.4 CHALLENGES.....	19
<b>6 SAFETY AND LEAN SOLUTIONS.....</b>	<b>19</b>
6.1 LEADERSHIP.....	19
6.2 PROCESS MODEL.....	19
6.3 IMPLEMENTATION.....	20
<b>7 OVERVIEW OF THE RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS.....</b>	<b>21</b>
7.1 GENERAL.....	21
7.2 PROCESS.....	21
7.3 SAFETY AND LEAN.....	23
7.3.1 Setting limits.....	23
7.3.2 Identifying tasks and hazards.....	23
7.3.3 Assessing risk and waste.....	24
7.3.4 Reducing risk and waste.....	24
7.3.5 Verifying.....	26
7.3.6 Finishing.....	26
<b>8 EXAMPLES OF SAFETY AND LEAN SUCCESSES.....</b>	<b>26</b>
8.1 POWER PRESS BRAKES.....	26
8.1.1 Movable implementation.....	26
8.1.2 Stationary implementation.....	27
8.1.3 Significance.....	27
8.2 FOLDING CONVEYOR SYSTEM.....	28
8.3 GUARDING MACHINERY.....	28
8.4 GUIDE RAILS FOR PARTS LOADING / UNLOADING.....	29
8.5 COLLAPSIBLE CONTROLS.....	31
8.6 SAFETY AND LEAN ASSEMBLY.....	33

8.7	CLEAN-UP OPERATION .....	33
8.8	SIGNIFICANCE .....	33
<b>9</b>	<b>CONSIDERATIONS FOR SAFETY AND LEAN DESIGN .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>34</b>
	<b>ANNEX A – DETAILED RISK ASSESSMENT OF DEBURRING PROCESS.....</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>ANNEX B – CONSIDERATIONS FOR PLANNING.....</b>	<b>42</b>
	LEADERSHIP OBJECTIVES / DESIRED OUTCOMES: .....	42
	KEY CONCEPTS FOR LEAN MANUFACTURING .....	42
	APPLYING COMMWIP TO SAFETY AND LEAN DESIGN PRINCIPLES .....	42
	<b>ANNEX C – CONSIDERATIONS FOR PROCESS DESIGN .....</b>	<b>43</b>
	DESIGN THE PROCESS.....	43
	DESIGN THE CELL OR WORK STATION .....	43
	DESIGN WORKPLACE HANDLING EQUIPMENT .....	43
	<b>ANNEX D – CONSIDERATIONS FOR PLANNING THE LAYOUT.....</b>	<b>44</b>
	OBJECTIVES .....	44
	DESIGN FOR FLEXIBILITY .....	44
	PRINCIPLES OF WORKPLACE LAYOUT .....	44
	<b>ANNEX E – CONSIDERATIONS FOR TOOL AND EQUIPMENT DESIGN .....</b>	<b>46</b>
	PRINCIPLES OF BODY MOTION.....	46
	PRINCIPLES OF TOOL AND EQUIPMENT DESIGN.....	46
	PRINCIPLES OF MACHINE DESIGN FOR CELLS AND LEAN MANUFACTURING.....	46
	DESIGN FOR ADJUSTABILITY .....	47
	<b>ANNEX F – CONSIDERATIONS FOR WORKPLACE HANDLING EQUIPMENT.....</b>	<b>48</b>

## Foreword

This ANSI Technical Report was developed to provide useful and practical guidance to users of machines to effectively and concurrently incorporate the concepts of safety and lean manufacturing. Integrating safety and lean concepts early in the design process should maximize the impact and cost effectiveness of safety and lean interventions during the design process.

A search of the technical literature reveals ample information on lean manufacturing concepts. Similarly, the literature on safety is rich in depth and breadth. Yet a search that addresses both safety and lean concepts yields very little information. Persons formally trained in the concepts of lean will respond that safety is an integral part of the 5S process and that to exclude safety concerns is inconsistent with lean concepts. The same can be said about persons formally trained in safety – their solutions to minimizing risk will appropriately address productivity concerns. But as lean gains momentum, people less well trained in lean or safety will attempt projects and the results can be less than ideal.

This document is written primarily for users of machine tools looking to incorporate lean manufacturing concepts into their operations. Suppliers of machine tools, integrators and others developing manufacturing systems may also benefit. This document is written for engineers, technicians, designers, and safety and health personnel who are involved with lean manufacturing projects and decisions related to machine tools. This is the first publication of this Technical Report.

Publication of this ANSI Technical Report has been approved by the Accredited Standards Developer – AMT- The Association For Manufacturing Technology. This document is registered by the American National Standards Institute as a Technical Report of publications according to the *Procedures for the Registration of ANSI Technical Reports*. This document is not an American National Standard and the material contained herein is informative, not normative in nature.

This ANSI Technical Report is developed and processed under the B11 Accredited Standards Committee Operating Procedures and ANSI Essential Requirements in the same consensus manner and according to the same developmental procedures and principles (openness, balance, due process and consensus) as the American National Standards with the B11 series.

Suggestions for improvement of this technical report are welcomed. They should be sent to: AMT, 7901 Westpark Dr., McLean, VA 22102-4206, Attention: Safety Director.

**ANSI B11 Accredited Standards Committee:**

John W. Russell, PE, CSP Chairman;  
 Gary D. Kopps, Vice-Chairman;  
 David A. Felinski, Secretary

<b>Organizations Represented</b>	<b>Name of Representative Delegate</b>	<b>Alternate</b>
Aerospace Industries Association of America	Willard J. Wood, ARM	Lance E. Chandler, PE
Aluminum Extruders Council	Melvin Mitchell	Douglas Hart
American Society of Safety Engineers	Bruce W. Main, PE	George Karosas, PE, CSP
Association For Manufacturing Technology	Russell A. Bensman	Dan Soroka / Alan Metelsky
Automotive Industry Action Group	Steve Rudelic	
The Boeing Company	Don R. Nelson	Robert J. Eaker, PE, CSP
Can Manufacturers Institute	Geoffrey Cullen	Jennifer Day
General Motors Corporation	Michael Taubitz	Michael Douglas
John Deere	Gary D. Kopps	Dan Levensgood
Metal Building Manufacturers Association	Charles M. Stockinger	Charles E. Praeger
Metal Powder Industries Federation	Dennis R. Cloutier, CSP	Teresa F. Stillman
National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health	Richard S. Current, PE	James R. Harris, PE
Occupational Safety & Health Administration	Kenneth Stevanus	Robert Bell
OMRON - Scientific Technologies Incorporated	Frank Webster	Christopher Soranno
Packaging Machinery Manufacturers Institute	Charles F. Hayes	Maria Ferrante
Pilz Automation Safety, LP	Roberta Nelson Shea	Craig Torrance / Lee Burk
Precision Metalforming Association	William E. Gaskin	Christen A. Carmignano
Presence Sensing Device Manufacturers Association	James V. Kirton	Michael S. Carlson
Property Casualty Insurers	John W. Russell, PE, CSP	Keith Lessner
Robotic Industries Association	Jeff Fryman	Gilbert Dominguez
Rockwell Automation	Steven Dukich	Jay Tamblingson
Sheet Metal & Air Conditioning Contractors Natl. Assn.	Michael McCullion	Roy Brown
Tooling and Manufacturing Association	Daniel Kiraly	
System Safety Society	John Etherton, PhD, PE	Rod Simmons, PhD
Toyota Motor Manufacturing North America	Barry Boggs	William Horsford, PhD

**The B11.TR7 Subcommittee on Safety and Lean Manufacturing which developed this technical report had the following members:**

Bruce Main, PE, CSP (Chairman)	design safety engineering, inc.
David Felinski (Secretary)	AMT
Theodore W. Braun, CSP, CPE	Liberty Mutual Group
Michael S. Carlson	Banner Engineering Corporation
Paul T. Cheng	Deere & Company
Michael Douglas	General Motors
Gary D. Kopps	Deere & Company
Roberta Nelson Shea	Pilz Automation
Christopher Soranno	Omron-STI Machine Services
Michael Taubitz	General Motors
Craig Torrance	Pilz Automation
Willard J. Wood	The Boeing Company

## Introduction

### Background on Lean Manufacturing

The concept of improving efficiencies in manufacturing production has been around since the birth of manufacturing. People and companies have continually searched for better ways to improve products and produce them more efficiently. For many years, these efforts were - and in many cases still are - informal, with energies focused on making a task easier to do, saving material costs, or reducing the time required to perform an operation.

Henry Ford is often recognized as one of the earliest lean thinkers. His organization of automobile manufacturing into assembly lines was a pioneering recognition of product flow. Following World War II, Taiichi Ohno developed the Toyota Production System as a means to efficiently produce small quantities of product based on customer demand – a pull system. The Toyota Production System evolved over many years and continues today. The success of the system has been remarkable, and the methods used to achieve this success have been termed “lean.”

In the latter part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, manufacturing attention began to formalize the drive for efficiency. Building on the fundamental concepts of the quality movement and the Deming revolution, Toyota and other like-minded companies focused attention on building quality into products from raw materials to finished goods. These companies found that identifying methods to maximize the production of a power press, for example, did not necessarily result in optimal use of resources for the company as a whole. Although the press operation was very efficient, the resulting work in process inventory wasted resources.

Methods to formalize efficiency efforts at an organizational level have resulted in new manufacturing technologies and theories under the generic heading of lean manufacturing. Lean manufacturing is a collection of several initiatives, tools, techniques and technologies used to reduce waste, costs and complexity from manufacturing processes. The intent of applying lean concepts is to achieve throughput that is “better, faster, cheaper.”

However, manufacturing is not a static process. The market changes, products change, methods change, and therefore how products are manufactured must change. As a result, manufacturing processes cannot be optimized once and then left to run. Lean manufacturing also applies to helping manufacturing processes change efficiently and quickly to meet market demands. Whether the change is from one color to the next in an injection molding machine, or from one product to an entirely different product in an assembly line, lean manufacturing examines set-up time, change over efforts, and quick reconfigurations to reduce non-productive time.

Lean manufacturing has exhibited significant successes in improving manufacturing efficiencies and productivity. Parts changeovers that used to take days are now completed in hours. Long assembly lines with many individual workers have been redesigned into smaller work cells with cross-functionally trained team members that help reduce bottlenecks and keep production running. Work flows have been streamlined to eliminate needless transporting and re-transporting of parts and materials. Piles of work in process no longer spill over into aisles. Idle time waiting for parts has been minimized. Successes are realized by better design or redesign of the work place.

More and more companies of all kinds and sizes have introduced lean manufacturing into their operations using processes such as 5-S, Kanban, Kaizen, and Value Stream Mapping. While the primary goals may be to decrease waste, increase quality and reduce costs, the companies, their management and their employees also find benefits from improved safety.



## Integration of Safety into Lean Manufacturing

As lean concepts have gained attention in manufacturing, there have also been reports of these concepts being misapplied creating significant problems, particularly concerning safety. For example in the exuberance to minimize cycle or changeover times, lean manufacturing teams have failed to replace guards or safety devices that inhibit access to points of operation. These guards or safety devices were intended to reduce the risk of injuries. Lean manufacturing teams have also modified or disabled guards or safety devices to improve access to points of operation or to improve quality by not allowing the guard to come into contact with the part (preventing scratches). Guards and devices have also been modified to provide the operator with a better view of an area, and therefore more perceived control over the operation of the machine and the quality of the part.

When viewed at a machine level, not replacing the guards or safety devices may appear to be an optimal solution against the lean manufacturing criteria. Yet this approach conflicts with the premises of lean manufacturing because it introduces the waste of preventable employee injuries.

Lean manufacturing does not seek individual machine or cell optimums. Lean manufacturing seeks to optimize the overall production of the organization. If a worker is injured in the above examples, the costs of medical treatment, lost production, lost worker knowledge, additional training for a new worker, etc., clearly does not result in an organizational optimum situation. Saving a few seconds per cycle or even minutes per changeover likely does not warrant the increased risk of incurring the injury and related costs. These additional costs are properly viewed as wastes to the overall production system, and should be minimized by keeping the guards or safety devices in place, modifying the guards or safety devices or by finding alternate safer designs.

Safety and lean manufacturing should not be viewed as having conflicting goals. In fact, they share a very common goal of maximizing manufacturing throughput at the lowest risk and waste. If considered together early in the design stages of lean manufacturing, both safety and lean concerns can be managed effectively. If one or the other is not considered, a sub-optimum result can be expected. In the changeover example, interlocked guards can be designed to open quickly providing good access and easy replacement for necessary changeover work. If the need for quick access is not considered early, a fixed guard might be used greatly slowing the changeover task. Alternatively, if the risk of injury is not considered early, the unguarded area could unnecessarily expose workers to serious injury.

## Retrofit and New Design

In many organizations lean is mostly a retrofit activity on the factory floor. An existing system is examined for wastes and potential improvements as either a planned effort or a continuous improvement activity. Changes are made, systems run, new problems/opportunities are identified and the cycle begins again. Many of the problems and opportunities come from errors made where safety was overlooked. With existing systems, this Technical Report can be used to identify and assess both hazards and wastes at the same time, which will enable more robust retrofit efforts to achieve acceptable risk at lowest waste.

In other organizations the concepts of lean manufacturing have migrated to the design of new equipment and processes. For companies working on new product or process designs, lean holds a great opportunity to reduce waste before parts are made or systems installed. Concurrently identifying tasks, hazards and wastes will allow greater opportunity for reducing risk in ways that also minimizes waste. For new designs, this Technical Report provides the methodology to simultaneously identify and reduce risk while minimizing wastes. Used together with the B11 series of standards, product and process designers can achieve acceptable risk at lowest waste.

## ***Designing for Safety and Lean Manufacturing***

### **1 Scope**

This ANSI Technical Report provides guidance on the practical application of safety and lean manufacturing principles to machines and manufacturing systems for improving performance, safety and quality by reducing injury and waste. The guidance in this technical report assists machine tool suppliers and users in minimizing waste and risk associated with machines and manufacturing systems, including individual and integrated machine tools and auxiliary components.

**NOTE:** This document does not provide detailed guidance on lean methodologies, the risk assessment process or how to reduce risk. Readers seeking detailed guidance on these topics should consult the references listed in clause 2, the B11 series of American National Standards or other sources.

### **2 References**

The following references were either used as a basis for developing this document, or they represent other good reference sources that may be consulted for additional information on a particular topic.

1. ANSI B11.TR1-2004. *Ergonomic guidelines for the design, installation and use of machine tools (TR1)*. The Association for Manufacturing Technology. [www.amtonline.org](http://www.amtonline.org).
2. ANSI B11.TR3-2000. *Risk Assessment - A guideline to estimate, evaluate and reduce risks associated with machine tools*. The Association for Manufacturing Technology. [www.amtonline.org](http://www.amtonline.org).
3. ANSI / PMMI B155.1-2006. *Safety requirements for packaging machinery and packaging-related converting machinery*. Packaging Machinery Manufacturers Institute. [www.pmmi.org](http://www.pmmi.org).
4. ANSI / RIA R15.06-1999. *Safety requirements for industrial robots and robot systems*. Robotic Industries Association. [www.robotics.org](http://www.robotics.org).
5. Womack, J.P and Jones, D.T. (1996). *Lean Thinking – Banish Waste and Create Wealth in Your Corporation*, Simon & Schuster.
6. *Risk assessment: Basics and benchmarks*. design safety engineering, inc, Ann Arbor, MI. [www.designsafe.com](http://www.designsafe.com).
7. Manuele, F.A. (2003). Severe injury potential: Addressing an overlooked safety management element. *Professional Safety*, February. 26-31.
8. Schothorst, M. van (2000). Microbiological risk assessment of foods in international trade. Kirchsteiger, C. and Giacomo, C. (Eds.), *Promotion of harmonization on risk-based decision-making*. Stresa Italy: European Commission.
9. Tweeddale, H.M. (1989). *Uses and abuses of risk assessment*. Chemeca 89: Technology for our third century. Gold Coast. Queensland, Australia. (pp. 191-198).
10. Christensen, W. & Manuele F., (1999). *Safety Through Design*, National Safety Council, Itasca, IL.