# ANSI/ANS-58.3-1977 (N182)

## **American National Standard**

## **WITHDRAWN**

March 31, 1989 ANSI/ANS-58.3-1977 physical protection for systems and components important to safety

No longer being maintained as an American National Standard. This standard may contain outdated material or may have been superseded by another standard. Please contact the ANS Standards Administrator for details.



published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

This is a preview of "ANSI/ANS-58.3-1977". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.					

ANSI/ANS-58.3-1977 (N182)

**American National Standard Physical Protection for Systems** and Components Important to Safety

Secretariat American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-58.3

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Approved March 17, 1977 by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

Abstract
This Standard presents information to a designer on how to physically protect systems and components in a nuclear power plant against hazards. Systems requiring protection and characteristics of hazards are described. Criteria are given to indicate when protection is not required. A probability of 10<sup>-7</sup> per site per year is used as the upper probability guideline limit for Condition of Design IV events. Physical protection methods for each hazard are discussed.

#### American National Standard

An American National Standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. An American National Standard is intended as a guide to aid the manufacturer, the consumer, and the general public. The existence of an American National Standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. American National Standards are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National Standard may be reviewed or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this Standard no later than five years from the date of publication. Purchasers of this Standard may receive current information, including interpretation, on all standards published by the American Nuclear Society by calling or writing to the Society.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue, La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Price: \$18.00

Copyright © 1977 by American Nuclear Society.

Any part of this Standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-58.3-1977 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword (This Foreword is not part of American National Standard Physical Protection for Systems and Components Important to Safety, ANSI/ANS-58.3-1977)

> In March, 1973 Working Group ANS-31.1 was initiated to write a standard on "Physical Separation Requirements for Safety Systems (other than Electrical)."

> The charter of ANS-31.1 was to identify systems and components which could be exposed to internal or external plant missiles, fire, explosion, electrical interaction, or other occurrences which might require spatial separation or special protection in the form of barrier walls or isolation in individual enclosures to prevent common-mode failure or damage. The scope also included providing guides to the type of protection required and considering the likelihood of failure if unprotected. This charter has been generally followed.

> The Working Group met on five occasions and in March, 1974 a draft was sent to ANS for review comment by an industry review group. A revised draft which took into consideration the industry comments was written by the Working Group in August, 1974. In September, 1974 this draft was balloted by the Working Group and along with some editorial changes was given unanimous approval to forward to Subcommittee ANS-50.

> A November, 1974 draft entitled: "Separation Requirements for Protection of Systems and Components Important to Safety" was presented to Subcommittee ANS-50 in January, 1975. The comments of the ANS-50 members fell into three main categories:

- (1) Some members felt the original scope called for specific guidance, and it was suggested that the Working Group investigate a change in scope, and whether other standards were necessary to provide specific guidance
  - (2) Some members felt the draft should state specific probability acceptance limits
- (3) Some members thought the word "separation" was confusing as defined in the

In March, 1975, the Working Group met to revise the draft to reflect the comments of Subcommittee ANS-50. The Working Group addressed these comments as follows:

- (1) ANS-58.3 believes that this Standard should give general protection criteria and general guidance on how to implement protection methods. Specific minimum distances between hazards and components, thickness of barriers, layout of enclosures, specific design requirements for protection methods, etc., are left to the designer and ANS-58.3 believes it should not attempt in this Standard to quantify these for all the combinations of hazards and components needing protection in a nuclear power generating station. Although the March, 1975 draft was revised to provide more guidance to the designer, detailed guidance on designing for protection is more appropriately covered by other standards. Existing standards which by scope provide the desired guidance are referred to herein. Where no standard exists, ANS-58.3 has prepared and submitted scopes for recommended standards to Subcommittee ANS-50 members. For hazards not covered in other standards, the treatment in this Standard attempts to provide information useful to the designer.
- (2) The March, 1975 draft was revised to provide a probability acceptance limit as it has been formulated and exists in draft form. The basic parameter accepted from this source was the Upper Probability Limit for Damage with a threshold value for design conditions exceeding Condition IV. The other acceptance limits for lower conditions of design are under review by an industry group, but methodology and details had not been determined at the time of promulgation of this Standard.
- (3) The March, 1975 draft was re-titled, "Protection Criteria for Systems and Components Important to Safety." The word "separation," which was previously used to mean protection by means of distance, barriers, enclosures, or restraints, has been changed to "protection."

A revision incorporating these comments was sent to ANS-50 in July, 1975. In September, 1975 Subcommittee ANS-50 ballotted on the July, 1975 draft and agreed with the approach taken in this Standard which provides general guidance to a designer

<sup>&#</sup>x27;See Appendix B, Reference 1.

rather than providing specific protection criteria. The criteria in this Standard which denote requirements are given in: Section 4, Protection Criteria; and 6.6.1, Criteria for When Protection is Not Required. The other major portion of the standard provides information which is believed to be useful to a designer faced with providing protection of equipment against hazards.

The Working Group feels that the hazards interaction between mechanical (including instrumentation and control components) and electrical components should be identified in one document. This interface has been stated in this Standard.

In February, 1974 the former ANS-20 and ANS-30 Subcommittees merged to form the newly constituted ANS-50 Subcommittee. The numerical designation of Working Group ANS-31.1 was changed to ANS-55.3. In January, 1975, Subcommittee ANS-50 was reorganized and the numerical designation of Working Group ANS-55.3 was changed to Working Group ANS-58.3.

Working Group ANS-58.3 of the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Consists of the following members:

- S. J. Milioti, Chairman, American Electric Power Service Corporation
- D. Fischer, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- R. M. Hunt, General Atomic Company
- S. W. Kaut, NUTECH

poration

- E. J. Lozito, Virginia Electric & Power Company J. C. Mesmeringer, Westinghouse Electric Cor-
- J. W. Minarick, Babcock and Wilcox Company B. D. Redd, United Engineers and Constructors, Inc.
- J. W. Stacey, Yankee Atomic Electric Company
- R. M. Waters, Combustion Engineering Incorporated
- E. Wester, General Electric Company

Contributions were also made by:

- D. A. Coudriet, Bechtel Power Corporation
- R. J. Brockman, Babcock and Wilcox Company
- T. G. Dunning, General Atomic Company

Working Group ANS-58.3 wishes to acknowledge Working Group ANS-2.12 for permission to abstract, as Appendix A, portions of their material on probability in proposed American National Standard N635 "Guidelines for Combining Natural and External Manmade Hazards at Power Reactor Sites."

Protection against sabotage is not addressed in this Standard. When the American National Standards Committee N18 ballotted on this Standard in May, 1976, the major comment was that the  $10^{-7}$  probability acceptance limit should be noted as a guideline. This has been done.

The membership of Subcommittee ANS-50 at the time of their approval of this Standard was:

- James F. Mallay, Chairman, Babcock & Wilcox Company
- R. F. Ash, Baltimore Gas & Electric Company
  Donald A. Campbell, Westinghouse Electric Cornoration
- C. O. Coffer, Kaiser Engineers
- W. H. D'Ardenne, General Electric Company, Nuclear Energy Division
- F. A. Dougherty, EDS Nuclear
- James Floyd, Metropolitan Edison Company
- C. J. Gill, Bechtel Power Corporation
- Edwin W. Hewitt, Combustion Engineering, Inc. W. H. House, Virginia Electric & Power Company
- A. R. Kasper, Combustion Engineering, Inc. Robert W. Keaten, North American Rockwell Corporation

- M. Kehnemuyi, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- Lawrence E. Newhart, Jr., Catalytic, Inc.
- J. H. Noble, Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation
- T. J. Pashos, Nuclear Services Corporation
- D. R. Patterson, Tennessee Valley Authority
- R. E. Schreiber, Westinghouse Electric Corporation
- J. W. Stacey, Yankee Atomic Electric Company
- G. C. Vellender, Fluor-Pioneer
- G. P. Wagner, Commonwealth Edison Company Marilyn D. Weber, American Nuclear Society
- G. L. Wessman, General Atomic Company
- J. E. Windhorst, Southern Company Services Frank Zapp, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- C. B. Zitek, Commonwealth Edison Company

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Appendix B, Reference 6.

The American National Standards Committee N18, Nuclear Design Criteria, which reviewed and approved this Standard in 1976, had the following membership:

L. J. Koch, ChairmanC. B. Zitek, Secretary

Organization Represented	Name of Representatives
American Chemical Society	C. E. Stevenson (Alt)
American Concrete Institute	L. J. Koch M. I. Goldman
American Society of Mechanical Engineers	J. S. Bitel R. H. Holyoak (Alt)
American Public Health Association, Inc.  American Welding Society.  Atomic Industrial Forum  Electric Light & Power Group.	J. R. ColemanJ. R. McGuffeyI. F. Stuart
Federal Power Commission	
Health Physics Society	
Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	O. W. Bilharz, Jr. (Alt)
Institute of Nuclear Materials Management	L. A. Strom (Alt)
Nuclear Energy Liability & Property Insurance Association	L. P. Mariani (Alt)
U. S. Energy Research & Development Administration U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	F. X. Gavigan R. Sullivan G. Burley (Alt)
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	
Individual Members	E. N. Cramer R. J. Creagan J. F. Gibbons T. D. Jones T. J. Pashos D. Patterson A. H. Redding G. C. Robinson R. P. Schmitz G. L. Wessman J. F. West C. B. Zitek

<b>Contents</b>	Se	ction Pag	zе
	1.	Scope	. 1
	2.	Definitions	. 2
	3.	Design Approach for Providing Protection	. 3
	4.	Protection Criteria  4.1 General Criteria  4.2 Examples of Systems Important to Safety  4.3 Components That May Require Protection  4.4 Protection Criteria for Specific Systems and Components	. 4 . 5 . 5
	5.	Plant Hazards  5.1 Identification of Hazards  5.2 General Consideration for Hazards  5.3 Discussion of the Hazards	. 6 . 6
	6.	Assessment of Need for Protection	. 8
	7.	Protection Methods       1         7.1 Distance       1         7.2 Orientation       1         7.3 Barriers and Enclosures       1         7.4 Restraint       1         7.5 Hardening       1	l 0 l 1 l 1
	8.	Implementation of Protection Methods       1         8.1 Conceptual Methods       1         8.2 Protection Against Specific Hazards       1	12
	9.	References	15
		bles Table 1 Examples of Hazards to be Considered	17
	I I	Figures Figure 1 Guide to Use of ANSI/ANS-58.3-1977 Figures 2,3,4 Protection of Functionally Redundant Systems	12 12
	Ap	pendix A Elimination of Protection on the Basis of Low Likelihood	. ^
	Ap	Low of Occurrence and Damage	
	-	pendix C Example of Format for Tabulating Physical Protection Against Hazards for Components Important to Safety	
	7	Table C-I Format for Tabulating Physical Protection Against Hazards	22

### Physical Protection for Systems and Components Important to Safety

#### 1. Scope

The scope of this Standard is to establish the physical protection criteria for systems and components important to safety in nuclear power generating stations that are either light water moderated and cooled (LWR) or graphite moderated and gas cooled (HTGR). This Standard is also generally applicable to other reactor types. Included in the scope of this Standard is an identification of potential hazards to systems and components important to safety, and an acceptable means of insuring the protection of this equipment from the hazards.

The contents of this Standard fall into 2 categories:

- (1) Criteria. Criteria for which systems need protection are presented in Section 4, Protection Criteria. Criteria for when protection is not needed are given in Section 6, Assessment of Need for Protection.
- (2) Guidance. Guidance and information useful to a designer who is faced with protecting systems and components from hazards are presented in: Section 3, Design Approach for Providing Protection; Section 5, Plant Hazards; Section 7, Protection Methods; and Section 8, Implementation of Protection Methods. The tutorial nature of some of the material in these sections reflects a desire to bring some important thoughts to the attention of the designer.

The designer must implement the requirements of this Standard through the use of other, more detailed standards. For example, guidance to define one such hazard, namely missiles, and to determine the actual recommendations for protection against missiles, has been formulated and exists in draft form. This may require further consideration of fluid jets and pipe rupture whip, guidance for which have been formulated and exist in draft form.

An approach to design for protection is presented in Section 3, Design Approach for Providing Protection, which recognizes the fact that a designer must consider protection of the

entire system, including both mechanical, instrumentation and control, and electrical components. It is emphasized here that the designer must consider entire systems and perhaps groupings of systems in determining whether a hazard can cause unacceptable damage. The requirements of this Standard are applicable to mechanical systems and their electrical, instrumentation and control components. The electrical designer must consider possible hazards due to mechanical systems interaction such as pipe rupture and missiles; and the mechanical designer must consider the possibility of hazards in the electrical layout such as collapse of non-seismically designed cable trays during an earthquake. Electrical or mechanical components important to safety may in some cases be located in non-Category I structures. In such cases, the designer shall assure that either the structure will not fail, or failure of the structure is considered as Acceptable Damage. Guidance as to spatial separation criteria for electrical systems from other electrical systems (without mechanical system influence) has been formulated and exists in a draft standard.4

Section 4, Protection Criteria, presents protection criteria and discusses systems and components that need protection.

Section 5, Plant Hazards, identifies the possible hazards against which protection must be considered.

Section 6, Assessment of Need for Protection, presents criteria which assist the designer in his assessment of the need for protection of systems and components important to safety.

Section 7, Protection Methods, defines and discusses methods of achieving protection.

Section 8, Implementation of Protection Methods, presents, as a broad approach, guidance on implementing protection methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See Appendix B, Reference 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>IEEE Standard 384-1974, "IEEE Trial Use Standard Criteria for Separation of Class IE Equipment and Circuits", ANSI N41.14. The Working Group of SC-1.4 (Electrical Independence Criteria) on IEEE 384-1974 is currently updating this industry standard. The content of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.75 is being considered at this time by that working group. See Appendix B, Reference 3.