# **American National Standard**

assessment of the assumption of normality (employing individual observed values)

american national standards institute, inc 1430 broadway, new york, new york 10018

American National Standard Assessment of the Assumption of Normality (Employing Individual Observed Values)

Secretariat Institute of Nuclear Materials Management

Approved October 3, 1973 American National Standards Institute, Inc

**Standard** facturer, the consumer, and the general public. The existence of an American National Standard dard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. American National Standards are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

**CAUTION NOTICE:** This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of publication. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

Published by

American National Standards Institute 1430 Broadway, New York, New York 10018

Copyright  $\odot$  1974 by American National Standards Institute, Inc All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

A1C1184/6

This standard was developed under the procedures of the American National Standards Institute by Subcommittee INMM-3 on Statistics, of Standards Committee N15 on Methods of Nuclear Material Control. The secretariat of N15 is held by the Institute of Nuclear Materials Management.

The Institute of Nuclear Materials Management has recognized the need for standardization of statistical methods and procedures as routinely applied to many activities in the nuclear industry. Many of the statistical procedures are based upon the assumption that the observed data are realizations of normally distributed random variables. It is important that the user of the procedures be aware of whether his normality assumptions are justified.

This standard is intended to describe tests of the assumption of normality for samples for which all of the individual observed values are available. The standard provides information on how to conduct the tests, along with sufficient references for those who wish to delve into their derivations.

Suggestions for improvement of this standard will be welcome. They should be sent to the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10018.

This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by American National Standards Committee on Methods of Nuclear Material Control, N15. Committee approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval. At the time it approved this standard, the N15 Committee had the following members:

Robert L. Delnay, Chairman Lynn K. Hurst, Vice-Chairman Richard A. Alto, Secretary

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
American Chemical Society	Robert H. Bell
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants	R. W. Langtry (Alt) J. P. Utley Bruce F. Smith (Alt)
American Nuclear Society	Myron Calkins
Atomic Industrial Forum, Inc	R. G. Ernst (Alt) Curtis G. Chezem Walter Meyer (Alt)
Electric Light and Power Group	Ralph B. Sewell Gordon A. Olson (Alt)
Institute of Nuclear Materials Management	
National Bureau of Standards	
Society for Applied SpectroscopyU.S. Atomic Energy Commission	Louis Basile

John L. Jaech, Chairman (Exxon Nuclear Company)

- L. T. Hagie (Vallecitos Nuclear Centei)
- D. E. Heagerty
- (Gult Energy and Environmental Systems, Inc) R. H. Moore

- (Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory) L. D. Y. Ong (U.S. Atomic Lnergy Commission)
- R. I. Post

(General Flectric Company)

R. D. Smith

(Union Carbide Corporation)

The consultant who worked with the subcommittee was G. L. Tietjen, of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.

Contents	SECTION PA	.GI
•••••	1. Scope and Purpose	5
	2. Background	5
	3. Statistical Considerations	5
	4. The W Test to Evaluate the Assumption of a Normal Distribution	6
	5. Example of the W Test Applied to Raw Data	8
	6. Example of the W Test Applied to Transformed Data	10
	7. The D' Test of Normality for Moderate and Large Size Samples	
	8. Example of Application of the $D'$ Test	
	9. References to the Text	
	Tables	
	Table 1 Coefficients $\{a_{n-i+1}\}$ Used in W Test for Normality. $n = 3(1)50$ Table 2 Percentage Points of the Distribution of the W Test Statistic	7
	for $P = 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.10, 0.50$ , and for $n = 3(1)50$	9
	Table 3 Constants Used to Obtain P Values in W Test for Normality	10
	Table 4 Cumulative Standardized Normal Distribution    Image: Comparison of the standardized Normal Distribution	11
	Table 5 Percentage Points of the Distribution of the $D'$ Test Statistic	
	for $P = 0.005, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.10, 0.90, 0.95, 0.975, 0.99, 0.995, and for n = 50(2)100(20)800(50)2000$	13
		1.)
	Appendixes Appendix A Justification of the Selection of the $W$ and $D'$ Tests	15
	Appendix B Further Discussion of the <i>P</i> Value Concept	

# Assessment of the Assumption of Normality (Employing Individual Observed Values)

### 1. Scope and Purpose

This standard describes statistical hypothesis-testing techniques that are designed to assist in the assessment of the assumption of normality by making use of all the individual observed values in a sample. There is no requirement that the number of observed values be "large," nor is it required that the observations be grouped into intervals with the attendant loss of information about the intervalue spacings. The procedures are called "omnibus" because they are appropriate for detecting departures from normality caused by any of several characteristics, including skewness (nonsymmetry) and kurtosis ("peakedness"). Empirical studies have demonstrated that these procedures generally are more effective than several others in wide currency (further details appear in Appendix A).

#### 2. Background

2.1 The two statistical hypothesis-testing techniques described in this standard (the W and D' tests) are based on the same principle: comparison of a "linear combination" estimator of the population variance with the conventional "sum of squared deviations" estimator of the population variance. However, the details of the computation and the appropriate critical values depend on whether the number of observations is less than or equal to 50 or greater than 50.

2.1.1 When the number of observations is "small" (that is, when the sample size is  $n \leq 50$ ), the technique to be used is known as the *W* test, which evaluates the assumption of a normal distribution. The *W* test was developed by Shapiro and Wilk [1]\* and later popularized by Hahn and Shapiro (see pp 294-298 of Reference [2]).

2.1.2 When the number of observations is "not small" (that is, when the sample size is n > 50), the technique to be used is known as the D' (D prime) test

of normality for moderate and large size samples. The D' test is based on a development by D'Agostino [3].

2.2 Both the W and the D' tests are applicable to either "raw" or "transformed" observations. Raw observations are values obtained directly from an experiment; transformed observations are those values derived from raw observations by subjecting them to numerical or mathematical manipulation (such as finding their logarithms).

Some remarks justifying the selection of the W and D' tests for this standard are given in Appendix A.

## 3. Statistical Considerations

**3.1** Application of this standard is enhanced by the user's familiarity with certain statistical terminology. The concepts of "hypothesis testing" and "normally distributed variables" are particularly important.

**3.2** Statistical hypothesis testing consists of procedural and operational steps.

3.2.1 A statistical test of a hypothesis includes, according to Dixon and Massey (see p 82 of Reference [4]), the following steps:

(1) Statement of hypothesis and assumptions.

(2) Choice of level of significance. (Usually, the level of significance is denoted by the Greek letter  $\alpha$  and consists of a small value such as 0.01 or 0.05; sometimes it is referred to as the probability of committing an error of the first kind.)

(3) Determination of a test statistic and a critical region.

(4) Computation and display of appropriate statistics.

(5) Full statement of conclusions.

3.2.2 The operational details followed in this standard are summarized by Hahn and Shapiro (see pp 294-295 of Reference [2]) in three basic steps:

(1) The test statistic is calculated from the observed data.

(2) The probability of obtaining the calculated test

<sup>\*</sup>Numbers in brackets refer to corresponding numbers in Section 9, References to the Text.