SEI/ASCE 30-00

ASCE STANDARD

American Society of Civil Engineers

Guideline for Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope





SEI/ASCE 30-00

Structural Engineering Institute American Society of Civil Engineers

Guideline for Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope





Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers 1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston, Virginia 20191-4400

ABSTRACT

The need to accurately assess the condition of a building has become more important as the adaptive reuse, rehabilitation, and improvement of existing buildings have assumed a more prominent role in meeting national needs. The condition of the building envelope is of concern since failures can result in safety and health problems, as well as structural damage. Evaluation of the building envelope is often the first step toward stabilization and rehabilitation of the building. Considerable information has been generated for various materials, components, and systems by manufacturers, organizations, and practitioners. However, a rational approach to condition assessment of the building envelope has often been lacking. This Standard Guideline for Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope has been prepared for use by qualified design professionals and regulatory officials. It is not intended to be inclusive or prescriptive. Methods and procedures are presented as a resource for reference purposes.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Guideline for condition assessment of the building envelope / Structural Engineering Institute [and] American Society of Civil Engineers.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-7844-0483-6

1. Exterior walls—Evaluation—Standards. 2. Building inspection—Standards. I. Structural Engineering Institute. II. American Society of Civil Engineers. III. Structural Engineering Institute. Structural Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation of Buildings Standards Committee.

TH2235 .G85 2000

690'.12-dc21

00-032779

Photocopies. Authorization to photocopy material for internal or personal use under circumstances not falling within the fair use provisions of the Copyright Act is granted by ASCE to libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$8.00 per article plus \$.50 per page is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. The identification for ASCE Books is 0-7844-0483-6/ 00/\$8.00 + \$.50 per page. Requests for special permission or bulk copying should be addressed to Permissions & Copyright Dept., ASCE.

Copyright © 2000 by the American Society of Civil Engineers, All Rights Reserved. Library of Congress Catalog Card No: 00-032779 ISBN 0-7844-0483-6 Manufactured in the United States of America.

STANDARDS

In April 1980, the Board of Direction approved ASCE Rules for Standards Committees to govern the writing and maintenance of standards developed by the Society. All such standards are developed by a consensus standards process managed by the Management Group F (MGF), Codes and Standards. The consensus process includes balloting by the balanced standards committee made up of Society members and nonmembers, balloting by the membership of ASCE as a whole, and balloting by the public. All standards are updated or reaffirmed by the same process at intervals not exceeding 5 years.

The following Standards have been issued.

- ANSI/ASCE 1-82 N-725 Guideline for Design and Analysis of Nuclear Safety Related Earth Structures
- ANSI/ASCE 2-91 Measurement of Oxygen Transfer in Clean Water
- ANSI/ASCE 3-91 Standard for the Structural Design of Composite Slabs and ANSI/ASCE 9-91 Standard Practice for the Construction and Inspection of Composite Slabs
- ASCE 4-98 Seismic Analysis of Safety-Related Nuclear Structures
- Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ACI 530-99/ASCE 5-99/TMS 402-99) and Specifications for Masonry Structures (ACI 530.1-99/ASCE 6-99/TMS 602-99)
- ASCE 7-98 Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures
- ANSI/ASCE 8-90 Standard Specification for the Design of Cold-Formed Stainless Steel Structural Members

ANSI/ASCE 9-91 listed with ASCE 3-91

ASCE 10-97 Design of Latticed Steel Transmission Structures

- SEI/ASCE 11-99 Guideline for Structural Condition Assessment of Existing Buildings
- ANSI/ASCE 12-91 Guideline for the Design of Urban Subsurface Drainage
- ASCE 13-93 Standard Guidelines for Installation of Urban Subsurface Drainage
- ASCE 14-93 Standard Guidelines for Operation and Maintenance of Urban Subsurface Drainage
- ASCE 15-98 Standard Practice for Direct Design of Buried Precast Concrete Pipe Using Standard Installations (SIDD)
- ASCE 16-95 Standard for Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) of Engineered Wood Construction
- ASCE 17-96 Air-Supported Structures
- ASCE 18-96 Standard Guidelines for In-Process Oxygen Transfer Testing
- ASCE 19-96 Structural Applications of Steel Cables for Buildings
- ASCE 20-96 Standard Guidelines for the Design and Installation of Pile Foundations
- ASCE 21-96 Automated People Mover Standards— Part 1
- ASCE 21-98 Automated People Mover Standards— Part 2
- SEI/ASCE 23-97 Specification for Structural Steel Beams with Web Openings
- SEI/ASCE 24-98 Flood Resistant Design and Construction
- ASCE 25-97 Earthquake-Actuated Automatic Gas Shut-Off Devices
- ASCE 26-97 Standard Practice for Direct Design of Buried Precast Concrete Box Sections
- ASCE 30-00 Guideline for Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope

FOREWORD

The need to accurately assess the condition of a building has become more important as the adaptive reuse, rehabilitation, and improvement of existing buildings have assumed a more prominent role in meeting national needs. The condition of the building envelope is most important since failures can result in safety and health problems, as well as structural damage. Proper evaluation of the building envelope is often the first step toward stabilization and rehabilitation of the building.

Considerable information has been generated for various materials, components, and systems by manufacturers, organizations, and practitioners. However, a rational approach to condition assessment of the building envelope has often been lacking. Basic information, procedures, and references have been compiled, subjected to a consensus review, and approved by this committee. This Standard Guideline for Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope has been prepared for use by qualified design professionals and regulatory officials.

This Standard is not intended to be inclusive or prescriptive. Methods and procedures are presented as a resource for reference purposes. Other methods and procedures are not only permissible, but are encouraged, so long as they are deemed reliable and sufficient comparisons are available with other recognized methods.

Inasmuch as interpretation of the results of the evaluation must be based on the professional experience and judgement of the practitioner, it is not a part of this Standard.

Utilization of this guideline may involve hazardous materials, operations and equipment. It does not purport to address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this guideline to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

The material presented in this publication has been prepared in accordance with recognized engineering principles. This Standard Guideline should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any given application. The publication of the material contained herein is not intended as a representation or warranty on the part of the American Society of Civil Engineers, or of any other person named herein, that this information is suitable for any general or particular use or promises freedom from infringement of any patent or patents. Anyone making use of this information assumes all liability from such use.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) and the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) gratefully acknowledge the work of the SEI standards committee Structural Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation of Buildings. This committee comprises individuals from many backgrounds including: consulting engineering, research, construction industry, education, government, design and private practice. This Standard was prepared through the consensus standards process by balloting in compliance with procedures of ASCE's Codes and Standards Activities Committee. Those individuals who served on the Structural Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation of Buildings Standards Committee are:

Kenneth Adams Appupillai B. Baskaran Prodvot K. Basu Carl A. Baumert, Jr. Kasi V. Bendapudi Donald A. Berg Viggo Bonnesen James Brown W. G. Corley David A. Deress Richard M. Gensert Stephen H. Getz Satyendra K. Ghosh V. Gopinath Melvyn Green James A. Hill Charles J. Hookham Nestor R. Iwankiw Dennis Kutch Michael J. Lavallee Arnold N. Lowing Charles R. Magadini Rusk Masih Robert R. McCluer Paul L. Millman Ronald J. Morony George R. Mulholland Antonio Nanni William R. Nash Joseph F. Neussendorfer Dai H. Oh Celina U. Penalba James Pielert, Chair Denis C. Pu Janah A. Risha William D. Rome Robert J. Schaffhausen Glenn R. Smith, Jr. Brian E. Trimble Donald R. Uzarski

C. R. Wagus Frederick L. Walters Ralph J. Warburton Joseph A. Wintz, III

Subcommittee on Structural Condition Assessment of Existing Buildings

Charles E. Bacchus Prodovt K. Basu Carl A. Baumert Kasi V. Bendapudi Viggo Bonnesen James Brown James R. Clifton (Deceased) W. G. Corley Robert H. Falk Richard M. Gensert Satyendra K. Ghosh V. Gopinath Melvyn Green, Secretary Michael C. Henry James A. Hill Charles J. Hookham Jiin Long Huang Samuel L. Hunter Jerome S.B. Iffland (Deceased) Nestor R. Iwankiw Wen-Chen Jau Charles J. Kanapicki Jack Kayser Mahadeb Kundu Dennis Kutch Jim E. Lapping Arnold N. Lowing Charles R. Magadini Richard McConnell Kirk I. Mettam George R. Mulholland

Joseph F. Neussendorfer Dai H. Oh Anthony J. Pagnotta Celina U. Penalba James Pielert, Chair Laurence Pockras Denis C. Pu Janah A. Risha Willam D. Rome Robert J. Schaffhausen Paul A. Seaburg Glenn R. Smith, Jr. Clayton R. Steele Brian E. Trimble Frederick L. Walters Ralph J. Warburton Thomas G. Williamson Joseph A. Wintz, III Wade W. Younie

Subcommittee on Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope

Appupillai B. Baskaran Carl A. Baumert, Jr. Chair Robert J. Beiner Donald A. Berg George G. Cole David A. Deress Robert H. Falk Stephen H. Getz V. Gopinath Mark Graham Melvyn Green Michael C. Henry James A. Hill Samuel L. Hunter Wen-Chen Jau Mahadeb Kundu

Dennis Kutch Roger A. LaBoube Jim E. Lapping Michael J. Lavallee Rusk Masih Kirk I. Mettam Paul L. Millman Ronald J. Morony George R. Mulholland Joseph F. Neussendorfer Anthony J. Pagnotta James Pielert Denis C. Pu Janah A. Risha William D. Rome Robert J. Schaffhausen Paul A. Seaburg Clayton R. Steele Brian E. Trimble Donald R. Uzarski C. R. Wagus Richard Walker Frederick L. Walters, Secretary Joseph A. Wintz, III Wade W. Younie

CONTENTS

ፍጥለ እ			F	age'
EODE	WODD	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		in.
FURE	WORD	·····	1	1
ACKI	NOWLED	JMENIS)	V
1.0	General			l
	1.1	Scope a	nd Intent	1
	1.2	Purpose	of Assessment	1
	13	Types of	f Assessment	1
		131	Cursory Assessment	1
		132	Preliminary Assessment	1
		133	Detailed Assessment	,
	1 4	Ouelife	betaned Assessment	, 1
	1.4	Quanne	Demonral Qualifications	1
		1.4.1	Personnel Quantications	1
		1.4.2	Equipment	l
	1.5	Agreem	ents	l
		1.5.1	Services]
		1.5.2	Compensation	2
		1.5.3	Authority and Accessibility	2
		1.5.4	Liability	2
		1.5.5	Agreements or Contracts	2
	1.6	Definiti	ons	2
2.0	р. 'Ц!'.			
2.0	Buildin	g Envelo	be Systems, Component Features and Materials	4
	2.1	Introduc	ction	4
	2.2	Buildin	g Envelope System Categories	4
	2.3	Roof Sy	ystems	-
		2.3.1	Low Slope Systems	~
		2.3.2	Steep Slope Systems	-
	2.4	Wall Sy	/stems	6
		2.4.1	Barrier Wall Systems	7
		2.4.2	Drainage Wall Systems	7
		2.4.3	Surface-Sealed Systems	7
		2.4.4	Rain Screen/Pressure Equalization Wall Systems	-
		2.4.5	Unreinforced Concrete	2
		246	Reinforced Concrete	-
		2.1.0	Precast Concrete	-
		2.4.7	Unreinforced Masonry	ç
		2.4.0	Dainforced Masonry	C C
		2.4.9	Line inforced Maconen Covity Wall	(
		2.4.10	Unreinforced Masonry Cavity wall	5
		2.4.11	Reinforced Masonry Cavity Wall	5
		2.4.12	Masonry Veneer with Wood or Steel Stud Back-Up	5
		2.4.13	Siding Systems with Wood or Steel Stud Back-Up	ç
		2.4.14	Architectural Precast Concrete	ç
		2.4.15	Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete Panel	Ç
		2.4.16	Aluminum Frame Curtain Wall	ç
		2.4.17	Interlocking Metal Panel Wall	ç
		2.4.18	Thin Stone Veneer Panel	ç
		2.4.19	Prefabricated Masonry Panels	1(
	2.5	Fenestr	ation Systems	1(
	2.6	Buildin	σ Annendaσes	1(
	2.0		2 L.Leurer 200	

	2.7	Foundati	Foundation Wall Systems 10			
		2.7.1	Cast-in-Place Concrete	10		
		2.7.2	Masonry	10		
		2.7.3	Wood	10		
		2.7.4	Waterproofing Systems	10		
		2.7.5	Drainage Systems	11		
	2.8	Deck Wa	nterproofing Systems	11		
	2.0	281	Exposed Membrane Systems	11		
		2.8.2	Protected Membrane Systems	11		
	29	Underørd	and Structures	11		
	2.10	Intersecti	ions and Terminations	11		
	2.10	System (Component Features	11		
	11, ست	2 11 1	Acoustical Resistance	11		
		2.11.1	Aesthetic Treatment	12		
		2.11.2	Air Infiltration	12		
		2.11.3	Condensation Control	12		
		2.11.4		12		
		2.11.5	Eira Dagistanaa	12		
		2.11.0	File Resistance	12		
		2.11.7	Historic Significance	12		
		2.11.8	Interface Compatibility	12		
		2.11.9	Movement Control	12		
		2.11.10	Natural Light and Ventilation	12		
		2.11.11	Security	12		
		2.11.12	Serviceability	12		
		2.11.13	Solar Heat Gain	13		
		2.11.14	Thermal Resistance	13		
		2.11.15	Water Infiltration	13		
		2.11.16	Wildlife, Insect and Organism Resistance	13		
	Table 2	2.1	Wall Systems	14		
	Figure	2.1	Building Envelope Systems	15		
	Figure	2.2	Exterior Wall Classifications	16		
	Figure	2.3	Effects on Envelope Systems	17		
3.0	Conditi	on Assess	ment Procedure	18		
5.0	3 1	General	Approach	18		
	2.1	Cursory	Condition Assessment	18		
	2.2	Definitio	n of Scope	10		
	5.5 2.4	A Dreliminary Condition Assessment		10		
	5.4	2 4 1	Decument Review	10		
		5.4.1 2.4.2	Conserved Observations	19		
		3.4.Z	Interview Involved Dentice	19		
		3.4.3 2.4.4	Identify Turical Ducklam Areas	- 19		
	25	5.4.4 D. 11	Identify Typical Problem Areas	20		
	3.3	Prelimin	ary Report	20		
		3.5.1	Preliminary Findings	20		
		3.5.2	Identify Legal/Code Compliance Issues	20		
		3.5.3	Pretiminary Conclusions	20		
		3.5.4	Preliminary Recommendations	20		
	3.6	Refinem	Refinement of Scope for the Detailed Assessment			
	3.7	Detailed	Condition Assessment	21		
		3.7.1	Component Classification	21		
		3.7.2	Use and Coordination of Consultants	21		

	3.8	Document Review					
		3.8.1	Original	Design and Construction Documents	22		
		3.8.2	Establish	Design Basis	22		
		3.8.3	Records	of Previous Alterations and Repairs	22		
		3.8.4	Documer	ntation of Problems	23		
	3.9	Field In	Field Investigation for Detailed Condition Assessment				
		3.9.1	Test Met	hod and Area Selection	23		
		3.9.2	Establish	Method for Recording Observations	23		
		3.9.3	Detailed	Interior Observations	23		
		3.9.4	Detailed	Exterior Observations	24		
		3.9.5	Disassem	bly of Selected Building Elements	24		
		3.9.6	Field Tes	ting	24		
			3.9.6.1	Structural Testing	25		
			3962	Water Leakage Testing	25		
			3963	Air-Infiltration Testing	25		
			3964	Condensation and Thermal Performance Testing	26		
			3965	Long-Term Testing	26		
		397	L aborato	rv Testing	26		
	Figura	3.7.7	Condition	Accesement Single Ruilding Envelope	20		
	Figure	3.1	Condition	Assessment, Englity Level Program	28		
	riguie	5.2	Condition		20		
4.0	F	4. a.e.			20		
4.0		Com1			29		
	4.1	General			29		
	4.2	Methods	S				
	4.3	Accepta	ince Criteria				
	4.4	Perform	ance Attrib	utes	29		
	4.5	Objectiv	es		29		
	4.6	Analysis	3		29		
	4.7	Forensic	S		29		
	4.8	Reference	ces		30		
5.0	Report	Report of the Condition Assessment					
	5.1	Executiv	ve Summar	у	30		
	5.2	Introduc	tion		30		
		5.2.1	Purpose	of Assessment	30		
		5.2.2	Scope of	Investigation	30		
			5.2.2.1	Cursory Condition Assessment	30		
			5.2.2.2	Preliminary Condition Assessment	30		
			5.2.2.3	Detailed Condition Assessment	30		
			5.2.2.4	Testing	31		
		5.2.3	Methods	and Techniques	31		
			5.2.3.1	Data Collection and Documentation	31		
			5.2.3.2	Testing	31		
		5.2.4	Meetings	-	31		
	5.3	Descript	tion of Buil	ding Envelope Systems	31		
		5.3.1	General.		31		
		5.3.2	Dates of	Construction, Alteration, and Repair	31		
		5.3.3	History	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	31		
		5.3.4	Input fro	m Other Disciplines	31		
		5.3.5	Collected	1 Data	31		
		-					

5.4	Discussion of Field Investigation		
	5.4.1 Overview	31	
	5.4.2 Survey	31	
	5.4.3 Observations and Their Significance	31	
5.5	Building Code Review	31	
5.6	Testing Program	32	
5.7	Analysis	32	
5.8	Discussion	32	
5.9	Summary of Study	32	
5.10	Conclusions	32	
5.11	Recommendations	32	
5.12	Appendices	32	
Appendices			
Appendix A	Report of Condition Assessment	33	
Appendix B	Building Exteriors Performance References	34	
Bibliography		37	
Index		53	

Guideline for Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope

1.0 GENERAL

1.1 SCOPE AND INTENT

The intent of this Standard is to provide a guideline and methodology for assessing the condition and performance of existing building envelope systems and components and identifying problematic and dysfunctional elements. It applies equally to a building's envelope or portion whose primary purpose may be to serve as the supporting structural system of the building. The reader may also wish to refer to ASCE 11—Guideline for Structural Condition Assessment of Existing Buildings. This Standard may be a source of comprehensive information for clients such as building owners, prospective purchasers, tenants, regulatory officials, and others.

While the Standard is primarily directed toward a consultant-client relationship, modifications may be made to the content for condition assessments performed by staff personnel of public agencies and multi-building owners for management of facilities.

This Standard establishes an assessment procedure including investigation, testing methods, and a form for the report of the condition assessment. It will assist the investigator in developing a logical approach to the assessment of the building envelope in order to focus on fundamental defects rather than outward symptoms. The possibility of encountering hazardous materials, such as lead-based paint and asbestos containing materials, should be considered.

Since any evaluation will involve "professional judgment" and contains factors that cannot be readily defined and standardized, a section providing guidance is also included. This section must be used by the design professional as part of the evaluation.

1.2 PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT

Condition assessment of an existing building envelope may be undertaken for a number of purposes. These may include developing a performance report, establishing building serviceability, planning for maintenance or repair, code compliance, life safety, durability, historic preservation, or a number of special purposes based on the specific building and its current or proposed occupancy or function.

1.3 TYPES OF ASSESSMENT

1.3.1 Cursory Assessment

This is a visual overview of the general condition of the building envelope. It is often used for screening multiple buildings to establish priorities for maintenance and repair or further study.

1.3.2 Preliminary Assessment

The preliminary condition assessment is usually limited in scope. It will consist of a site visit for familiarization and to identify problem areas, a review of available documents, an interview of involved parties, and a preliminary report of findings and recommendations.

1.3.3 Detailed Assessment

This is an expansion of the preliminary assessment. It will include a review of documentation, component classification, field investigation, testing, analysis, and report.

1.4 QUALIFICATIONS AND EQUIPMENT

1.4.1 Personnel Qualifications

All personnel involved in the assessment shall possess the technical qualifications, including practical experience, education and professional judgment required to perform the individual technical tasks assigned. Interpretation of results and conclusions shall be performed by a design professional qualified in the appropriate discipline.

1.4.2 Equipment

Equipment shall be obtained as appropriate to accomplish or perform the various tests and inspection methods specified in the standard. All equipment shall be in good working order. For equipment that should be calibrated for proper use in the given application, reports of calibration shall be available and the results provided if requested.

1.5 AGREEMENTS

1.5.1 Services

The scope of services for the condition assessment, including any limitations, shall be defined by the design professional and all conditions, applicable