



# American National Standard for Financial Services

## ANS X9.103–2004

# Motor Vehicle Retail Sale and Lease Electronic Contracting



Accredited Standards Committee X9, Incorporated  
Financial Industry Standards

**Date Approved:** July 19, 2004

American National Standards Institute

| <b>Contents</b>  |  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|--|-------------|
| Foreword.....  |  | v           |
| Introduction.....  |  | vi          |
| 1 Scope .....  |  | 1           |
| 2 Normative references .....   |  | 1           |
| 3 Terms and definitions .....  |  | 2           |
| 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms .....  |  | 4           |
| 5 Electronic Signatures.....   |  | 5           |
| 5.1 Use of Electronic Signatures in this Standard .....                                |  | 5           |
| 5.2 Application of Electronic Signatures to a Record.....                              |  | 5           |
| 5.3 Association of Electronic Signature to a Record.....                               |  | 5           |
| 5.4 Protection of Electronic Signatures.....   |  | 5           |
| 6 Control of Electronic Chattel Paper.....   |  | 6           |
| 6.1 Purpose of Control of Electronic Chattel Paper.....                                |  | 6           |
| 6.2 General Requirements for Control of Electronic Chattel Paper .....                 |  | 6           |
| 6.3 Role of the Dealer .....   |  | 7           |
| 6.4 Purchases of Chattel Paper and Security Interests in Chattel Paper.....            |  | 7           |
| 6.5 Use of Agents or Third-Party Service Providers .....                               |  | 7           |
| 6.6 Systems or Procedures for Establishing Control of Electronic Chattel Paper .....   |  | 7           |
| 7 Creation of Electronic Chattel Paper .....   |  | 8           |
| 7.1 Application of Control to the Creation of Electronic Chattel Paper .....           |  | 8           |
| 7.1.1 A Single Authoritative Copy of Record or Records .....                           |  | 8           |
| 7.1.2 Identification of the Secured Party as Assignee .....                            |  | 8           |
| 7.1.3 Maintenance and Communication of Electronic Chattel Paper.....                   |  | 8           |
| 7.1.4 Limiting Copies or Revisions that Add or Change an Identified Assignee .....     |  | 8           |
| 7.1.5 Non-Authoritative Copies .....   |  | 9           |
| 7.1.6 Authorized and Unauthorized Revisions .....                                      |  | 9           |
| 7.2 Conversion between Tangible Chattel Paper and Electronic Chattel Paper .....       |  | 9           |
| 7.2.1 Conversion of Tangible Chattel Paper to Electronic Chattel Paper .....           |  | 9           |
| 7.2.2 Conversion of Electronic Chattel Paper to Tangible Chattel Paper .....           |  | 9           |
| 8 Storage of Electronic Chattel Paper .....  |  | 10          |
| 8.1 Application of Control to the Storage of Electronic Chattel Paper .....            |  | 10          |
| 8.1.1 A Single Authoritative Copy of Record or Records .....                           |  | 10          |
| 8.1.2 Identification of the Secured Party as Assignee .....                            |  | 10          |
| 8.1.3 Maintenance of Electronic Chattel Paper.....                                     |  | 10          |
| 8.1.4 Limiting Copies or Revisions that Add or Change an Identified Assignee .....     |  | 10          |
| 8.1.5 Non-Authoritative Copies .....   |  | 11          |
| 8.1.6 Authorized and Unauthorized Revisions .....                                      |  | 11          |
| 8.2 Modifications, Addenda, and Corrections .....                                      |  | 11          |
| 8.2.1 Modifications and Addenda.....   |  | 11          |
| 8.2.2 Corrections.....   |  | 12          |
| 8.2.3 Modifications, Addenda, and Corrections Not Part of the Authoritative Copy ..... |  | 12          |
| 8.2.4 Modifications, Addenda, and Corrections as Part of Authoritative Copy.....       |  | 12          |
| 8.3 Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity Programs .....                           |  | 12          |

|                |   |           |
|----------------|---|-----------|
| <b>9</b>       | <b>Assignment of Electronic Chattel Paper</b> .....   | <b>13</b> |
| <b>9.1</b>     | <b>Application of Control to the Assignment of Electronic Chattel Paper</b> .....   | <b>13</b> |
| <b>9.1.1</b>   | <b>A Single Authoritative Copy of Record or Records</b> .....   | <b>13</b> |
| <b>9.1.2</b>   | <b>Identification of the Secured Party as Assignee</b> .....  | <b>13</b> |
| <b>9.1.3</b>   | <b>Communication of Electronic Chattel Paper</b> .....  | <b>13</b> |
| <b>9.1.4</b>   | <b>Limiting Copies or Revisions that Add or Change an Identified Assignee</b> .....   | <b>14</b> |
| <b>9.1.5</b>   | <b>Non-Authoritative Copies</b> .....   | <b>15</b> |
| <b>9.1.6</b>   | <b>Authorized and Unauthorized Revisions</b> .....  | <b>15</b> |
| <b>Annex A</b> | <b>(Informative) Examples of Electronic Signatures</b> .....  | <b>16</b> |
| <b>A.1</b>     | <b>General</b> .....  | <b>16</b> |
| <b>A.2</b>     | <b>Association of Electronic Signature to the Record</b> .....  | <b>16</b> |
| <b>A.3</b>     | <b>Electronic Signature Techniques</b> .....  | <b>17</b> |
| <b>A.3.1</b>   | <b>Digital Signatures</b> .....   | <b>17</b> |
| <b>A.3.2</b>   | <b>Personal Identification Number</b> .....   | <b>17</b> |
| <b>A.3.3</b>   | <b>Electronically Captured Handwritten Signature</b> .....  | <b>18</b> |
| <b>A.3.4</b>   | <b>Biometric Signature</b> .....  | <b>18</b> |
| <b>A.3.5</b>   | <b>Process Signatures</b> .....   | <b>18</b> |
| <b>Annex B</b> | <b>(Informative) General Security Principles and Guidelines for the Authoritative Copy</b> .....  | <b>20</b> |
| <b>B.1</b>     | <b>General</b> .....  | <b>20</b> |
| <b>B.2</b>     | <b>Business Messaging Security</b> .....  | <b>20</b> |
| <b>B.2.1</b>   | <b>Risk Assessment</b> .....  | <b>20</b> |
| <b>B.2.2</b>   | <b>Security Requirements</b> .....  | <b>21</b> |
| <b>B.2.3</b>   | <b>Message-Level Security versus Infrastructure Security</b> .....  | <b>22</b> |
| <b>B.2.4</b>   | <b>Infrastructure-Level Security</b> .....  | <b>22</b> |
| <b>B.2.5</b>   | <b>Message-Level Security</b> .....   | <b>23</b> |
| <b>B.3</b>     | <b>Message-Level Security Overview</b> .....  | <b>24</b> |
| <b>B.3.1</b>   | <b>Identification and Verification Mechanics</b> .....  | <b>24</b> |
| <b>B.3.2</b>   | <b>Using Digital Certificates for Identification and Verification</b> .....   | <b>25</b> |
| <b>B.3.3</b>   | <b>Using Username/Passwords for Identification and Verification (Authentication)</b> .....  | <b>25</b> |
| <b>B.3.4</b>   | <b>Using Digital Certificates for Data Encryption</b> .....   | <b>25</b> |
| <b>B.3.5</b>   | <b>Message-Level Source, Target and System Verification</b> .....   | <b>26</b> |
| <b>B.4</b>     | <b>Message-Level Verification Options</b> .....   | <b>26</b> |
| <b>B.4.1</b>   | <b>Identification and Verification Options</b> .....  | <b>26</b> |
| <b>B.4.2</b>   | <b>Digital Signature</b> .....  | <b>26</b> |
| <b>B.4.3</b>   | <b>Username and Password Hash</b> .....   | <b>26</b> |
| <b>B.4.4</b>   | <b>Username and Password Clear-text over HTTPS</b> .....  | <b>26</b> |
| <b>B.4.5</b>   | <b>Username and Password Encrypted out-of-band Digital Certificates</b> .....   | <b>26</b> |
| <b>B.4.6</b>   | <b>Binary Token Shared Secret</b> .....   | <b>27</b> |
| <b>B.5</b>     | <b>Infrastructure-Level Security</b> .....  | <b>27</b> |
| <b>B.5.1</b>   | <b>Public Networks</b> .....  | <b>27</b> |
| <b>B.6</b>     | <b>General Communication Security Principles</b> .....  | <b>27</b> |
| <b>B.6.1</b>   | <b>Communications Infrastructure</b> .....  | <b>27</b> |
| <b>B.6.2</b>   | <b>Data Security</b> .....  | <b>28</b> |
| <b>Annex C</b> | <b>(Informative) Overview of SPeRS</b> .....  | <b>29</b> |
| <b>C.1</b>     | <b>General</b> .....  | <b>29</b> |
| <b>C.2</b>     | <b>Section 1 – Authentication</b> .....   | <b>29</b> |
| <b>C.3</b>     | <b>Section 2 – Consent to Transact Business Electronically</b> .....  | <b>29</b> |
| <b>C.4</b>     | <b>Section 3 – Agreements, Notices and Disclosures</b> .....  | <b>30</b> |
| <b>C.5</b>     | <b>Section 4 – Electronic Signatures</b> .....  | <b>30</b> |
| <b>C.6</b>     | <b>Section 5 – Record Retention</b> .....   | <b>30</b> |
| <b>Annex D</b> | <b>(Informative) Examples of Backup, Restoration and Disaster Recovery Procedures for Storage and Transfer of an Authoritative Copy</b> ..... | <b>32</b> |
| <b>D.1</b>     | <b>General</b> .....  | <b>32</b> |
| <b>D.2</b>     | <b>Backup Procedures</b> .....  | <b>32</b> |

**ANS X9.103-2004**

|              |   |           |
|--------------|---|-----------|
| <b>D.2.1</b> | <b>Frequency and Storage .....</b>                        | <b>32</b> |
| <b>D.3</b>   | <b>Restoration Logging.....</b>                           | <b>32</b> |
| <b>D.4</b>   | <b>Testing of Backup and Restoration Procedures .....</b> | <b>33</b> |
| <b>D.5</b>   | <b>Compromise and Disaster Recovery .....</b>             | <b>33</b> |
| <b>D.5.1</b> | <b>Compromise and Disaster Recovery Plan .....</b>        | <b>33</b> |
| <b>D.5.2</b> | <b>Contingency Facility.....</b>                          | <b>33</b> |
| <b>D.6</b>   | <b>Audit Procedures .....</b>                             | <b>33</b> |

## Foreword

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretation should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

**CAUTION NOTICE:** This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of approval.

Published by

**Accredited Standards Committee X9, Incorporated**  
**Financial Industry Standards**  
**P.O. Box 4035**  
**Annapolis, MD 21403 USA**  
**X9 Online <http://www.x9.org>**

Copyright © 2004 ASC X9, Inc.  
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher. Published in the United States of America.

## **ANS X9.103-2004**

### **Introduction**

Recently, dramatic advances in computer engineering and software development have transcended most industries, including the automotive dealership financing industry. The substantial increase in electronic commerce in the late 1990's was accompanied by increased use of electronic tools in the automotive dealership financing industry. This technology is being used to improve efficiencies, boost productivity, and improve customer satisfaction.

The enactment of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (ESIGN) in 2000 and the adoption of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act (UETA) by most states have allowed the automotive dealership financing industry to re-evaluate its paper-based processes. ESIGN and UETA permit the use of electronic records and signatures by prohibiting the denial of legal effect, validity, or enforcement of a signature or record solely because it is in electronic form. In addition, revisions to Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), which governs secured transactions, have increased the legal certainty related to the use of electronic Records and Electronic Chattel Paper, including sales of Electronic Chattel Paper in the secondary markets.

This standard provides guidance and direction to the automotive dealership financing industry with respect to the creation, storage, and assignment of Electronic Chattel Paper for retail installment sales and leasing transactions where assignment of the Electronic Chattel Paper will be perfected by establishing "control" of the Electronic Chattel Paper pursuant to Article 9 of the UCC. This standard is not intended to establish requirements for the use of Electronic Chattel Paper that exceed those required by law or are inconsistent with those required by law.

**Retail Installment Sales/Lease Contracts** - Whether a transaction is a retail installment sale or a lease, at least two separate signatures are required in order for the transaction to be effective. The first signature is that of the Customer who signs as the debtor and grantor of a security interest in the vehicle in the case of a retail installment sale and as the lessee in the case of a lease. The second signature is that of the Dealer as the seller/creditor in the case of a retail installment sale and the lessor in the case of a lease. Additional signatures may also be necessary from the Customer and the Dealer or may be required from other parties such as a co-buyer or a guarantor. ESIGN, UETA, and Article 9 of the UCC may all, depending on the nature and the type of transaction, be applicable to establishing a valid and binding Contract in these two contexts.

**Electronic Chattel Paper** - The completed retail installment sale or lease contract is Chattel Paper owned by the Dealer. If the Chattel Paper is evidenced by a Record or Records consisting of information stored in an electronic medium, the Chattel Paper is Electronic Chattel Paper. The Dealer may choose to retain ownership of the Electronic Chattel Paper. However, the Dealer or a subsequent owner of the Electronic Chattel Paper may choose to assign the Electronic Chattel Paper and the assignee may perfect its interest in the Electronic Chattel Paper by establishing "control" of the Electronic Chattel Paper pursuant to Article 9 of the UCC.

Suggestions for the improvement or revision of this Standard are welcome. They should be sent to the X9 Committee Secretariat, Accredited Standards Committee X9, Inc., Financial Industry Standards, P.O. Box 4035, Annapolis, MD 21403 USA. This Standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the Accredited Standards Committee on Financial Services, X9. Committee approval of the Standard does not necessarily imply that all the committee members voted for its approval.

The X9 committee had the following members:

Gene Kathol, X9 Chairman  
Vincent DeSantis, X9 Vice-Chairman  
Cynthia Fuller, Executive Director  
Isabel Bailey, Managing Director

Organization Represented

ACI Worldwide  
American Express Company  
American Financial Services Association  
Bank of America  
Bank One Corporation  
BB and T  
Capital One  
Citigroup, Inc.  
Deluxe Corporation  
Diebold, Inc.  
Discover Financial Services  
eFunds Corporation  
Federal Reserve Bank  
First Data Corporation  
Fiserv  
Hewlett Packard  
Hypercom  
IBM Corporation  
Ingenico  
JPMChase Bank  
KPMG LLP  
KPMG LLP  
MagTek, Inc.  
MasterCard International  
Mellon Bank, N.A.  
National Association of Convenience Stores  
National Security Agency  
NCR Corporation  
NEC Solutions (America)  
Savvis  
Star Systems, Inc.  
The Clearing House  
Unisys Corporation  
University Bank  
VeriFone, Inc.  
VECTORsgi  
VISA  
Wachovia Bank  
Wells Fargo Bank

Representative

Jim Shaffer  
Mike Jones  
Mark Zalewski  
Daniel Welch  
Jacqueline Pagan  
Woody Tyner  
Scott Sykes  
Daniel Schutzer  
John Fitzpatrick  
Bruce Chapa  
Jon Mills  
Cory Surges  
Dexter Holt  
Gene Kathol  
Bud Beattie  
Larry Hines  
Scott Spiker  
Todd Arnold  
John Sheets  
Robert J Blair  
Jeff Stapleton  
Alfred Van Ranst Jr.  
Carlos Morales  
William Poletti  
David Taddeo  
John Hervey  
Sheila Brand  
David Norris  
Michael Versace  
Kevin M. Nixon  
Michael Wade  
Vincent DeSantis  
David J. Concannon  
Stephen Ranzini  
Brad McGuinness  
Ron Schultz  
Patricia Greenhalgh  
Ray Gatland  
Ruven Schwartz

## **ANS X9.103-2004**

The X9C subcommittee on Consumer Credit had the following members:

John Freeman, Chairman

Mark Zalewski, Vice Chairman

### Organization Represented

American Financial Services Association  
Bank of America  
Chase Automotive Finance  
DaimlerChrysler Services  
DealerTrack, Inc.  
Deluxe Corporation  
Diebold, Inc.  
Fiserv  
Ford Financial  
GMAC  
Hudson Cook, LLP  
Hypercom  
IBM Corporation  
Ingenico  
RouteOne  
Savvis  
Toyota Financial Services  
VeriFone, Inc.  
Wachovia Bank

### Representative

Mark Zalewski  
Jeffrey Bierman  
Joan Aristei  
Matt Millikan  
Vince Passione  
John Fitzpatrick  
Bruce Chapa  
Bud Beattie  
Cindy Duffy  
Mary R. Crisp  
Michael A. Benoit  
Scott Spiker  
Todd Arnold  
John Sheets  
Dan Doman  
Kevin M. Nixon  
Elise Ross  
Tim Hirner  
Ray Gatland

Under ASC X9, Inc. procedures, a working group may be established to address specific segments of work under the ASC X9 Committee or one of its subcommittees. A working group exists only to develop standard(s) or guideline(s) in a specific area and is then disbanded. The individual experts are listed with their affiliated organizations. However, this does not imply that the organization has approved the content of the standard or guideline. (Note: Per X9 policy, company names of non-member participants are listed only if, at the time of publication, the X9 Secretariat received an original signed release permitting such company names to appear in print.)

The X9C1 Electronic Contracting Working Group, which developed this standard, had the following members:

John Freeman and Mark Zalewski Co-Chairmen and Recorders

Robert Mossel, Project Editor

### Organization Represented

American Financial Services Association  
American Financial Services Association  
American Financial Services Association  
Bank of America  
Cable & Wireless  
Carmax  
Carmax  
Chase Manhattan Automotive Finance Corporation

### Representative

John Freeman  
Robert McKew, Esq.  
Mark Zalewski  
Todd Inskeep  
Kevin Nixon  
Patty Covington  
Richard Smith  
Joan Aristei, Esq.



DaimlerChrysler Services North America LLC  
DaimlerChrysler Services North America LLC  
DealerTrack, Inc.  
DealerTrack, Inc.  
DealerTrack, Inc.  
DealerTrack, Inc.  
Delap White Caldwell & Croy  
Delap White Caldwell & Croy  
eOriginal  
eOriginal  
Ford Motor Credit Company  
Ford Motor Credit Company  
Ford Motor Credit Company  
Ford Motor Credit Company  
Ford Motor Credit Company  
General Motor Acceptance Corporation  
General Motor Acceptance Corporation  
General Motor Acceptance Corporation  
Harley Davidson Financial Services  
Harley-Davidson Financial Services  
Hudson Cook  
Hudson Cook  
Interlink Electronics  
John Deere Finance  
Phase2e  
Phase2e  
Reynolds & Reynolds  
RouteOne  
RouteOne  
RouteOne  
TECSEC Inc  
Toyota Financial & Lexus Financial Services  
Toyota Financial & Lexus Financial Services  
VeriFone

Matt Millikan  
DJ Culkar, Esq.  
Eric Jacobs, Esq.  
William Kennedy  
Margo Tank, Esq., Buckley Kolar  
Frank Supik, Esq., Buckley Kolar  
Darlene Kargel  
James Jones  
Bryan Caporlette  
Steve Bisbee, Esq.  
Cindy Duffy  
Laurie Krueger  
Robert Mossel, Esq.  
Gary Hayden, Esq.  
Howard Raymond  
Mary Crisp  
Chris Moses  
Thomas Buiteweg, Esq.  
John Lucas  
Mark Wilkins  
Michael Benoit, Esq.  
Alicia Tortarolo, Esq.  
Eric Rose  
John Brown, Esq.  
Dave Marney  
Michael Leahey  
Steve Tjaden  
Dana Visser, Esq.  
Dan Doman, Esq.  
Jason Bolduc  
Pud Reaver  
Elise Ross, Esq.  
Matthew Heydon  
Tim Hirner

This is a preview of "ANSI X9.103:2004". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

# Motor Vehicle Retail Sale and Lease Electronic Contracting

## 1 Scope

The scope of this standard begins at the time of signing the Contract, inclusive of signature capture, and includes the creation, storage and assignment of Electronic Chattel Paper where the assignment will involve establishing control of the Electronic Chattel Paper. This standard addresses both electronically originated Chattel Paper and Tangible Chattel Paper that is subsequently converted to an electronic format. This standard does not address other documents involved in the process (e.g. titles, odometer statements, etc.), the data elements a Contract should contain, or the formatting of the Contract. In addition, this standard does not address the process or legal requirements of presenting Contracts to Customers, the requirements to determine enforceability of Electronic Signatures, or the privacy requirements arising out of the relationships among the parties.

This standard only addresses the creation, storage, and assignment of Electronic Chattel Paper where assignment involves establishing "control" of the Electronic Chattel Paper. It would not be necessary to satisfy most of the requirements set forth in this standard to establish a sufficient system of creating, storing, and assigning Electronic Chattel Paper if the assignee chose to perfect its interest in the Electronic Chattel Paper by filing a financing statement rather than by establishing "control" with respect to the Electronic Chattel Paper, or if the Dealer does not assign the Electronic Chattel Paper.

In addition, this standard only addresses retail installment sale and lease contracts in the automotive dealer financing industry. However, it may be useful in establishing a similar process for banks, credit unions, and finance companies that make secured loans directly to buyers to enable them to purchase vehicles.

The order in which the sections of this standard are presented is not intended to represent a solution or process flow. Notes and examples integrated in the text of this standard and the informative annexes located at the end of this standard are provided only to assist the reader with understanding or using this document. These elements are not part of this standard and are not requirements for implementing this standard.

This standard is not intended to dictate the use of a particular business process or technology. Therefore, this standard does not recommend or endorse any particular business, process, or technology solution for use in implementation.

## 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are useful for the application of this document. For dated references, the latest edition of the referenced documents (including any amendments) applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ANSI/NIST –ITL 1-200, American National Standard for Information Systems- Data Format for the Exchange of Fingerprint, Facial & Scar Mark & Tattoo (SMT) Information.

ANSI X9.8 Part 1-2003: Banking – Personal Identification Number (PIN) management and security – Part 1: Basic Principles and requirements for online PIN handling in ATM and POS systems.