



# American National Standard for Financial Services

## ANSI X9.110–2008 TOLEC - Transfer of location of electronic contracts



Accredited Standards Committee X9, Incorporated  
Financial Industry Standards

**Date Approved:**

American National Standards Institute

**July 16, 2008**

American National Standards, Technical Reports and Guides developed through the Accredited Standards Committee X9, Inc., are copyrighted. Copying these documents for personal or commercial use outside X9 membership agreements is prohibited without express written permission of the Accredited Standards Committee X9, Inc. For additional information please contact ASC X9, Inc., 1212 West Street, Suite 200, Annapolis, Maryland 21401.

| <b>Contents</b> |   | <b>Page</b> |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| <b>1</b>        | <b>Scope .....</b>  | <b>10</b>   |
| <b>2</b>        | <b>Normative references .....</b>   | <b>10</b>   |
| <b>3</b>        | <b>Terms and definitions .....</b>  | <b>11</b>   |
| <b>4</b>        | <b>Symbols and abbreviated terms .....</b>                                  | <b>13</b>   |
| <b>5</b>        | <b>Design .....</b>   | <b>14</b>   |
| <b>5.1</b>      | <b>Design objectives .....</b>  | <b>14</b>   |
| <b>5.1.1</b>    | <b>Multiple transport protocols .....</b>                                   | <b>14</b>   |
| <b>5.1.2</b>    | <b>Integrity .....</b>  | <b>14</b>   |
| <b>5.1.3</b>    | <b>Auditability .....</b>   | <b>14</b>   |
| <b>5.1.4</b>    | <b>Transactional .....</b>  | <b>14</b>   |
| <b>5.1.5</b>    | <b>Reliability .....</b>  | <b>14</b>   |
| <b>5.1.6</b>    | <b>Severance of responsibility .....</b>                                    | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>5.1.7</b>    | <b>Interoperability .....</b>   | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>5.2</b>      | <b>Design principles .....</b>  | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>5.2.1</b>    | <b>Message origin .....</b>   | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>5.2.2</b>    | <b>Secure communication/Data Privacy .....</b>                              | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>5.2.3</b>    | <b>Sender authentication .....</b>  | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>5.2.4</b>    | <b>Non repudiation of origin .....</b>                                      | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>5.2.5</b>    | <b>Non repudiation of delivery .....</b>                                    | <b>16</b>   |
| <b>5.2.6</b>    | <b>Use of other standards .....</b>   | <b>16</b>   |
| <b>6</b>        | <b>Transfer of Location .....</b>   | <b>17</b>   |
| <b>6.1</b>      | <b>Transfer of location lifecycle .....</b>                                 | <b>17</b>   |
| <b>6.2</b>      | <b>Basic Message Validation .....</b>                                       | <b>18</b>   |
| <b>6.3</b>      | <b>Transfer of Location Messages .....</b>                                  | <b>19</b>   |
| <b>6.3.1</b>    | <b>Create Sequence .....</b>  | <b>19</b>   |
| <b>6.3.2</b>    | <b>Create Sequence Response .....</b>                                       | <b>19</b>   |
| <b>6.3.3</b>    | <b>Transfer Package .....</b>   | <b>20</b>   |
| <b>6.3.4</b>    | <b>Sequence Acknowledgement .....</b>                                       | <b>22</b>   |
| <b>6.3.5</b>    | <b>Terminate Sequence .....</b>   | <b>23</b>   |
| <b>6.3.6</b>    | <b>Query Status .....</b>   | <b>24</b>   |
| <b>6.4</b>      | <b>Time of Transfer of Location .....</b>                                   | <b>24</b>   |
| <b>6.4.1</b>    | <b>Time of Transfer Rule objective .....</b>                                | <b>24</b>   |
| <b>6.4.2</b>    | <b>Time of Transfer rule .....</b>  | <b>24</b>   |
| <b>6.4.3</b>    | <b>Best practices to ensure compliance with Time of Transfer Rule .....</b> | <b>25</b>   |
| <b>7</b>        | <b>Message syntax .....</b>   | <b>26</b>   |
| <b>7.1</b>      | <b>Namespaces .....</b>   | <b>26</b>   |
| <b>7.2</b>      | <b>Transport Elements .....</b>   | <b>26</b>   |
| <b>7.2.1</b>    | <b>WS-Addressing .....</b>  | <b>26</b>   |
| <b>7.2.2</b>    | <b>WS-Security .....</b>  | <b>27</b>   |
| <b>7.2.3</b>    | <b>WS-ReliableMessaging .....</b>   | <b>29</b>   |
| <b>7.2.4</b>    | <b>Transfer Payload Elements .....</b>                                      | <b>32</b>   |
| <b>7.3</b>      | <b>Message Descriptions .....</b>   | <b>34</b>   |
| <b>7.3.1</b>    | <b>Create Sequence .....</b>  | <b>34</b>   |
| <b>7.3.2</b>    | <b>Create Sequence Response .....</b>                                       | <b>35</b>   |
| <b>7.3.3</b>    | <b>Transfer Package .....</b>   | <b>36</b>   |

|                     |   |    |
|---------------------|---|----|
| 7.3.4               | Transfer Package– Last Message.....             | 37 |
| 7.3.5               | Transfer Package Sequence Acknowledgement ..... | 39 |
| 7.3.6               | Terminate Sequence .....                        | 40 |
| 7.4                 | Faults .....                                    | 41 |
| 7.4.1               | SOAP Faults.....                                | 41 |
| 7.4.2               | Addressing Faults .....                         | 41 |
| 7.4.3               | Reliable Messaging Faults .....                 | 43 |
| 7.4.4               | Payload Faults .....                            | 44 |
| Annex A (normative) | Audit Trail event names.....                    | 47 |
| A.1                 | Introduction.....                               | 47 |
| A.2                 | Scope.....                                      | 47 |
| A.3                 | Audit Trail event security .....                | 47 |
| A.4                 | Audit Trail event names and descriptions.....   | 47 |
| Bibliography.....   |   | 48 |

## **ANS X9.110-2008**

### Foreword

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretation should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

**CAUTION NOTICE:** This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of approval.

Published by

**Accredited Standards Committee X9, Incorporated**  
**Financial Industry Standards**  
**1212 West Street**  
**Suite 200**  
**Annapolis, MD 21401 USA**  
**X9 Online <http://www.x9.org>**

Copyright © 2008 ASC X9, Inc.  
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher. Published in the United States of America.

## Introduction

This American National Standard compliments the American National Standard X9.103-2004 elements of perfection by control of electronic record storage by addressing the elements required when transferring Electronic Chattel Paper and its related ancillary documentation (the Electronic Contract Package) from one physical location to another physical location.

The purpose of this document is to provide automotive finance industry standards for the vault-to-vault transfer of an Electronic Contract Package. An Electronic Contract Package that falls under the rules of this standard at a minimum includes the Authoritative Copy of Electronic Chattel Paper.

Note: The completed retail installment sale or lease contract is Chattel Paper. If the Chattel Paper is evidenced by a Record or Records consisting of information stored in an electronic medium, the Chattel Paper is Electronic Chattel Paper.

Vault-to-vault transfer is used to describe the process of moving the Authoritative Copy of Electronic Chattel Paper from a sending Electronic Vault to a receiving Electronic Vault. Prior to this document there has been no authoritative source that defines the broad constraints and standards of this process for the automotive finance industry.

Electronic Chattel Paper is stored for safekeeping in physical, logically secure environmental systems called Electronic Vaults. This standard does not define the requirements for Electronic Vaults and the protection of data stored in Electronic Vaults, but is intended to provide standards to facilitate the safe and efficient delivery of Electronic Contract Packages between Electronic Vaults.

Note: The protection and privacy of personal or financial data at rest or in long-term storage is addressed in other standards which apply to the integrity and safety of sensitive data, such as but not limited to ANS X9.52-1998 *"Triple Data Encryption Algorithm Modes of Operation, "*; ANS X9.65-2004 *"Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA) Implementation"*; NIST Special Publication 800-57 *"Recommendation for Key Management"*; and NIST Special Publication 800-78-1 *"Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Sizes for Personal Identity Verification"* .

To encourage finance industry acceptance of vault-to-vault transfers both the sender and recipient of Electronic Contract Packages require assurance that the Authoritative Copy is sent, delivered and received via electronic communication and that the Authoritative Copy retains its essential legal elements and other unique attributes.

American National Standard X9.103-2004 *Motor Vehicle Retail Sale and Lease Electronic Contracting* provides guidance and direction to the creation, storage and assignment where assignment of Electronic Chattel Paper is perfected by control. For a Secured Party to have control of Electronic Chattel Paper, an Authoritative Copy of the Electronic Chattel Paper shall be created in a way that ensures a single Authoritative Copy of the Record or Records comprising the Electronic Chattel Paper exists that is unique, identifiable, and unalterable in accordance with ANS X9.103-2004.

The Authoritative Copy created under ANS X9.103 may reside in Electronic Vaults that provide processing and secure electronic storage services. The owners of, or investors in, Electronic Chattel Paper may require delivery of the Authoritative Copy they purchased to their own Electronic Vault or to a contractual third party facility that holds the Authoritative Copy for the owner/investor. As the finance industry environment for Electronic Contracts develops and matures, these standards should be modified to accommodate changes in technology, law and business practice.

Since this document is authored under the auspices of X9C WG2, it does not define requirements for vault-to-vault transfers, nor does it prescribe any particular technological solution. Definition of business requirements and a complementary technical solution should ensure that the requirements and technical implementation are in

## ANS X9.110-2008

compliance with this standard. In the event that changes in laws or technical solutions evolve which could improve this standard, the implementing organization is encouraged to propose changes to ASC X9 to make the standards accurately reflect the current realities of the marketplace. Likewise, business requirements, technical solutions and this standard should be reviewed with potential investors of electronic contracts to ensure compatibility and compliance for storing and accessing Authoritative Copies of electronic contracts.

By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the validity of this claim or of any patent rights in connection therewith. The patent holder has, however, filed a statement of willingness to grant a license under these rights on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms and conditions to applicants desiring to obtain such a license. Details may be obtained from the standards developer.

Suggestions for the improvement or revision of this Standard are welcome. They should be sent to the X9 Committee Secretariat, Accredited Standards Committee X9, Inc., Financial Industry Standards, 1212 West Street, Suite 200, Annapolis, MD 21401 USA.

This Standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the Accredited Standards Committee on Financial Services, X9. Committee approval of the Standard does not necessarily imply that all the committee members voted for its approval.

The X9 committee had the following members:

James Shaffer, X9 Chairman

Vincent DeSantis, X9 Vice-Chairman

Cynthia Fuller, Executive Director ANS X9 Inc.

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| Jim Shaffer       | ACI Worldwide                                  |
| C. Diane Poole    | American Bankers Association                   |
| John Allen        | American Express Company                       |
| Mark Zalewski     | American Financial Services Association        |
| Daniel Welch      | Bank of America                                |
| James Taylor      | CUSIP Service Bureau                           |
| Daniel Brown      | Certicom Corporation                           |
| Gary Word         | Citigroup, Inc                                 |
| John McCleary     | Clarke American Checks, Inc.                   |
| John FitzPatrick  | Deluxe Corporation                             |
| Bruce Chapa       | Diebold, Inc                                   |
| Katie Howser      | Discover Financial Services                    |
| Daniel Schutzer   | FSTC, Financial Services Technology Consortium |
| Dexter Holt       | Federal Reserve Bank                           |
| Rick Van Luvender | First Data Corporation                         |
| Skip Smith        | Fiserv   |
| Larry Hines       | Hewlett Packard                                |
| Scott Spiker      | Hypercom                                       |
| Todd Arnold       | IBM Corporation                                |
| John Spence       | Ingenico                                       |
| Jana Hocker       | Intuit, Inc.                                   |
| Robert Blair      | J.P. Morgan Chase & Co                         |
| Mark Lundin       | KPMG LLP                                       |
| Carlos Morales    | MagTek, Inc.                                   |
| William Poletti   | MasterCard International                       |
| Steve Stevens     | NCR Corporation                                |
| Michael Davis     | National Association of Convenience Stores     |
| Paul Timmel       | National Security Agency                       |
| Paul Doyle        | Proofspace                                     |
| Jean-Marie Eloy   | RMG-SWIFT                                      |
| James Wills       | SWIFT/Pan Americas                             |
| Vincent DeSantis  | The Clearing House                             |

|                 |                  |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Marc Morrison   | U.S. Bank        |
| Stephen Ranzini | University Bank  |
| Ron Schultz     | VECTORsgi        |
| Richard Sweeney | VISA             |
| Brad McGuinness | VeriFone, Inc    |
| Raymond Gatland | Wachovia Bank    |
| Ruven Schwartz  | Wells Fargo Bank |

## **ANS X9.110-2008**

The X9C subcommittee on Credit had the following members:

Mark Zalewski, Chairman

Vice Chairman position was vacant at time of drafting of this standard.

### **Organization Represented**

ADP, Inc.  
American Bankers Association  
American Financial Services Association  
Bank of America  
Certicom Corporation  
Citigroup, Inc  
DaimlerChrysler Financial Services America  
DeLap, White, Caldwell and Croy, LLP  
DealerTrack, Inc.  
Deluxe Corporation  
Diebold, Inc  
Drive Financial Services  
ECCHO  
FSTC  
Federal Reserve Bank  
First Data Corporation  
Fiserv  
Ford Financial  
GMAC  
Hudson Cook, LLP  
Hypercom  
IBM Corporation  
Ingenico  
National Security Agency  
RouteOne  
Toyota Financial Services  
U.S. Bank  
VISA  
VeriFone, Inc.  
Wachovia Bank  
Wells Fargo Bank

### **Representative**

Chris Woerner  
C. Diane Poole  
Mark Zalewski  
Daniel Welch  
Daniel Brown  
Mike Halpern  
Nema Hussein  
James Jones  
Vladislav Kotlyar  
John FitzPatrick  
Bruce Chapa  
Don Goin  
Ellen Heffner  
Daniel Schutzer  
Deb Hjortland  
Rick Van Luvender  
Bud Beattie  
Connie Sandrowicz  
Chris Moses  
Alicia H. Tortarolo  
Scott Spiker  
Todd Arnold  
John Spence  
Paul Timmel  
Dan Doman  
Thomas Dherbecourt  
Marc Morrison  
Richard Sweeney  
Brad McGuinness  
Raymond Gatland  
Ruven Schwartz

Under ASC X9, Inc. procedures, a working group may be established to address specific segments of work under the ASC X9 Committee or one of its subcommittees. A working group exists only to develop standard(s) or guideline(s) in a specific area and is then disbanded. The individual experts are listed with their affiliated organizations. However, this does not imply that the organization has approved the content of the standard or guideline. (Note: Per X9 policy, company names of non-member participants are listed only if, at the time of publication, the X9 Secretariat received an original signed release permitting such company names to appear in print.)

The X9C WG2 Transfer of Location of Electronic Contracts working group which developed this standard had the following members:

Bryan Caporlette, Convenor and Mark Zalewski, Project Editor

### **Organization Represented**

American Financial Services Association  
DaimlerChrysler Financial Services Americas, LLC

### **Representative**

Mark Zalewski  
Bill Ording

DaimlerChrysler Financial Services Americas, LLC  
DaimlerChrysler Financial Services Americas, LLC  
DaimlerChrysler Financial Services Americas, LLC  
DaimlerChrysler Financial Services Americas, LLC  
DealerTrack  
DealerTrack  
eOriginal, Inc.  
eOriginal, Inc.  
eOriginal, Inc.  
Ford Financial  
Ford Financial  
Ford Financial  
GMAC  
GMAC  
RouteOne  
RouteOne  
RouteOne  
RouteOne  
Shumaker Williams P.C.  
Silanis  
Toyota Financial Services  
Toyota Financial Services

DJ Culkar, Esq.  
Laura Houry  
Matt Millikan  
Priti Kothari  
Alan Lehmann  
Vladislov Kotlyar  
Bryan Caporlette  
Steve Bisbee  
David Proegler  
Cindy Duffy  
Laurie Malone  
Anupa Nielsen  
Chris Moses  
Jim Radick  
Dan Doman, Esq.  
Mark Leonard  
TN Subramamiam  
Diana Klein  
Reginald S. Evans, Esq.  
Michael Laurie  
Matthew M. Heydon  
Thomas Dherbecourt

# Transfer of location of electronic contracts

## 1 Scope

This specification describes a method of transfer for electronic contracts, or electronic records between two disparate **Electronic Vaults** across a private or public network. The methods and approach described herein prescribe the requirements necessary to maintain compliance with legislation for **Electronic Chattel Paper** defined in revised UCC Article 9, Section 105.

## 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ANS X9.52-1998, *Triple Data Encryption Algorithm Modes of Operation*

ANS X9.65-2004, *Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA) Implementation*

ANS X9.103-2004, *Motor Vehicle Retail Sale and Lease Electronic Contracts*

ISO 8601, *Data elements and interchange formats – Information interchange- Representation of dates and times: 2004*

NIST Special Publication 800-57 « Recommendation on Key Management » 2005

NIST Special Publication 800-78-1 « Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Sizes for Personal Identity Verification » (2006)

Cooper, Corinne." The New Article 9." Uniform Commercial Code. 2nd edition. 2000. American Bar Association

IETF W3C® Canonical XML, Version 1.0, March 2001

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315>

IETF W3C® Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0 : July 2002

<http://www.w3.org/TR/xml-exc-c14n/>

IETF W3C® SOAP Version 1.2 Part 2: Adjuncts; 24 June 2003 SOAP binding to HTTP :

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-soap12-part2-20030624/#soapinhttp>.

IETF W3C® XML Schema Part 2 : Datatypes Second Edition

<http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/>

IETF W3C® XML-Signature Syntax and Processing –

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/CR-xmldsig-core-20001031/>