ASME B89.1.8-2011

Performance Evaluation of DisplacementMeasuring Laser Interferometers

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



ASME B89.1.8-2011

Performance Evaluation of DisplacementMeasuring Laser Interferometers

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



Date of Issuance: March 30, 2012

This Standard will be revised when the Society approves the issuance of a new edition.

ASME issues written replies to inquiries concerning interpretations of technical aspects of this Standard. Periodically certain actions of the ASME B89 Committee may be published as Cases. Cases and interpretations are published on the ASME Web site under the Committee Pages at http://cstools.asme.org/ as they are issued.

Errata to codes and standards may be posted on the ASME Web site under the Committee Pages to provide corrections to incorrectly published items, or to correct typographical or grammatical errors in codes and standards. Such errata shall be used on the date posted.

The Committee Pages can be found at http://cstools.asme.org/. There is an option available to automatically receive an e-mail notification when errata are posted to a particular code or standard. This option can be found on the appropriate Committee Page after selecting "Errata" in the "Publication Information" section.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The Standards Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment that provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not "approve," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2012 by THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS All rights reserved Printed in U.S.A.

CONTENTS

Foreword Committee Roster v Correspondence With the B89 Committee Scope..... Definitions 2 1 3 System Performance Evaluation: General Considerations 5 7 4 Verifying Test Performance, Estimating Bias in the Test, and Uncertainty of 5 Results 16 6 Measurement Evaluation 19 References **Figure** 2-1 Schematic Illustration of the Abbe Offset 2 **Tables** 4.2 - 1Recommended Target Positions 8 4.7.2 - 1Sensitivity Coefficients Associated With LDE 12 Wavelength Corrections (Parts in 106) for Low Humidity Air (25% RH) 4.8 - 113 Wavelength Corrections (Parts in 10⁶) for Medium Humidity Air (50% RH) 4.8-2 Wavelength Corrections (Parts in 10⁶) for High Humidity Air (75% RH) 4.8-3 14 4.8-4 Combinations of Environmental Conditions 14 **Forms** 4.10-1Reporting Results for Intercomparison Test 17 4.10-2Reporting Results for Sensor Calibration 17 4.10-3 Reporting Overall Result 17 6-1 Sample Uncertainty Budget: Errors Predictable by Manufacturer 20 6-2 Sample Uncertainty Budget: Combining Manufacturer's Reported Uncertainty With Additional Sources of Error for a Metrology Laboratory 21 **Nonmandatory Appendices** Uncertainty Budget for Interferometric Length Measurements 25 Α Methods for Comparing Two Interferometer Systems В 33 Performing Accurate Linear Measurements With a Laser Interferometer CSystem — Best Procedures and Practices 41 D Retesting of Interferometer Systems 49 Ε AC Interferometers Versus DC Interferometers F Suggestions for Testing the Master Interferometer and Estimating Uncertainty 51