

AWS D14.8M:2009
(ISO/TR 17844:2004 IDT)
An American National Standard



Standard Methods for the Avoidance of Cold Cracks



American Welding Society



**AWS D14.8M:2009 (ISO/TR 17844:2004 IDT)
An American National Standard**

**Approved by the
American National Standards Institute
November 11, 2008**

Standard Methods for the Avoidance of Cold Cracks

1st Edition

Prepared by the
American Welding Society (AWS) D14 Committee on Machinery and Equipment

Under the Direction of the
AWS Technical Activities Committee

Approved by the
AWS Board of Directors

Abstract

This is the U.S. national adoption of ISO 17844:2004, *Welding — Comparison of standardized methods for the avoidance of cold cracks.*



American Welding Society

550 N.W. LeJeune Road, Miami, FL 33126

Foreword

This foreword is not part of AWS D14.8M:2009 (ISO/TR 17844:2004 IDT), *Standard Methods for the Avoidance of Cold Cracks*, but is included for informational purposes only.

This standard is the U.S. national adoption of ISO 17844:2004, *Welding — Comparison of standardized methods for the avoidance of cold cracks*. This identical adoption (IDT) retains the technical content, structure, and wording of the ISO document, although it contains the following editorial changes:

- (1) the decimal comma has been replaced by the decimal point, and
- (2) the words “this International Standard” have been replaced by “this standard.”

This standard makes sole use of the International System of Units (SI). Safety and health issues and concerns are beyond the scope of this standard, and therefore are not fully addressed herein. Safety and health information is available from other sources, including, but not limited to, ANSI Z49.1, *Safety in Welding, Cutting, and Allied Processes*, and applicable federal and state regulations.

The purpose of this document is to compare currently available methods for determining welding procedures for avoiding hydrogen induced cold cracking during fabrication.

This subject has been extensively studied in recent years and many methods of providing guidance on avoidance of cold cracking have been published. These methods vary considerably in how comprehensively the subject has to be treated. It was considered appropriate to set certain important working criteria for selecting the published methods to be included in this document. In deciding which criteria would be adopted it was agreed that these should include the capabilities for effective use by industry, the end user. Thus the methods should be able to be used on the basis of traditionally available information and relevant factors. The agreed list of criteria was set to include the following main input parameters:

- (1) steel composition,
- (2) welding heat input,
- (3) joint geometry and material thickness,
- (4) weld hydrogen level, and
- (5) preheat

and in addition:

- (6) graphical/computer format of data.

Using the above criteria, the following methods were selected:

- (1) CE (EN 1011-2/ISO/TR 17671-2, C.2-Method A);
- (2) CET (EN 1011-2/ISO/TR 17671-2, C.3-Method B);
- (3) CE_N (JIS B 8285); and
- (4) P_{cm} (AWS D1.1).

Each method is considered in a separate clause, under the following headings:

- (1) Description of type of test data used to devise the guidelines, e.g., CTS, y-groove, etc.;
- (2) Parent metal composition and range of applicability;
- (3) Material thickness and range of applicability;
- (4) Hydrogen level and welding processes;
- (5) Heat input;
- (6) Other factors/special considerations; and
- (7) Determination of preheat (step-by-step example description).

An informative annex compares and contrasts the predictions of the methods in respect of ten different steels and a range of material thickness, joint geometries, heat inputs, and hydrogen levels.

It is important that any calculations using a given method are undertaken using the current edition of the appropriate standard.

Comments and suggestions for the improvement of this standard are welcome. They should be sent to the Secretary, AWS D14 Committee on Machinery and Equipment, American Welding Society, 550 N.W. LeJeune Road, Miami, FL 33126.

Table of Contents

	Page No.
<i>Personnel</i>	v
<i>Foreword</i>	vii
<i>List of Tables</i>	x
<i>List of Figures</i>	xi
1. Scope	1
2. CE-method	1
2.1 Cracking test method	1
2.2 Parent metal composition range	1
2.3 Plate thickness and joint geometry	2
2.4 Hydrogen level and welding process	2
2.5 Heat input	3
2.6 Special considerations	7
2.7 Determination of preheat	9
3. CET-method	17
3.1 Cracking test method	17
3.2 Parent metal composition range	18
3.3 Plate thickness	19
3.4 Hydrogen level and welding process	20
3.5 Heat input	20
3.6 Influence of residual stress	21
3.7 Determination of preheat	21
3.8 Special considerations	24
4. CE_N-method	24
4.1 Cracking test method	24
4.2 Parent metal composition range	24
4.3 Material thickness	25
4.4 Weld metal hydrogen content and welding process	25
4.5 Heat input	26
4.6 Weld metal yield strength	26
4.7 Determination of preheat	27
4.8 Special considerations	27
5. P_{cm}-method	32
5.1 General	32
5.2 Parent metal composition range	33
5.3 Plate thickness and joint geometry	34
5.4 Hydrogen levels and welding process	34
5.5 Energy input	35
5.6 Special considerations	36
5.7 Determination of minimum preheat	36
Annex A (informative) Comparison of the different methods	47
Annex B (informative) Abbreviations	73
Bibliography	74
National Informative Annexes	75
Annex C (Informative)—Guidelines for the Preparation of Technical Inquiries	75
Index	77

List of Tables

Table	Page No.
1	Range of chemical composition of the main constituents for parent metal for <i>CE</i> -method..... 1
2	Hydrogen scales.....2
3	Run length for shielded metal arc welding – 95 % electrode efficiency, approximately4
4	Run length for shielded metal arc welding – 95 % < electrode efficiency ≤ 110 %5
5	Run length for shielded metal arc welding – 110 % < electrode efficiency ≤ 130 %6
6	Run length for shielded metal arc welding – electrode efficiency > 130 %7
7	Values for heat input for shielded metal arc welding of single run fillet welds8
8	Steps for the determination of preheat.....9
9	Range of chemical composition of the main constituents for parent metal for the <i>CET</i> -method..... 18
10	Range of validity..... 18
11	Steel with the following chemical composition in % by weight22
12	Steps for determination.....23
13	Range of chemical composition of main constituents for parent metal for <i>CE_N</i> method24
14	Value of coefficient <i>f(C)</i>25
15	Yield strength levels for all-weld-metal.....26
16	Steps for the determination of preheat.....27
17	Welding process designations and terminology.....35
18	Multiplication factors relating to welding processes35
19	Steps of the method36
20	Steps of the method37
21	Steps of the method37
22	Susceptibility index grouping as function of hydrogen content level <i>HD</i> and composition parameter <i>P_{cm}</i> 44
23	Minimum preheat and interpass temperature for three levels of restraint45
A.1	Parent metal composition range.....47
A.2	Steels used for comparing predicted preheats50
A.3	Comparison of preheat temperature according to different methods (plate thickness 25 mm).....51
A.4	Comparison of preheat temperature according to different methods (plate thickness 50 mm).....53
A.5	Comparison of minimum preheat temperature (hydrogen level of 13.6 ml/100 g).....55

List of Figures

Figure	Page No.
1	10
2	11
3	19
4	19
5	20
6	21
7	23
8	29
9	30
10	31
11	32
12	38
13	38
14	39
15	40
A.1	59
A.2	62
A.3	63
A.4	64
A.5	65
A.6	66
A.7	67
A.8	68
A.9	69
A.10	70
A.11	71
A.12	72

Welding — Comparison of standardized methods for the avoidance of cold cracks

1 Scope

In addition to EN 1011-2/ISO/TR 17671-2, this document contains further methods for avoidance of cold cracking used by other members of ISO. This document gives guidance for manual, semi-mechanized, mechanized and automatic arc welding of ferritic steels, excluding ferritic stainless steels, in all product forms.

Further information about the materials and process parameters is given in Clauses 2 to 5.

NOTE 1 All references are listed in the annex "Bibliography."

NOTE 2 All used abbreviations in this document are explained in EN 1011-2/ISO/TR 17671-2, and Annex B.

2 CE-method

2.1 Cracking test method

This method is based on an original concept of critical hardness to avoid HAZ (heat-affected zone) hydrogen cracking. It has been empirically developed incorporating the extensive results of HAZ hardenability studies and cracking tests, the latter mainly but not exclusively being the CTS test type. In its present general format the scheme was originally published in 1973 and, with modifications and updates, has been continuously incorporated in British Standards for nearly 25 years. The experience of its use, both in the UK and elsewhere, has been extremely satisfactory.

2.2 Parent metal composition range

The parent metals covered are carbon, carbon manganese, fine grained and low alloyed steels (groups 1 to 3 of CR ISO 15608:2000).

The steels that were used over many years to develop the method have covered a wide range of compositions and it is believed that they are adequately represented by Table 1.

Table 1 — Range of chemical composition of the main constituents for parent metal for CE-method

Element	Percentage by weight
Carbon	$\geq 0.05, \leq 0.25$
Silicon	≤ 0.8
Manganese	≤ 1.7
Chromium	≤ 0.9
Copper	≤ 1.0
Nickel	≤ 2.5
Molybdenum	≤ 0.75
Vanadium	≤ 0.20