

December 2009

C34-A3

Sweat Testing: Sample Collection and Quantitative Chloride Analysis; Approved Guideline—Third Edition

This document addresses appropriate methods of collection and analysis, quality control, and the evaluation and reporting of test results.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeals Process

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Administrative Procedures.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For further information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500 Wayne, PA 19087 USA P: 610.688.0100 F: 610.688.0700 www.clsi.org standard@clsi.org

ISSN 0273-3099 Sweat Testing: Sample Collection and Out	Vol. 20 No. 14
199N 0222 2000	*
ISBN 1-56238-713-8	Replaces C34-A2
	Vol. 29 No. 27
	C34-A3

C24 A2

Sweat Testing: Sample Collection and Quantitative Chloride Analysis; Approved Guideline—Third Edition

Volume 29 Number 27

Vicky A. LeGrys, DrA, MT(ASCP) Robert Applequist Dennis R. Briscoe Phillip Farrell, MD, PhD Rosetta Hickstein, MT(ASCP) Stanley F. Lo, PhD, DABCC, FACB Rosa Passarell Douglas W. Rheinheimer, MT Beryl J. Rosenstein, MD Jeffrey E. Vaks, PhD

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document C34-A3—*Sweat Testing: Sample Collection and Quantitative Chloride Analysis; Approved Guideline—Third Edition* is a guideline for the performance of the sweat test for the diagnosis of cystic fibrosis. The primary audience includes laboratory and clinical personnel responsible for collecting, analyzing, reporting, and evaluating sweat test results. Sweat stimulation, collection, and the quantitative measurement of sweat chloride are described along with quality assurance and result evaluation.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Sweat Testing: Sample Collection and Quantitative Chloride Analysis; Approved Guideline—Third Edition*. CLSI document C34-A3 (ISBN 1-56238-713-8). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2009.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



Number 27

C34-A3

Copyright [©]2009 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. Sweat Testing: Sample Collection and Quantitative Chloride Analysis; Approved Guideline—Third Edition. CLSI document C34-A3. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2009.

Proposed Guideline March 1993

Approved Guideline December 1994

Approved Guideline—Second Edition June 2000

Approved Guideline—Third Edition December 2009

ISBN 1-56238-713-8 ISSN 0273-3099

Volume 29

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Clinical Chemistry and Toxicology

David A. Armbruster, PhD, DABCC, FACB Chairholder Abbott Diagnostics Abbott Park, Illinois, USA

David G. Grenache, PhD, MT(ASCP), DABCC, FACB Vice-Chairholder University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

David M. Bunk, PhD National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA

Steven C. Kazmierczak, PhD, DABCC, FACB Department of Pathology Oregon Health and Science University Portland, Oregon, USA

Loralie J. Langman, PhD Mayo Clinic Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Jeffrey E. Vaks, PhD Roche Molecular Diagnostics Pleasanton, California, USA

Hubert Vesper, PhD Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Subcommittee on Sweat Testing

Vicky A. LeGrys, DrA, MT(ASCP) Chairholder Univ. of North Carolina School of Medicine Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

Robert Applequist Labconco Corp Kansas City, Missouri, USA

Dennis R. Briscoe Wescor, Inc. Logan, Utah, USA

Phillip Farrell, MD, PhD University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin, USA Graham Henderson White, PhD Flinders Medical Centre Bedford Park Australia

Jack Zakowski, PhD, FACB Beckman Coulter, Inc. Brea, California, USA

Advisors

John Rex Astles, PhD, FACB Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Greg Cooper, CLS, MHA Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., QSD Division Plano, Texas, USA

Paul D'Orazio, PhD Instrumentation Laboratory Bedford, Massachusetts, USA

Carl C. Garber, PhD, FACB Quest Diagnostics, Incorporated Madison, New Jersey, USA

Uttam Garg, PhD, DABCC Children's Mercy Hospitals and Clinics Kansas City, Missouri, USA Claude Giroud, PhD Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Marnes-La-Coquette, France

Neil Greenberg, PhD Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. Rochester, New York, USA

Christopher M. Lehman, MD Univ. of Utah Health Sciences Center Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

W. Gregory Miller, PhD Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, Virginia, USA

Gary L. Myers, PhD Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia, USA

David Sacks, MD Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Thomas L. Williams, MD Nebraska Methodist Hospital Omaha, Nebraska, USA

Rosetta Hickstein, MT(ASCP) All Children's Hospital St. Petersburg, Florida, USA

Stanley F. Lo, PhD, DABCC, FACB Children's Hospital of Wisconsin Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

Rosa Passarell TECIL Barcelona, Spain

Douglas W. Rheinheimer, MT FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health Rockville, Maryland, USA Beryl J. Rosenstein, MD Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Jeffrey E. Vaks, PhD Roche Molecular Diagnostics Pleasanton, California, USA

Advisors

Ronda Greaves, PhD, MAACB, MAppSc Royal Children's Hospital Victoria, Australia

Paul Griffiths, DipCB, FRCPath, MBA Birmingham Children's Hospital Birmingham, United Kingdom

C34-A3

Number 27

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA

Lois M. Schmidt, DA Vice President, Standards Development and Marketing David E. Sterry, MT(ASCP) Staff Liaison

Patrice E. Polgar Project Manager

Melissa A. Lewis, ELS Editorial Manager

Carol DiBerardino, MLA, ELS Assistant Editor

Volume 29

Contents

Abstrac	:t		i
Commi	ttee Mer	nbership	iii
Forewo	rd		vii
1	Scope		1
2	•	d Precautions	
3	Termin	ology	
	3.1	A Note on Terminology	
	3.2 3.3	Definitions	
		Abbreviations and Acronyms	
4	Procedu	aral Precautions	6
	4.1	Chemical Hygiene	
	4.2	Verification of Measurement Procedures	
	4.3	Burns.	
	4.4 4.5	Electrical Malfunctions	
_		0	
5	Principl	le	
	5.1	Sweat Stimulation	
	5.2	Sweat Collection	
	5.3	Measurement of Chloride in Sweat	10
6	Appara	tus and Equipment	10
	6.1	Iontophoresis Equipment	
	6.2	Chloridometer	12
7	Materials and Reagents		12
	7.1	Iontophoresis Materials	
	7.2	Sweat Collection Supplies	
	7.3	Chloride Determination by Chloridometer	13
8	Sweat S	Stimulation and Collection Procedures	14
	8.1	Patient Education	14
	8.2	Effects of Patient Age	
	8.3	Collection Timing and Sites	
	8.4	Stimulation and Collection of Sweat on Gauze or Filter Paper	
	8.5	Microbore Tubing Collector Stimulation and Collection Procedure	
	8.6 8.7	Procedural Notes and Precautions for Stimulation and Collection Sample Requirements	
9		is of Sweat Chloride by Titration With a Chloridometer	
	9.1	Principle	
	9.2	Specimen Stability and Storage	
	9.3	Procedure Using Gauze or Filter Paper Collection	
	9.4 9.5	Procedure Using Microbore Tubing Collection Result Reporting	
	9.6	Procedural Notes and Precautions	
	9.7	Method Validation	

	Number	27
--	--------	----

Contents (Continued)

10	Sweat Conductivity		
11	Labelin	g of Containers	27
	11.1 11.2 11.3	Reagents, Calibrators, and Controls Weighing Vials and Specimen Containers Titration Vials	27
12	Quality	Control and Quality Assurance	28
	12.1 12.2 12.3	Analytical Quality Control Quality Assurance Continuous Quality Monitoring	28
13	Evaluat	tion of Results	29
	13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 13.6 13.7	Diagnostic Criteria Recommended Reference Intervals for Sweat Chloride Transient Elevation in Sweat Electrolyte Concentrations Intermediate Sweat Chloride Concentrations Repeat Sweat Chloride Determination. Other Diseases Associated With Elevated Sweat Electrolyte Concentrations. Sources of Error	29 30 30 31 31
Referen	nces		33
Append	lix A. In	dications for Sweat Testing	36
Append	lix B. Cu	urrent Density	37
Appendix C. Concentration of Pilocarpine Nitrate			38
		eported Diseases or Conditions Other Than Cystic Fibrosis Associated With an Electrolyte Concentration	39
Summa	ry of Co	onsensus Comments and Subcommittee Responses	40
Summa	ry of De	elegate Comments and Subcommittee Responses	42
The Qu	ality Ma	nagement System Approach	48
Related	CLSI R	Reference Materials	49

Volume 29

Foreword

The quantitative measurement of chloride in sweat (commonly called the "sweat test") is used to confirm the diagnosis of cystic fibrosis (CF). With an approximate incidence of 1:3200, CF is the most common life-threatening genetic disease within the white population. It is an autosomal recessive disorder characterized by viscous secretions that affect the exocrine glands, primarily in the lungs and pancreas. Patients with CF have an increased concentration of sodium, chloride, and potassium in their sweat. The criteria for the diagnosis of CF include the presence of one or more characteristic phenotypic features, or a history of CF in a sibling, or a positive newborn screening test result; and an increased sweat chloride concentration by pilocarpine iontophoresis on two or more occasions, or identification of two CF-causing mutations or demonstration of abnormal nasal epithelial ion transport.^{1,2}

The sweat test has been reported to have unacceptably high false-positive (up to 15%) and false-negative (up to 12%) rates attributable to inaccurate methodology, technical error, and patient physiology.³⁻⁸ Comprehensive guidelines addressing the collection of sweat and the quantitative measurement of chloride in sweat are needed. Improvement in the performance of such tests can only occur when laboratory scientists and clinicians are aware of appropriate methods of collection and analysis, quality control, and evaluation of results. This document describes, in detail, the quantitative pilocarpine iontophoresis test for the determination of sweat chloride, including techniques to minimize the potential for false-negative test results. Screening methods based on sweat conductivity are also mentioned. Other methods for measuring sweat electrolytes after pilocarpine iontophoresis exist but are not included in the guideline. Some of these methods are documented as having significant analytical problems.³⁻⁸

The Cystic Fibrosis Foundation requires that, at accredited Cystic Fibrosis Care Centers for diagnosis, sweating be stimulated by pilocarpine iontophoresis and collected in either gauze or filter paper, or microbore tubing followed by quantitative measurement of chloride.² At alternative sites, as a screening procedure, conductivity may be measured (see Section 10). Patients with a sweat conductivity value of 50 mmol/L (equivalent NaCl) or above should have a quantitative measurement of sweat chloride.^{9,10}

This edition replaces the second edition approved guideline, C34-A2, which was published in 2000. Several changes have been made in this edition, including the following additions: a microvolume chloride procedure for sweat collected in coils; storage conditions for sweat; new reference ranges for infants; suggestions for enhancing sweat collection volume. It also includes sections on method validation and on developing and monitoring quality assurance and quality control.

Key Words

Chloridometer, iontophoresis, sweat chloride, sweat testing

Number 27

Volume 29

C34-A3

Sweat Testing: Sample Collection and Quantitative Chloride Analysis; Approved Guideline—Third Edition

1 Scope

The following procedures are described: the stimulation and collection of sweat and the quantitative measurement of chloride; sweat stimulation by pilocarpine iontophoresis (specific precautions are noted); and sweat collection in filter paper, gauze, and microbore tubing. Sweat chloride (CI⁻) determination is described using coulometric titration. Screening methods based on sweat conductivity are also mentioned. Other methods for measuring sweat electrolytes after pilocarpine iontophoresis exist but are not included in the guideline. Some of these methods are documented as having significant analytical problems and also have limited diagnostic application.³⁻⁸ Validation studies and quality assurance (QA) techniques are discussed, along with analytical and biological sources of error. The evaluation of sweat chloride test results to include reference intervals and diagnostic criteria are described, with an emphasis on the application of sweat chloride testing to newborn screening for cystic fibrosis (CF). This document is primarily directed towards laboratory and clinical personnel responsible for collecting, analyzing, reporting, and evaluating sweat chloride test results.

Because the sweat test has been reported to have unacceptably high false-positive and false-negative rates attributable to inaccurate methodology, technical error, and patient physiology,³⁻⁸ comprehensive guidelines addressing the collection of sweat and the quantitative measurement of chloride in sweat are needed. Improvement in the performance of such tests can only occur when laboratory scientists and clinicians are aware of appropriate methods of collection and analysis, quality control (QC), and evaluation of results. This document describes, in detail, the quantitative pilocarpine iontophoresis test for the determination of sweat chloride, including techniques to minimize the potential for false-positive and false-negative test results.

2 Standard Precautions

Because it is often impossible to know what isolates or specimens might be infectious, all patient and laboratory specimens are treated as infectious and handled according to "standard precautions." Standard precautions are guidelines that combine the major features of "universal precautions and body substance isolation" practices. Standard precautions cover the transmission of all infectious agents and thus are more comprehensive than universal precautions, which are intended to apply only to transmission of blood-borne pathogens. Standard and universal precaution guidelines are available from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.¹¹ For specific precautions for preventing the laboratory transmission of all infectious agents from laboratory instruments and materials and for recommendations for the management of exposure to all infectious disease, refer to CLSI document M29.¹²

Currently, standard precautions for protection from transmissible infectious agents exempt sweat unless it contains visible blood. However, it is recommended that laboratory personnel wear powder-free gloves during sweat collection and analysis as routine practice, both for their protection and to prevent contamination of the sample.¹¹

3 Terminology

3.1 A Note on Terminology

CLSI, as a global leader in standardization, is firmly committed to achieving global harmonization whenever possible. Harmonization is a process of recognizing, understanding, and explaining differences while taking steps to achieve worldwide uniformity. CLSI recognizes that medical conventions in the