C28-A3c Vol. 28 No. 30 Replaces C28-A2 Vol. 20 No. 13

Defining, Establishing, and Verifying Reference Intervals in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline—Third Edition

This document contains guidelines for determining reference values and reference intervals for quantitative clinical laboratory tests.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.





Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Advancing Quality in Health Care Testing

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS) is an international, interdisciplinary, nonprofit, standards-developing, and educational organization that promotes the development and use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines within the health care community. It is recognized worldwide for the application of its unique consensus process in the development of standards and guidelines for patient testing and related health care issues. Our process is based on the principle that consensus is an effective and cost-effective way to improve patient testing and health care services.

In addition to developing and promoting the use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines, we provide an open and unbiased forum to address critical issues affecting the quality of patient testing and health care.

PUBLICATIONS

A document is published as a standard, guideline, or committee report.

Standard A document developed through the consensus process that clearly identifies specific, essential requirements for materials, methods, or practices for use in an unmodified form. A standard may, in addition, contain discretionary elements, which are clearly identified.

Guideline A document developed through the consensus process describing criteria for a general operating practice, procedure, or material for voluntary use. A guideline may be used as written or modified by the user to fit specific needs.

Report A document that has not been subjected to consensus review and is released by the Board of Directors.

CONSENSUS PROCESS

The CLSI voluntary consensus process is a protocol establishing formal criteria for:

- the authorization of a project
- the development and open review of documents
- the revision of documents in response to comments by users
- the acceptance of a document as a consensus standard or guideline.

Most documents are subject to two levels of consensus— "proposed" and "approved." Depending on the need for field evaluation or data collection, documents may also be made available for review at an intermediate consensus level.

Proposed A consensus document undergoes the first stage of review by the health care community as a proposed standard or guideline. The document should receive a wide and thorough technical review, including an overall review of its scope, approach, and utility, and a line-by-line review of its technical and editorial content.

Approved An approved standard or guideline has achieved consensus within the health care community. It should be reviewed to assess the utility of the final document, to ensure attainment of consensus (ie, that comments on earlier versions have been satisfactorily addressed), and to identify the need for additional consensus documents.

Our standards and guidelines represent a consensus opinion on good practices and reflect the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties obtained by following CLSI's established consensus procedures. Provisions in CLSI standards and guidelines may be more or less stringent than applicable regulations. Consequently, conformance to this voluntary consensus document does not relieve the user of responsibility for compliance with applicable regulations.

COMMENTS

The comments of users are essential to the consensus process. Anyone may submit a comment, and all comments are addressed, according to the consensus process, by the committee that wrote the document. All comments, including those that result in a change to the document when published at the next consensus level and those that do not result in a change, are responded to by the committee in an appendix to the document. Readers are strongly encouraged to comment in any form and at any time on any document. Address comments to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, PA 19087, USA.

VOLUNTEER PARTICIPATION

Health care professionals in all specialties are urged to volunteer for participation in CLSI projects. Please contact us at customerservice@clsi.org or +610.688.0100 for additional information on committee participation.

C28-A3c ISBN 1-56238-682-4 Volume 28 Number 30 Defining, Establishing, and Verifying Reference Intervals in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline—Third Edition

Gary L. Horowitz, MD, Chairholder Sousan Altaie, PhD James C. Boyd, MD Ferruccio Ceriotti, MD Uttam Garg, PhD, DABCC Paul Horn, PhD Amadeo Pesce, PhD Harrison E. Sine, PhD Jack Zakowski, PhD, FACB

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document C28-A3c—*Defining, Establishing, and Verifying Reference Intervals in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline—Third Edition* is written for users of diagnostic laboratory tests. It offers a protocol for determining reference intervals that meet the minimum requirements for reliability and usefulness. The guideline focuses on health-associated reference values as they relate to quantitative clinical laboratory tests. Included are various requirements for studies to determine reference values for a new analyte or a new analytical method of a previously measured analyte. Also discussed is the transfer of established reference values from one laboratory to another.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Defining, Establishing, and Verifying Reference Intervals in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline—Third Edition* CLSI document C28-A3c (ISBN 1-56238-682-4). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2008.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org





Number 30

C28-A3c

Copyright [©]2008 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, neither this publication nor any portion thereof may be adapted, copied, or otherwise reproduced, by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without prior written permission from Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute ("CLSI").

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, contact the Executive Vice President, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898, USA.

Suggested Citation

(CLSI. Defining, Establishing, and Verifying Reference Intervals in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline—Third Edition. CLSI document C28-A3c. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.)

Proposed Guideline March 1992

Approved Guideline June 1995

Approved Guideline—Second Edition June 2000

Proposed Guideline—Third Edition March 2008

Approved Guideline—Third Edition November 2008 October 2010 (corrected version)

ISBN 1-56238-682-4 ISSN 0273-3099

Volume 28

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Clinical Chemistry and Toxicology

David A. Armbruster, PhD, DABCC, FACB Chairholder Abbott Abbott Park, Illinois

Christopher M. Lehman, MD Vice-Chairholder Univ. of Utah Health Sciences Center Salt Lake City, Utah

John Rex Astles, PhD, FACB Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia

David M. Bunk, PhD National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, Maryland

David G. Grenache, PhD, MT(ASCP), DABCC University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories Salt Lake City, Utah

Steven C. Kazmierczak, PhD, DABCC, FACB Oregon Health and Science University Portland, Oregon

Linda Thienpont, PhD University of Ghent Ghent, Belgium

Working Group on Reference Intervals

Gary L. Horowitz, MD Chairholder Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Sousan S. Altaie, PhD FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health Rockville, Maryland

James C. Boyd, MD UVA Health System Charlottesville, Virginia

Ferruccio Ceriotti, MD Diagnostica E Ricerca San Raffaele Milano, Italy Jeffrey E. Vaks, PhD Roche Molecular Diagnostics Pleasanton, California

Hubert Vesper, PhD Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia

Jack Zakowski, PhD, FACB Beckman Coulter, Inc. Brea, California

Advisors

Mary F. Burritt, PhD Mayo Clinic Scottsdale, Arizona

Paul D'Orazio, PhD Instrumentation Laboratory Lexington, Massachusetts

Carl C. Garber, PhD, FACB Quest Diagnostics, Incorporated Lyndhurst, New Jersey

Uttam Garg, PhD, DABCC Children's Mercy Hospital and Clinic Kansas City, Missouri

Neil Greenberg, PhD Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. Rochester, New York

Uttam Garg, PhD, DABCC

Kansas City, Missouri

Amadeo Pesce, PhD

Harrison E. Sine, PhD

Indianapolis, Indiana

Beckman Coulter. Inc.

Brea, California

Roche Diagnostics, Inc.

Jack Zakowski, PhD, FACB

Cincinnati, Ohio

Clinics

Medicine

Children's Mercy Hospitals and

University of Cincinnati College of

Harvey W. Kaufman, MD Quest Diagnostics, Inc. Lyndhurst, New Jersey

W. Gregory Miller, PhD Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, Virginia

C28-A3c

Gary L. Myers, PhD Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia

David Sacks, MD Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Bette Seamonds, PhD Mercy Health Laboratory Swarthmore, Pennsylvania

Dietmar Stöckl, PhD STT Consulting Horebeke, Belgium

Thomas L. Williams, MD Nebraska Methodist Hospital Omaha, Nebraska

Advisors

Paul S. Horn, PhD University of Cincinnati Psychiatry Service, Veterans Affairs Medical Center Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio

James J. Miller, PhD, DABCC, FACB University of Louisville School of Medicine Louisville, Kentucky Number 30

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne, Pennsylvania Lois M. Schmidt, DA Vice President, Standards Development and Marketing

Jane M. Oates, BS, MT(ASCP) *Staff Liaison* Ron S. Quicho Project Manager C28-A3c

Melissa A. Lewis *Editor*

Acknowledgment

This guideline was prepared by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), as part of a cooperative effort with IFCC to work toward the advancement and dissemination of laboratory standards on a worldwide basis. CLSI gratefully acknowledges the participation of IFCC in this project. The IFCC experts for this project are Ferruccio Ceriotti, MD, Diagnostica E Ricerca San Raffaele; and James C. Boyd, MD, UVA Health System.

Volume 28		

Contents

Abstra	.ct		.i		
Committee Membershipiii					
Forew	ord	V	ii		
1	Scope1				
2	Introduction1				
3	Standard Precautions				
4	Terminology2				
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	A Note on Terminology Definitions Abbreviations/Acronyms Clarifications.	2 3 4		
5	Use of	Système International d'Unités (SI Units)	5		
6	Protocol Outline for Obtaining Reference Values and Establishing Reference Intervals				
	6.1 6.2 6.3	New Analyte or Analytical Method Multicenter Reference Interval Studies Previously Measured Analyte	7		
7	Selecti	on of Reference Individuals	8		
	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Introduction Exclusion and Partitioning Sample Questionnaire Selection of Reference Individuals	8 9		
8	Preana	lytical and Analytical Considerations1	3		
	8.1 8.2 8.3	Subject Preparation 1 Specimen Type, Collection, Handling, and Storage 1 Analytical Method Characteristics 1	4		
9	Analysis of Reference Values		5		
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5	Minimum Number of Reference Values 1 Treatment of Outlying Observations 1 Partitioning of Reference Values 1 Examples 2 Confidence Intervals for Reference Limits 2	7 9 0		
10	Transf	erence2	8		
	10.1 10.2	Transference: Comparability of the Analytical System 2 Transference: Comparability of the Test Subject Population 3			
11	Valida	tion3	0		
	11.1 11.2 11.3	Validation: Subjective	1		

C28-A3c

Number 30

Contents (Continued)

12	Prese	Presentation of Reference Values		
	12.1 12.2 12.3	Introduction Laboratory Presentation Manufacturer Presentation		
13 Other Issues		Issues		
	13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5	Qualitative Analysis Therapeutic Drug Levels Time-Dependent/Challenge Tests Individual Variation "Critical Values"		
14	Sumn	nary	37	
Refer	ences			
		Effectiveness of Several Statistical Tests in Validating Transference of Re		
Appe	ndix B. l	Robust Calculation	42	
Sumr	nary of (Consensus Comments and Subcommittee Responses	46	
Sumr	nary of I	Delegate Comments and Subcommittee Responses	47	
The Q	Quality N	Management System Approach	58	
Relat	ed CLSI	Reference Materials		

Volume 28

C28-A3c

Foreword

A measured or observed laboratory test result from a person (usually a patient) is compared with a reference interval for the purpose of making a medical diagnosis, therapeutic management decision, or other physiological assessment. The interpretation of clinical laboratory data is, therefore, a comparative decision-making process. For this decision-making process to occur, reference values are needed for all tests in the clinical laboratory, and the provision of reliable reference intervals is an important task for clinical laboratories and diagnostic test manufacturers. The reference values most commonly used (known as "normal values" and sometimes "expected values") have traditionally been poorly defined and certainly not determined by a uniform process. It is now apparent that it is important to develop reference intervals using a more systematic process that takes into account the various influences on the measured laboratory test results.

A theory of reference values that provides definitions, principles, and procedures for the determination and use of reference values was developed by the Expert Panel on Theory of Reference Values (EPTRV) of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) and the Standing Committee on Reference Values of the International Council for Standardization in Haematology (ICSH). The fruits of the tireless labors of these committees appear in a series of articles¹⁻⁶ that provide a rational approach and sound basis for the determination of reference values. These definitions also provided a basis for the development of this guideline. CLSI is indebted to the members of the IFCC committee and to the many other investigators who contributed to this discipline and upon whose knowledge it has drawn.

This guideline begins with definitions proposed by the EPTRV of the IFCC that are important to the discussion of reference values. An outline of the broad procedural protocol for establishing reference intervals is included, followed by specifics of each of the composite processes. Issues related to the reference subject selection process, the importance of preanalytical and analytical considerations, the calculation methods and requirements for estimating valid reference intervals, and the transference of reference intervals are discussed. Examples of the recommended estimation and calculation processes are provided. Finally, issues related to the presentation and use of reference intervals are discussed, followed by a brief section that examines a number of important but collateral reference value topics not amenable to inclusion in this document.

Key Words

Critical value, observed value, reference distribution, reference individual, reference interval, reference limit, reference population, reference sample group, reference value

Number 30

C28-A3c

Volume 28

C28-A3c

Defining, Establishing, and Verifying Reference Intervals in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline—Third Edition

1 Scope

This document provides diagnostic laboratories and diagnostic test manufacturers with updated guidelines for determining reference intervals for quantitative laboratory tests. It includes specific recommendations regarding procedures that can be used to establish and verify reliable reference intervals for use in clinical laboratory medicine. By following these recommendations, laboratories can provide reference intervals that are adequate and useful for clinical interpretation.

Issues related to the reference subject selection process, the importance of preanalytical and analytical considerations, the calculation methods and requirements for estimating valid reference intervals, and the transference of reference intervals are discussed. Examples of the recommended estimation and calculation processes are provided. Finally, issues related to the presentation and use of reference intervals are discussed, followed by a brief section that examines a number of important but collateral reference value topics not amenable to inclusion in this document.

2 Introduction

Since the last update to this document (2000), two notable trends have emerged in clinical laboratory practice to which the working group would like to call attention.

First, for some analytes, reference intervals have been replaced by *decision limits*, established by national (or international) consensus. As examples, consider cholesterol and glycated hemoglobin. For such analytes, there is no need to establish *de novo*, or even to verify, the reference intervals. Rather, laboratories must concern themselves with the accuracy of the results they report; that is, that cholesterol values they report are not appreciably different from the values that are reported by a certified reference laboratory on the same samples. For such analytes, the onus falls on manufacturers to ensure their methods are traceable (see CLSI document X05⁷) and on individual laboratories to ensure they run those methods correctly (using peer group quality control [QC], proficiency testing, etc.).

Second, the working group recognizes the reality that, in practice, very few laboratories perform their own reference interval studies. As indicated in this document, the working group endorses its previous recommendation that the *best* means to *establish* a reference interval is to collect samples from a sufficient number of qualified reference individuals to yield a minimum of 120 samples for analysis, by nonparametric means, for each partition (eg, sex, age range).

The fact of the matter, though, is that few laboratories, or even manufacturers, do such studies. Often, if any study is done, far fewer individuals are used, with assumptions made about the underlying distributions and about the comparability among partitions. Sometimes (eg, electrolytes), instead of performing a new reference interval study, laboratories and manufacturers refer to studies done many decades ago, when both the methods and the population were very different.

For these reasons, the working group believes strongly that individual laboratories should focus more on *verifying* reference intervals established elsewhere, a much less formidable task. As noted in this document, this can be done in at least two practical ways:

If a laboratory has previously established a reference interval for its own population, then it can verify that reference interval by *transference*, using a CLSI/NCCLS document EP09⁸ protocol (see Section 10). A major advantage of this option is there is no need to collect samples from