

M48-A
Vol. 28 No. 17
Replaces M48-P
Vol. 27 No. 5

Laboratory Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria; Approved Guideline

This document provides guidance to clinical mycobacteriology laboratories on the most optimum approach for the diagnosis of mycobacterial infections.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.



Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Advancing Quality in Health Care Testing

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS) is an international, interdisciplinary, nonprofit, standards-developing, and educational organization that promotes the development and use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines within the health care community. It is recognized worldwide for the application of its unique consensus process in the development of standards and guidelines for patient testing and related health care issues. Our process is based on the principle that consensus is an effective and cost-effective way to improve patient testing and health care services.

In addition to developing and promoting the use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines, we provide an open and unbiased forum to address critical issues affecting the quality of patient testing and health care.

PUBLICATIONS

A document is published as a standard, guideline, or committee report.

Standard A document developed through the consensus process that clearly identifies specific, essential requirements for materials, methods, or practices for use in an unmodified form. A standard may, in addition, contain discretionary elements, which are clearly identified.

Guideline A document developed through the consensus process describing criteria for a general operating practice, procedure, or material for voluntary use. A guideline may be used as written or modified by the user to fit specific needs.

Report A document that has not been subjected to consensus review and is released by the Board of Directors.

CONSENSUS PROCESS

The CLSI voluntary consensus process is a protocol establishing formal criteria for:

- the authorization of a project
- the development and open review of documents
- the revision of documents in response to comments by users
- the acceptance of a document as a consensus standard or guideline.

Most documents are subject to two levels of consensus—"proposed" and "approved." Depending on the need for field evaluation or data collection, documents may also be made available for review at an intermediate consensus level.

Proposed A consensus document undergoes the first stage of review by the health care community as a proposed standard or guideline. The document should receive a wide and thorough technical review, including an overall review of its scope, approach, and utility, and a line-by-line review of its technical and editorial content.

Approved An approved standard or guideline has achieved consensus within the health care community. It should be reviewed to assess the utility of the final document, to ensure attainment of consensus (ie, that comments on earlier versions have been satisfactorily addressed), and to identify the need for additional consensus documents.

Our standards and guidelines represent a consensus opinion on good practices and reflect the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties obtained by following CLSI's established consensus procedures. Provisions in CLSI standards and guidelines may be more or less stringent than applicable regulations. Consequently, conformance to this voluntary consensus document does not relieve the user of responsibility for compliance with applicable regulations.

COMMENTS

The comments of users are essential to the consensus process. Anyone may submit a comment, and all comments are addressed, according to the consensus process, by the committee that wrote the document. All comments, including those that result in a change to the document when published at the next consensus level and those that do not result in a change, are responded to by the committee in an appendix to the document. Readers are strongly encouraged to comment in any form and at any time on any document. Address comments to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, PA 19087, USA.

VOLUNTEER PARTICIPATION

Health care professionals in all specialties are urged to volunteer for participation in CLSI projects. Please contact us at customerservice@clsi.org or +610.688.0100 for additional information on committee participation.

M48-A

ISBN 1-56238-669-7

Volume 28 Number 17

ISSN 0273-3099

Laboratory Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria; Approved Guideline

Betty (Betz) A. Forbes, PhD, D(ABMM)
Niaz Banaiee, MD
Kathleen G. Beavis, MD
Barbara A. Brown-Elliott, MS, MT(ASCP) SM
Phyllis Della Latta, PhD, MSc
L. Bruce Elliott, DrPH
Geraldine S. Hall, PhD
Bruce Hanna, PhD
Mark D. Perkins, MD
Salman H. Siddiqi, PhD
Richard J. Wallace, Jr., MD
Nancy G. Warren, PhD

Abstract

The enormous global problem with tuberculosis with roughly one-third of the world's population infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, coupled with an increasing incidence of infections caused by nontuberculous mycobacteria, present unique challenges for the laboratory diagnosis of mycobacterial infections. Not only must the diagnosis of *M. tuberculosis* be optimized and expedited for good patient management and institution of appropriate control measures to prevent transmission of tuberculosis, but similar demands for accurate identification of the ever-increasing numbers of species of nontuberculous mycobacteria are also pressing for the laboratory. In light of these issues, the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document M48-A—*Laboratory Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria; Approved Guideline* addresses topics related to the laboratory diagnosis of mycobacterial infections including safety and related issues, levels of service and referrals, clinical significance of mycobacteria, acceptable specimen types and their collection, transport and storage, specimen processing methods, methods for the direct detection of mycobacteria in clinical specimens, culture methods including contamination issues, reporting and quality control, and phenotypic and genotypic identification procedures.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Laboratory Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document M48-A (ISBN 1-56238-669-7). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2008.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI/NCCLS documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsii.org; Website: www.clsi.org



Copyright ©2008 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, neither this publication nor any portion thereof may be adapted, copied, or otherwise reproduced, by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without prior written permission from Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute ("CLSI").

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, contact the Executive Vice President, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898, USA.

Suggested Citation

(CLSI. *Laboratory Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document M48-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.)

Proposed Guideline

February 2007

Approved Guideline

May 2008

ISBN 1-56238-669-7

ISSN 0273-3099

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Microbiology

Mary Jane Ferraro, PhD, MPH
Chairholder
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts

John H. Rex, MD, FACP
Vice-Chairholder
AstraZeneca
Cheshire, United Kingdom

Barbara Ann Body, PhD, D(ABMM)
Laboratory Corporation of America
Burlington, North Carolina

Betty (Betz) A. Forbes, PhD, D(ABMM)
Medical College of Virginia Campus
Richmond, Virginia

Freddie Mae Poole
FDA Center for Devices and Radiological
Health
Rockville, Maryland

Daniel F. Sahm, PhD
Eurofins Medinet
Herndon, Virginia

Fred C. Tenover, PhD, ABMM
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

John D. Turnidge, MD
Women's and Children's Hospital
North Adelaide, Australia

Michael L. Wilson, MD
Denver Health Medical Center
Denver, Colorado

Advisors

Nancy L. Anderson, MMSc, MT(ASCP)
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

Ellen Jo Baron, PhD
Stanford Hospital and Clinics
Palo Alto, California

Donald R. Callihan, PhD
BD Diagnostic Systems
Sparks, Maryland

Lynne S. Garcia, MS
LSG & Associates
Santa Monica, California

Richard L. Hodinka, PhD
Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

James H. Jorgensen, PhD
University of Texas Health Science
Center
San Antonio, Texas

Michael A. Pfaller, MD
University of Iowa College of
Medicine
Iowa City, Iowa

Robert P. Rennie, PhD
University of Alberta Hospital
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Thomas R. Shryock, PhD
Elanco Animal Health
Greenfield, Indiana

Jana M. Swenson, MMSc
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

Melvin P. Weinstein, MD
Robert Wood Johnson University
Hospital
New Brunswick, New Jersey

Matthew A. Wikler, MD, MBA,
FIDSA
Pacific Beach BioSciences, Inc.
San Diego, California

Gail L. Woods, MD
Central Arkansas Veterans
Healthcare System
Little Rock, Arkansas

Subcommittee on Laboratory Diagnosis of Mycobacterial Infections

Betty (Betz) A. Forbes, PhD,
D(ABMM)
Chairholder
Medical College of Virginia
Richmond, Virginia

L. Bruce Elliott, DrPH
Austin, Texas

Geraldine S. Hall, PhD
The Cleveland Clinic Foundation
Cleveland, Ohio

Bruce Hanna, PhD
New York University School of
Medicine
New York, New York

Mark D. Perkins, MD
Foundation for Innovative New
Diagnostics (FIND)
Geneva, Switzerland

Salman H. Siddiqi, PhD
BD Diagnostic Systems
Sparks, Maryland

Nancy G. Warren, PhD
Pennsylvania Bureau of
Laboratories
Lionville, Pennsylvania

Advisors

Niaz Banaiee, MD
NYU School of Medicine
New York, New York

Kathleen G. Beavis, MD
Cook County Hospital
Chicago, Illinois

Barbara A. Brown-Elliott, MS,
MT(ASCP) SM
University of Texas Health Center
at Tyler
Tyler, Texas

Phyllis Della Latta, PhD, MSc
Columbia University Medical
Center
New York, New York

Richard J. Wallace, Jr., MD
University of Texas Health Center
at Tyler
Tyler, Texas

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
Wayne, Pennsylvania

Lois M. Schmidt, DA
*Vice President, Standards
Development and Marketing*

Tracy A. Dooley, BS, MLT(ASCP)
Staff Liaison

Melissa A. Lewis
Editor

Contents

Abstract.....	i
Committee Membership.....	iii
Foreword.....	vii
1 Scope.....	1
2 Safety and Standard Precautions.....	1
2.1 Risk Assessment.....	1
2.2 Biosafety Levels—General.....	1
2.3 Additional Aspects of Biosafety Pertinent to Mycobacteriology.....	2
3 Levels of Laboratory and Referral Services.....	4
4 Clinical Significance of <i>Mycobacterium</i> spp.....	5
4.1 Clinical Setting/Pathogenicity.....	6
4.2 Laboratory Indicators.....	8
5 Specimen Types, Collection, Transport, and Storage.....	9
6 Specimen Processing.....	14
7 Detection of Mycobacteria.....	15
7.1 Microscopy.....	15
7.2 Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests (NAATs) for Direct Detection of MTBC in Clinical Specimens.....	21
7.3 Detection of Mycobacteria by Culture.....	23
8 Identification Procedures.....	33
8.1 Phenotypic Methods.....	33
8.2 Genotypic Methods.....	42
8.3 Rapid Identification of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> Complex With Immunochromatography.....	45
References.....	46
Appendix A. Final Rule, Department of Transportation (DOT) Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Hazardous Materials: Infectious Substances; Harmonization With the United Nations Recommendations. 7 June 2006.....	51
Appendix B. Methods for Digestion and Decontamination.....	54
Appendix C. Staining Procedures.....	58
Appendix D. Preparation and Storage of Quality Control (QC) Organisms.....	61
Appendix E. Biochemical Procedures.....	62
Summary of Delegate Comments and Committee Responses.....	65
The Quality Management System Approach.....	74
Related CLSI Reference Materials.....	75

Foreword

From a global perspective, the magnitude of the tuberculosis problem is enormous, with estimates that about one-third of the world's population, or roughly 1.7 billion people, is infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Coupled to this staggering number are the estimated 2.7 million people who die each year from tuberculosis. During the last decade, much progress has been made with implementation of tuberculosis control programs together with directly observed treatment, short course. Nevertheless, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that nearly one billion people will be newly infected in the next 20 years if measures to control the disease are not implemented. In addition to the significant worldwide problem with tuberculosis, the last decade or so has witnessed an increasing incidence of infections caused by the nontuberculous mycobacteria. In 1975, the genus *Mycobacterium* comprised some 30 species; now, 30 years later, it comprises more than 120. This plethora of species poses an additional challenge for the clinical mycobacteriology laboratory to provide timely diagnoses, because newer phenotypic and genotypic laboratory methods for identification of mycobacteria have recognized many new species that are not identified by the traditional phenotypic features found in the Runyon classification scheme.

The clinical microbiology laboratory plays an important role in primary care and public health. Of significance, the laboratory diagnosis of mycobacterial infections—in particular, *M. tuberculosis*—must be optimized and expedited for better patient management and appropriate implementation of infection control and public health measures to control the transmission of tuberculosis. Recognizing that these laboratory methods are increasingly complex, as well as the other significant demands upon the laboratory such as turnaround time for reporting, M48 was developed to provide a consensus guideline for clinical mycobacteriology laboratories such that, depending on their unique set of circumstances, the most optimum approach for the diagnosis of mycobacterial infections can be employed. Essential aspects of safety are addressed in this document, with an emphasis on those practices specific for the mycobacteriology laboratory. Levels of laboratory services are reviewed as well as referral services, recognizing that many laboratories do not possess the appropriate technologies and resources for optimal laboratory diagnosis of mycobacterial infections. Of great importance to successful isolation of mycobacteria from clinical specimens are the appropriate collection, transport, and storage of various specimen types; a table detailing these aspects is included in this document. Optimum methods for specimen processing, direct detection, and culture of mycobacteria are also delineated; important laboratory issues and concerns such as contamination and quality control are also addressed. Finally, both phenotypic and genotypic methods for the identification of mycobacteria are provided. Although this document's primary focus is on the diagnosis of *M. tuberculosis* infections, the nontuberculous mycobacteria are also addressed both in terms of their clinical significance and optimal laboratory methods for direct detection, culture, and identification. Because the relative clinical importance of any given nontuberculous mycobacteria isolated from patient specimens depends both upon the pathogenic potential of the mycobacterial species and the clinical setting in which it is isolated, the issues as well as factors to consider regarding the isolate's clinical significance are discussed.

Key Words

Acid-fast bacilli, mycobacteria, nontuberculous (or non-*M. tuberculosis*) mycobacteria, tuberculosis

Laboratory Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria; Approved Guideline

1 Scope

The combination of traditional and newer alternative methods for the isolation and identification of mycobacteria offers opportunities to significantly impact the management of patients with mycobacterial disease and to disrupt the transmission of tuberculosis. Despite the advantages of improved sensitivity and rapidity of testing, there remain questions regarding the optimal methods and combination of methods that should be employed by clinical mycobacteriology laboratories. As a practical working document, this document is intended to provide guidance to laboratories on the total testing process for patients with suspected mycobacterial infections. Recommendations are offered for the collection, preservation, and transport of clinical specimens. Procedures for the direct detection of mycobacteria by microscopy and amplification techniques, the optimal recovery of mycobacteria from clinical specimens, and the identification of mycobacterial species by traditional (phenotypic) and alternative (phenotypic and genotypic) laboratory methods are addressed. Mycobacterial susceptibility testing is addressed in CLSI/NCCLS document M24.¹

Many sections of this document, especially those related to identification methods, are tailored to full-service mycobacteriology laboratories in industrialized countries. It is recognized, however, that provision of various laboratory services is contingent upon existing local conditions and resources. For many laboratories in disease-endemic countries, implementing quality-assured direct sputum smear microscopy may be a higher priority than many of the more equipment- and reagent-dependent methods described here. Additional information for such laboratories can be found on the websites of the World Health Organization (WHO) (www.who.int) and the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (www.tbrieder.org). These guidelines, however, should provide useful information for the many international laboratories providing, or planning to provide, services beyond microscopy, such as solid media culture or rapid methods for *M. tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) detection.

2 Safety and Standard Precautions

2.1 Risk Assessment

To determine the type of laboratory practices to employ in the mycobacteriology laboratory, a risk-based assessment should first be performed by the laboratory director in consultation with the infection control staff for the clinical setting, as well as the state tuberculosis laboratory. Factors to be taken into account to minimize the risk for exposure to *M. tuberculosis* include the volume of tests; level of diagnostic tuberculosis services offered; laboratory design; the prevalence of tuberculosis; the rate of multidrug-resistant *M. tuberculosis*; and whether or not aerosol-generating procedures are performed, as well as their respective frequency.^{2,3} Although mycobacterial infections can result from direct inoculation of broken skin, inhalation of infectious aerosols poses the greater risk to the laboratorian. Besides evaluating the risks of aerosolization for the services performed, laboratory directors need to provide the necessary training in safe work practices, engineering controls, and personal protective equipment (PPE) to minimize the risk of aerosols and laboratory-acquired infection.

2.2 Biosafety Levels—General

Guidelines to prevent most laboratory-acquired infections were set forth in the United States by the US Department of Health and Human Services, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the National Institutes of Health.⁴ In these guidelines, selected agents infectious to humans were coupled