



July 2014

M56-A

Principles and Procedures for Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens; Approved Guideline

This document presents standardized, cost-effective, and efficient best practice processes for anaerobe bacteriology to assist clinical laboratories in selecting those methods that lead to improved patient care.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeals Process

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500
Wayne, PA 19087 USA
P: 610.688.0100
F: 610.688.0700
www.clsi.org
standard@clsi.org

ISBN 1-56238-969-6 (Print)
ISBN 1-56238-970-X (Electronic)
ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

M56-A
Vol. 34 No. 10

Principles and Procedures for Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens; Approved Guideline

Volume 34 Number 10

Maria D. Appleman, PhD
Diane M. Citron, BS, M(ASCP)
Jeffrey O'Kelly, MS, SM(ASCP)^{CM}
Kathy Bernard, MSc, ARM(CCM)
James C. Copeland, PhD
Mike E. Cox, BS
Jennifer Dien Bard, PhD, D(ABMM), FCCM
Peter Heseltine, MD, FACP, FACB, FIDSA

Nilda V. Jacobus
Susan M. Kircher, MS, MT(ASCP)
Brian Mochon, PhD, D(ABMM)
Andrew B. Onderdonk, PhD, DLM (ASCP)^{CM}
Linda G. Otterson, BS, MT(ASCP)
Pravin H. Patel, PhD, D(ABMM)
Ribhi M. Shawar, PhD, D(ABMM)
Angela Thompson, MS

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document M56-A—*Principles and Procedures for Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens; Approved Guideline* provides procedures for performing testing and providing accurate, reliable, and useful results to laboratories with differing levels of expertise in anaerobe bacteriology. Preexamination requirements for specimen selection, collection, transport, timely processing, and examination procedures are discussed. Rapid and complex methods are compared for their ability to provide definitive identifications. Because the delivery of preliminary reports is vital to patient care when complex final reports are delayed, interpretations of direct smears and culture results are presented to help laboratorians confidently issue preliminary reports. Descriptions of anaerobes involved in human disease and a discussion of diagnostic methods for *Clostridium difficile* disease are presented. Guidelines for establishing competency testing to laboratories at their various levels of expertise and complexity are included. Because failures in good practices for preexamination, examination, and postexamination techniques can put patients at risk, a discussion of risk assessment during the design and implementation of anaerobe bacteriology protocols is included.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Principles and Procedures for Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document M56-A (ISBN 1-56238-969-6 [Print] ISBN 1-56238-970-X [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2014.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If you or your organization are not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



Copyright ©2014 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Principles and Procedures for Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document M56-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.

Approved Standard

July 2014

ISBN 1-56238-969-6 (Print)
ISBN 1-56238-970-X (Electronic)
ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Committee Membership

Consensus Committee on Microbiology

Richard B. Thomson, Jr, PhD
D(ABMM), FAAM
Chairholder
Evanston Hospital, NorthShore
University HealthSystem
Evanston, Illinois, USA

John H. Rex, MD, FACP
Vice-Chairholder
AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals
Waltham, Massachusetts, USA

Thomas R. Fritsche, MD, PhD
Marshfield Clinic
Marshfield, Wisconsin, USA

Patrick R. Murray, PhD
BD Diagnostics Systems
Sparks, Maryland, USA

Jean Patel, PhD, D(ABMM)
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Kerry Snow, MS, MT(ASCP)
FDA Center for Drug Evaluation
and Research
Silver Spring, Maryland, USA

John D. Turnidge, MD
SA Pathology at Women's and
Children's Hospital
North Adelaide, Australia

Jeffrey L. Watts, PhD, RM(NRCM)
Zoetis
Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA

Nancy L. Wengenack, PhD,
D(ABMM), FIDSA
Mayo Clinic
Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Barbara Zimmer, PhD
Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics
Inc.
West Sacramento, California, USA

Document Development Committee on Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens

Maria D. Appleman, PhD
Chairholder
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California, USA

Diane M. Citron, BS, M(ASCP)
Vice-Chairholder
R.M. Alden Research Laboratory
Culver City, California, USA

Jeffrey O'Kelly, MS,
SM(ASCP)^{CM}
Committee Secretary
Floyd Medical Center
Rome, Georgia, USA

Kathy Bernard, MSc, ARM(CCM)
National Microbiology Laboratory,
Public Health Agency of Canada
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Mike E. Cox, BS
Anaerobe Systems
Morgan Hill, California, USA

Jennifer Dien Bard, PhD,
D(ABMM), FCCM
Children's Hospital Los Angeles
Los Angeles, California, USA

Peter Heseltine, MD, FACP, FACB,
FIDSA
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, California, USA

Andrew B. Onderdonk, PhD,
DLM(ASCP)^{CM}
Brigham and Women's Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Linda G. Otterson, BS, MT(ASCP)
AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals
Waltham, Massachusetts, USA

Angela Thompson, MS
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA

Luann Ochs, MS
Senior Vice President – Operations

Tracy A. Dooley, MLT(ASCP)
Staff Liaison

Marcy Hackenbrack, MCM,
M(ASCP)
Project Manager

Megan L. Tertel, MA
Editorial Manager

Joanne P. Christopher, MA
Editor

Patrice E. Polgar
Editor

Acknowledgment

CLSI, the Consensus Committee on Microbiology, and the Document Development Committee on Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the development of this document:

James C. Copeland, PhD
Oxyrase, Inc.
Mansfield, Ohio, USA

Nilda V. Jacobus
Tufts Medical Center
Auburn, Maine, USA

Susan M. Kircher, MS,
MT(ASCP)
BD Diagnostics Systems
Sparks, Maryland, USA

Brian Mochon, PhD,
D(ABMM)
Banner Health/Laboratory
Sciences of Arizona
Phoenix, Arizona, USA

Pravin H. Patel, PhD,
D(ABMM)
Community Foundation of
Northwest Indiana:
Community Hospital,
Munster, Indiana, USA

Ribhi M. Shawar, PhD,
D(ABMM)
FDA Center for Devices and
Radiological Health
Silver Spring, Maryland,
USA

Contents

Abstract..... i

Committee Membership..... iii

Foreword..... vii

1 Scope..... 1

2 Introduction..... 1

3 Standard Precautions..... 2

4 Terminology..... 2

 4.1 A Note on Terminology 2

 4.2 Definitions 3

 4.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms 3

5 Introduction to Anaerobe Bacteriology..... 5

 5.1 Basic Concepts of Clinical Anaerobe Bacteriology..... 5

 5.2 Indigenous Flora 5

 5.3 Common Anaerobe Infections 9

6 Preexamination Considerations 11

 6.1 When Is an Anaerobic Specimen Indicated? 11

 6.2 Specimen Collection, Transport, and Rejection..... 11

7 Examination Methods 15

 7.1 Direct Microscopic Examination of the Specimen 15

 7.2 Processing Specimens for Culture of Anaerobes 18

 7.3 Culture Requirements 18

 7.4 Media Selection 20

 7.5 Identification Procedures 25

8 Description of Clinically Significant Anaerobes 54

 8.1 Gram-Negative Rods 55

 8.2 Anaerobic Cocci 65

 8.3 Gram-Positive Rods..... 72

 8.4 Diagnosis of Clostridial Diseases (Excluding *Clostridium difficile*)..... 82

 8.5 Diagnosing *Clostridium difficile* Infection 92

9 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing..... 99

 9.1 General Considerations..... 99

 9.2 Selection of Microorganisms to Test 99

 9.3 Selection of Antimicrobial Agents for Testing..... 99

 9.4 β -Lactamase Testing..... 100

 9.5 Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Anaerobes 100

 9.6 Interpretative Criteria/Reporting of Results..... 100

10 Postexamination Information..... 100

 10.1 Reporting: Preliminary and Final Results..... 100

 10.2 Interpretation of Results..... 101

Contents (Continued)

11	Additional Information	101
11.1	Risk Management	101
11.2	Determination of Competency	104
11.3	Stocking and Shipping of Isolates.....	106
	References.....	107
	Appendix A. Gram Stain Procedure	126
	Appendix B. Taxonomy of Common Clinical Isolates	128
	The Quality Management System Approach	132
	Related CLSI Reference Materials	134

Foreword

This document provides information on timely, standardized, and cost-effective methods that constitute best practices for anaerobe bacteriology and has been created to assist clinical laboratories in the selection and use of high-quality, efficient methods to improve patient care.

Anaerobes can be involved in infections of the head and neck, central nervous system, pleuropulmonary sites, intra-abdominal sites, female genital tract, blood, skin, soft tissues, and bones. These infections are known to contribute significantly to patient morbidity and mortality and adequate therapy plays an important role in the outcome. Previously, sites of anaerobe infection and the anaerobic microorganism's antimicrobial susceptibilities were thought to be predictable, but this is no longer true. Clinicians are seeing more anaerobe infections in immunocompromised patients and in those patients with complex diseases. Anaerobic isolates that are resistant to antimicrobial agents are encountered more frequently. This changing clinical picture requires an adequate laboratory response.

Anaerobe bacteriology can be challenging. The microorganisms are sensitive to oxygen exposure; thus requiring specialized supplies, equipment, and methods. They are part of the normal human flora often causing infections by penetration of the deeper tissues when physical damage to tissue or structure has occurred. Therefore, the diagnostic process must differentiate between the infecting and noninvolved microorganisms. The isolation and ID of those specific anaerobes involved in infections depend on appropriate methods beginning with specimen selection, collection, transportation, and, finally, ID determinations. New technical microbiology tools now allow IDs to be reported rapidly and to be more extensive and precise. Additional training and collaboration with clinicians will be required to interpret the extensive reports but this can improve patients' outcomes, which is the ultimate goal of testing, diagnosis, and treatment.

Key Words

Anaerobe identification, anaerobe taxonomy, anaerobes, anaerobic culture, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, *Clostridium difficile* detection

Principles and Procedures for Detection of Anaerobes in Clinical Specimens; Approved Guideline

1 Scope

This document provides guidance for preexamination, examination, and postexamination procedures associated with the culture of anaerobic bacteria. Because anaerobic bacteria are part of human normal flora and are sensitive to oxygen exposure, good preexamination methods are essential. These recommendations include methods for collecting proper specimens from appropriate clinical sites and for transport procedures that protect anaerobes from oxygen exposure so that all pathogens involved in infections can be detected. The optimal methods needed to provide accurate, timely, and sufficient information for appropriate medical decisions are included, along with a discussion of the use and value of partial and full isolate IDs. Also included in this guideline are recommendations for interpreting results, assistance in understanding the value of rapid preliminary results, and guidance on issues of QC, QA, and competency.

The intended audience includes medical technologists, infectious disease physicians, microbiology laboratory directors, pathologists, and researchers.

Because anaerobe antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) methods are presented in CLSI documents M11¹ and M100,² this document limits its discussion to the need and indications for AST.

2 Introduction

Institutions allocate varying resources to their laboratories for the development of the expertise and processes needed to work with anaerobic bacteria. The goal of this document is to present procedures that result in accurate and timely anaerobe bacteriology reports at different categories of expertise (refer to Table 1). Users of each laboratory should be aware of the level of expertise and extent of testing provided by that laboratory.

When an institution determines the extent of anaerobe bacteriology that will be provided, it is performing a risk assessment. An extreme risk for potential patient harm is introduced when a hospital decides not to provide resources for detection of anaerobes because of a perception that its patients do not have anaerobe infections or that those types of infections are not important. Other laboratories limit IDs of polymicrobial infections to less than four isolates. Some laboratories even recommend limited workup of specimens such as abdominal abscesses. This recommendation is based on the belief that empiric therapy does not require culture results; but it overlooks the fact that all organisms grown from an abscess were involved in its formation and that some may be antibiotic resistant. Because many anaerobic infections are polymicrobial and the bacterial constituents may act synergistically, ID of all organisms present may provide both prognostic and therapeutic guidance.

Newer techniques may allow the rapid ID of many microorganisms. If a decision is made to conserve resources by limiting the number of isolates identified, each case must be communicated to the clinician so that the risk of incomplete but potentially available information is known, and additional studies can be done if clinically indicated. Without this collaboration between the laboratory and clinician, the risks of arbitrary censure of the data may pose an unacceptable risk. The additional information provided by new technical tools such as 16s ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequencing and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) adds valuable clinical insight regarding the disease process and role of the pathogens. Although it is tempting to restrict the use of these new advances in laboratory medicine because of supposed users' unfamiliarity with new taxonomy