



November 2007

# QMS11-A

## Management of Nonconforming Laboratory Events; Approved Guideline



This guideline provides an outline and the content for developing a program to manage a health care service's nonconforming events that is based on the principles of quality management and patient safety.

.....  
A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

# Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

*Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.*

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

## Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

## Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

## Appeals Process

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Administrative Procedures.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

## Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For further information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute  
950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500  
Wayne, PA 19087 USA  
P: 610.688.0100  
F: 610.688.0700  
[www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)  
[standard@clsi.org](mailto:standard@clsi.org)

ISBN 1-56238-651-4  
ISSN 0273-3099

QMS11-A  
Vol. 27 No. 27  
Formerly GP32-A  
Vol. 27 No. 27

---

## Management of Nonconforming Laboratory Events; Approved Guideline

Volume 27 Number 27

Lucia M. Berte, MA, MT(ASCP)SBB, DLM; CQA(ASQ) CQM  
Kris R. Arney, MT(ASCP)  
Michael Astion, MD, PhD  
Lynn Hoffstadter, MT(ASCP)SBB, DLM  
Betty Lim, BS, MT  
Jennifer Schiffgens, MBA, MT(ASCP)  
Rebecca A. See, MS, CLS(NCA)  
Mark W. Stevens, MT

### Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document QMS11-A—*Management of Nonconforming Laboratory Events; Approved Guideline* provides a suggested outline and contents for a program to manage a health care service's nonconforming events. Such a program is a fundamental component of quality management systems and patient safety.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Management of Nonconforming Laboratory Events; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document QMS11-A (ISBN 1-56238-651-4). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2007.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org). If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: [customerservice@clsi.org](mailto:customerservice@clsi.org); Website: [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org).



Copyright ©2007 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

### **Suggested Citation**

CLSI. *Management of Nonconforming Laboratory Events; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document QMS11-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2007.

### **Proposed Guideline**

May 2007

### **Approved Guideline**

November 2007

## Committee Membership

### Area Committee on Quality Systems and Laboratory Practices

**Sheila M. Woodcock, MBA,  
FCSMLS(D)  
Chairholder  
QSE Consulting  
Rose Bay, Nova Scotia, Canada**

**Albert Rabinovitch, MD, PhD  
Vice-Chairholder  
Abbott Hematology  
Santa Clara, California**

Eric Arendash, MT(ASCP)  
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid  
Services  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Lucia M. Berte, MA, MT(ASCP)SBB,  
DLM; CQA(ASQ) CQM  
Laboratories Made Better!  
Broomfield, Colorado

Theresa Billups, MBA,  
MT(ASCP)DLM  
Remel, Inc.  
Lake Charles, Louisiana

Margaret M. Grimes, MD  
Medical College of Virginia Campus  
Richmond, Virginia

Devery Howerton, PhD  
Centers for Disease Control and  
Prevention  
Atlanta, Georgia

Peter L. Minetti, CQA, CQE,  
CQMgr(ASQ)  
Fujirebio Diagnostics, Inc.  
Malvern, Pennsylvania

Carl D. Mottram, BA, RRT, RPFT,  
FAARC  
Mayo Clinic  
Rochester, Minnesota

Bruce D. Tually, BAppSc, MAppSc  
Hunter Area Pathology Service  
New South Wales, Australia

#### Advisors

Eileen Carreiro-Lewandowski,  
CLS(NCA)  
University of Massachusetts  
N. Dartmouth, Massachusetts

Kay M. Creed  
Bon Secours Health Partners  
Laboratories  
Richmond, Virginia

Dennis J. Ernst, MT(ASCP)  
Center for Phlebotomy Education  
Ramsey, Indiana

Steven I. Gutman, MD, MBA  
FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health  
Rockville, Maryland

Stephen J. Sarewitz, MD  
Valley Medical Center  
Renton, Washington

Jennifer Schiffgens, MBA, MT(ASCP)  
California Pacific Medical Center  
San Francisco, California

Daniel W. Tholen, MS  
Dan Tholen Statistical Consulting  
Traverse City, Michigan

### Subcommittee on Occurrence Management

**Lucia M. Berte, MA, MT(ASCP),  
SBB, DLM; CQA(ASQ) CQM  
Chairholder  
Laboratories Made Better!  
Broomfield, Colorado**

Kris R. Arney, MT(ASCP)  
Mayo Clinic  
Rochester, Minnesota

Michael Astion, MD, PhD  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington

Lynn Hoffstadter, MT(ASCP)SBB,  
DLM  
VA (Hines) Medical Center  
Hines, Illinois

Betty Lim, BS, MT  
Spectra Laboratories  
Rockleigh, New Jersey

Jennifer Schiffgens, MBA,  
MT(ASCP)  
California Pacific Medical Center  
San Francisco, California

Rebecca A. See, MS, CLS(NCA)  
Blood Systems, Inc.  
Pearland, Texas

Mark W. Stevens, MT  
Eagan, Minnesota

#### Advisors

Coleen McAloney  
Provincial Laboratory Coordinating  
Office  
Vancouver, British Columbia,  
Canada

Tania Motschman, MS,  
MT(ASCP)SBB  
Mayo Collaborative Services, Inc.  
Rochester, Minnesota

Bruce David Tually, BAppSc,  
MAppSc  
Hunter Area Pathology Service  
New South Wales, Australia

Sheila M. Woodcock, MBA,  
FCSMLS(D)  
QSE Consulting  
Rose Bay, Nova Scotia, Canada

#### Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards  
Institute  
Wayne, Pennsylvania

Lois M. Schmidt, DA  
*Vice President, Standards  
Development and Marketing*

Jennifer K. McGeary, MT(ASCP),  
MSHA  
*Staff Liaison*

Melissa A. Lewis  
*Editor*



**Contents**

Abstract..... i

Committee Membership..... iii

Foreword..... vii

1 Scope..... 1

2 Definitions ..... 1

3 Overview of a Nonconforming Event Management Program .....2

4 Human Error .....3

5 Creating a Culture to Discover and Report Nonconforming Events.....5

    5.1 A Just Culture .....6

    5.2 Nonconforming Event Management Program Support .....6

    5.3 Detecting or Discovering Nonconforming Events.....7

6 Initiating a Nonconforming Event Report .....8

7 Remedial Action .....9

    7.1 Distinction Between Remedial and Corrective Action .....10

    7.2 Remedial Action as Corrective Action .....10

8 Investigation.....10

    8.1 Path of Workflow.....11

    8.2 Investigating the Nonconformance .....13

    8.3 Documentation of Investigation.....14

    8.4 Feedback .....14

9 Short-term Corrective Action .....14

10 Classification .....15

    10.1 The National Center for Patient Safety (NCPS)—Safety Assessment Code (SAC)...15

    10.2 Laboratory Event Classification .....15

11 Analysis of Event Information and Data Presentation.....17

    11.1 Reporting Data.....18

    11.2 A Medical Laboratory Example.....18

12 Management Review and Referral to Process Improvement for Long-term Corrective Action.....24

    12.1 Management Review .....24

    12.2 RCA .....24

    12.3 When to Perform RCA .....25

    12.4 Conducting an RCA.....25

    12.5 Commonly Identified Root Causes.....26

    12.6 Process Improvement.....27

13 Application of Nonconforming Event Management to a Laboratory Example .....27

**Contents (Continued)**

13.1	Initiating the Nonconforming Event Report .....	27
13.2	Remedial Action .....	28
13.3	Investigation—How It Happened .....	28
13.4	Investigation—Who and What .....	28
13.5	Investigation—Why It Happened .....	29
13.6	Documentation of Investigation.....	29
13.7	Short-term Corrective Action .....	29
13.8	Classification .....	29
13.9	Review and Referral .....	29
13.10	RCA .....	29
13.11	Process Improvement.....	30
14	Last Words of Advice .....	30
	References.....	31
	Additional Resources .....	32
	Appendix A1. Sample of a Simple Generic Nonconforming Event Report .....	33
	Appendix A2. Sample Laboratory Nonconforming Event Report.....	34
	Appendix A3. Second Example of a Laboratory Nonconforming Event Report Form .....	37
	Appendix A4. Example of Another Laboratory Event Management Form.....	39
	Appendix B. The Safety Assessment Code (SAC) Matrix .....	41
	Appendix C. Data Collection Tools.....	43
	Appendix D. Investigation and Data Reporting Tools.....	44
	Appendix E. A Formal Process for Using Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and Related Quality Tools ..	49
	Summary of Delegate Comments and Committee Responses .....	52
	The Quality Management System Approach .....	60
	Related CLSI Reference Materials .....	61

## Foreword

Although health care continues to make strides in improving patient safety, opportunities for continuous improvement remain. Many of these opportunities present themselves as nonconforming events. The purpose of programs to manage nonconforming events is to identify and characterize problem-prone processes in health care so improvement projects can be prioritized, designed, and implemented.

A nonconforming event management program identifies systematic problems and gains management's commitment to removing the causes. As the words themselves suggest, nonconforming events do not conform with the organization's established policies, processes, or procedures, or with applicable regulatory or accreditation requirements; or have the potential to affect (or have affected) patient safety or the efficiency and effectiveness of work operations.

Nonconforming event management is linked to the health care organization's risk management program because it provides information on systemic service problems that could pose legal or financial risk issues for the organization.

Nonconforming event management is also linked to quality management; removal of root causes of nonconforming events leads to improved quality, which leads to improved patient safety.

This guideline offers a suggested outline and contents for a nonconforming event management program. The guideline is based on principles of quality management and patient safety. Such programs minimally include the elements of:

- identification and reporting;
- remedial action;
- investigation and documenting;
- classifying;
- analysis and data presentation; and
- management review and referral to process improvement.

## Key Words

Adverse events, incident reporting, nonconformances, nonconformities, patient safety



## Management of Nonconforming Laboratory Events; Approved Guideline

### 1 Scope

This guideline is intended for use by individuals in any clinical service as an internal program for detecting, documenting, investigating, analyzing, correcting, and following up on events that do not conform to the service's established policies, processes, and procedures.

This guideline is intended to *supplement, but not replace*, an organization's established risk management or patient safety program.

The guidance provided herein is perhaps best used within an individual clinical service; however, the concepts may be expanded to function in a larger scope, if needed.

In this guideline, the medical laboratory is used for providing examples and appendixes.

### 2 Definitions

**adverse event** – untoward incident, therapeutic misadventure, iatrogenic injury, or other adverse occurrence directly associated with care or services provided within the jurisdiction of a medical center, outpatient clinic, or other health care facility; **NOTE:** Adverse events may result from acts of commission or omission (eg, administration of the wrong medication, failure to make a timely diagnosis or institute the appropriate therapeutic intervention, adverse reactions or negative outcomes of treatment).<sup>1</sup>

**close call** – event or situation that could have resulted in an adverse event, accident, injury, or illness; but did not, either by chance or through timely intervention; **NOTE:** Such events have also been referred to as *near-miss* incidents.<sup>1</sup>

**cognitive error** – error made from mistakes in decision-making and problem-solving; **NOTE:** Mistakes typically involve insufficient knowledge, failure to correctly interpret available information, or application of the wrong cognitive rule.<sup>2</sup>

**corrective action** – action to eliminate the (root) cause of a detected nonconformity or other undesirable situation (modified from ISO 9000).<sup>3</sup>

**examination processes (analytic)** – processes that include all activities for performing the examinations, verifying the reliability of the results, and interpreting the findings (ISO 15189).<sup>4</sup>

**latent error** – less apparent failures of organization or design that contributed to the occurrence of errors or allowed them to cause harm to patients.<sup>2</sup>

**near-miss** – used to describe any process variation that did not affect an outcome, but for which a recurrence carries a significant chance of a serious adverse outcome; **NOTE:** Such a “near-miss” falls within the scope of the definition of a sentinel event, but outside the scope of those sentinel events that are subject to review by the Joint Commission under its Sentinel Event Policy.<sup>5</sup>

**noncognitive error** – failure to accomplish a task that is usually automatic because of a lapse in concentration; **NOTE:** Also referred to as “slips.”<sup>2</sup>

**nonconformance** – nonfulfillment of a requirement (ISO 9000)<sup>3</sup>; **NOTE:** Other terms frequently used include: *accident, adverse event, error, event, incident, nonconformity, and occurrence*.