



April 2003

# EP21-A

## Estimation of Total Analytical Error for Clinical Laboratory Methods; Approved Guideline

This document provides manufacturers and end users with a means to estimate total analytical error for an assay. A data collection protocol and an analysis method which can be used to judge the clinical acceptability of new methods using patient specimens are included. These tools can also monitor an assay's total analytical error by using quality control samples.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

# Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

*Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.*

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

## Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

## Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

## Appeals Process

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Administrative Procedures.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

## Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For further information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute  
950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500  
Wayne, PA 19087 USA  
P: 610.688.0100  
F: 610.688.0700  
[www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)  
[standard@cls.org](mailto:standard@cls.org)

ISBN 1-56238-502-X  
ISSN 0273-3099

EP21-A  
Vol. 23 No. 20  
Replace EP21-P  
Vol. 22 No. 2

---

## Estimation of Total Analytical Error for Clinical Laboratory Methods; Approved Guideline

Volume 23 Number 20

Jan S. Krouwer, Ph.D., Chairholder  
J. Rex Astles, Ph.D., FACB  
W. Gregory Cooper  
Steven I. Gutman, M.D., MBA  
David D. Koch, Ph.D.  
Jacob B. Levine, M.B.A.  
Catherine N. Otto, Ph.D.

### Abstract

CLSI document EP21-A—*Estimation of Total Analytical Error for Clinical Laboratory Methods; Approved Guideline* provides manufacturers and end users with a means to estimate total analytical error for an assay. The procedure includes a data collection protocol and analysis method, which is largely graphical. The result is compared to a total analytical error goal.

The procedure described herein relies on a method comparison data collection procedure such as that described in NCCLS document EP9—*Method Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples*. Two calculation methods are used: parametric and nonparametric. CLSI document EP21 can be used to judge the clinical laboratory acceptability of new methods by using patient specimens, or to monitor an assay's total analytical error by using quality control samples.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Estimation of Total Analytical Error for Clinical Laboratory Methods; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document EP21-A (ISBN 1-56238-502-X). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2003.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org). If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: [customerservice@cls.org](mailto:customerservice@cls.org); Website: [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org).



CLINICAL AND  
LABORATORY  
STANDARDS  
INSTITUTE®

Copyright ©2003 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

### **Suggested Citation**

CLSI. *Estimation of Total Analytical Error for Clinical Laboratory Methods; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document EP21-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2003.

### **Proposed Guideline**

January 2002

### **Approved Guideline**

April 2003

ISBN 1-56238-502-X

ISSN 0273-3099

## Committee Membership

### Area Committee on Evaluation Protocols

**Jan S. Krouwer, Ph.D.**  
**Chairholder**  
**Krouwer Consulting**  
**Sherborn, Massachusetts**

**Daniel W. Tholen, M.S.**  
**Vice-Chairholder**  
**Statistical Services**  
**Traverse City, Michigan**

### Subcommittee on Total Error

**Jan S. Krouwer, Ph.D.**  
**Chairholder**  
**Krouwer Consulting**  
**Sherborn, Massachusetts**

J. Rex Astles, Ph.D., FACB  
Centers for Disease Control and  
Prevention  
Atlanta, Georgia

W. Gregory Cooper  
Bio-Rad Laboratories  
Irvine, California

Steven I. Gutman, M.D., MBA  
FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health  
Rockville, Maryland

David D. Koch, Ph.D.  
Merck Research Laboratories,  
Merck & Co.  
West Point, Pennsylvania

Jacob B. Levine, M.B.A.  
Bayer Corporation  
Tarrytown, New York

Catherine N. Otto, Ph.D.  
University of North Carolina –  
Chapel Hill  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

#### Advisors

W. Gregory Miller, Ph.D.  
Virginia Commonwealth University  
Richmond, Virginia

Donald R. Parker, Ph.D.  
Bayer Corporation  
Elkhart, Indiana

Max Robinowitz, M.D.  
FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health  
Rockville, Maryland

Leticia J. San Diego, Ph.D.  
Health Care Management  
Development Ctr.  
Clinton Township, Michigan

Manoj Sharma  
LifeScan  
Milpitas, California

#### Staff

Lois M. Schmidt, D.A.  
*Staff Liaison*  
NCCLS  
Wayne, Pennsylvania

Patrice E. Polgar  
*Editor*  
NCCLS  
Wayne, Pennsylvania

Donna M. Wilhelm  
*Assistant Editor*  
NCCLS  
Wayne, Pennsylvania



## Contents

Abstract.....	i
Committee Membership.....	iii
Foreword.....	vii
1 Scope.....	1
2 Introduction.....	1
3 Definitions .....	1
4 General Principles.....	3
4.1 Cause and Effect Diagram of Medical Errors .....	3
4.2 The Importance of Total Analytical Error .....	5
4.3 Obtaining Total Analytical Error Goals .....	5
4.4 Different Uses of Total Analytical Error .....	5
5 Protocols .....	6
5.1 Using NCCLS Document EP9— <i>Method Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples</i> as the Basis for Collecting the Data .....	6
5.2 Using Quality Control Samples .....	6
6 Data Analysis.....	7
6.1 Graphical Analysis.....	7
6.2 Total Analytical Error Estimation: Introduction.....	8
6.3 Total Analytical Error Estimation: Outliers.....	9
6.4 Total Analytical Error Estimation: Nonparametric Analysis.....	9
6.5 Parametric Analysis .....	10
6.6 A Review of Modeling Approaches .....	10
6.7 An Outline of a Simulation Method to Estimate Total Analytical Error .....	11
6.8 Comparison of the Total Analytical Error Evaluation Protocol With Other Evaluation Methods .....	11
7 Presentation of Results with Examples.....	11
7.1 Result Report Format.....	11
7.2 Result Report Interpretation.....	12
7.3 Example 1. LDL Cholesterol .....	12
7.4 Example 2. Sodium.....	18
References.....	24
Appendix A. Factors (k) to Calculate Normal Distribution Tolerance Intervals .....	24
Appendix B. Number of Extreme Observations $v$ to Be Removed from the Ends of a Sample Size $n$ to Obtain a Two-Sided Distribution-Free Tolerance Interval or to Obtain a One-Sided Distribution-Free Tolerance Bound That Contains at Least $100p\%$ of the Sample Population with $100(1-\alpha)\%$ Confidence .....	26

## **Contents (Continued)**

Appendix C. A Mathematical Representation of Total Analytical Error.....	27
Summary of Consensus Comments and Committee Responses .....	28
Summary of Delegate Comments and Subcommittee Responses.....	33
The Quality System Approach.....	36
Related NCCLS Publications.....	37



## Foreword

NCCLS document EP21—*Estimation of Total Analytical Error for Clinical Laboratory Methods* provides manufacturers and end users a means to estimate total analytical error for an assay. The procedure includes a data collection protocol and analysis method, which is largely graphical. The result is compared to a total analytical error goal.

Total analytical error (or measurement error) refers to assay errors from all sources arising from the data collection experiment. If the data collection protocol is representative of routine assay use, then estimation of total analytical error will provide a snapshot of the distribution of differences between a candidate assay and its comparison method. If the comparison method is a reference method and the reference method imprecision is minimized through replication, then except for the small imprecision left in the reference method, these differences estimate differences between the candidate method's result and the true assay concentration. When the candidate method is a comparison method, these differences cannot all be attributed to the candidate method, yet they are nevertheless important to estimate, since they will be observed by clinicians.

Whereas total analytical error is of paramount importance in judging the acceptability of a candidate method, it is surprising how infrequently it has been used. Alternatives to total analytical error have been the calculation of total error sources and their combinations ("estimation of measurement uncertainty"). This is a complex procedure which requires correctly specifying a model that describes how the error sources should be combined. Another frequent alternative has been estimation of some of the total analytical error sources without any attempt to combine them.

The procedure described in this guideline relies on a method comparison data collection procedure such as that described in NCCLS document EP9—*Method Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples*. Two calculation methods are used: parametric and nonparametric. Whereas the parametric method is more efficient statistically, it requires normally distributed differences. This can be achieved by transformation; however, the committee decided that the transformation process and its associated tests would be too complicated for many users. The nonparametric method has no assumptions about the distribution. Two plots are produced to help users assess the distribution of differences.

This guideline can be used to judge the clinical laboratory acceptability of new methods by using patient specimens, or to monitor an assay's total analytical error by using quality control samples.

### *A Note on Terminology*

NCCLS, as a global leader in standardization, is firmly committed to achieving global harmonization wherever possible. Harmonization is a process of recognizing, understanding, and explaining differences while taking steps to achieve worldwide uniformity. NCCLS recognizes that medical conventions in the global metrological community have evolved differently in the United States, Europe, and elsewhere; that these differences are reflected in NCCLS, ISO, and CEN documents; and that legally required use of terms, regional usage, and different consensus timelines are all obstacles to harmonization. In light of this, NCCLS recognizes that harmonization of terms facilitates the global application of standards and is an area of immediate attention. Implementation of this policy must be an evolutionary and educational process that begins with new projects and revisions of existing documents.

In the context of this document, it is necessary to point out that the term "*Total analytical error*" is used differently in the U.S. than in other countries, notably those in Europe. "*Total analytical error*" is an accepted U.S. term and is used in EP21-A the way "*Total analytical error interval*" would be conceptualized in Europe, due to its description of a distribution of errors. At this time, the subcommittee has chosen *not* to replace the term due to U.S. unfamiliarity and for the sake of the practicability of the guideline.

There are several cases in this guideline, however, where “*Total analytical error*” corresponds to the ISO term “*Error of measurement*,” i.e., the “result of a measurement minus a true value of the measurand.” (VIM93-3.10). Both expressions contain both random and systematic effects. Users of EP21-A should understand that the fundamental meanings of these terms are similar, and where appropriate, the ISO term has been inserted parenthetically throughout the text. All terms are also defined along with explanatory notes in the guideline's Definitions section.

All terms and definitions will be reviewed for consistency with international use, and revised appropriately during the next scheduled revision of this document.

### **Key Words**

Error, error of measurement, measurement error, total analytical error, total analytical error interval

## **Estimation of Total Analytical Error for Clinical Laboratory Methods; Approved Guideline**

### **1 Scope**

This document presents protocols and procedures to estimate and report analytical error for clinical laboratory assays. These protocols and procedures are applicable to all quantitative analytical clinical laboratory methods. The target audience includes both manufacturers and end users in laboratories. The prime procedural difference between these two groups is the amount of data collected.

This guideline will be useful to users in settings with only one instrument as well as to those with multiple laboratories and multiple instruments.

### **2 Introduction**

Total analytical error as a concept has been around for many years.<sup>1,2</sup> However, the use of total analytical error as an evaluation method for diagnostic assays is more recent.<sup>3,4</sup> As Westgard pointed out in his 1974 article, physicians, prime consumers of diagnostic test data, think in terms of total analytical error, not random or systematic error. Yet for many years, the estimation of random and systematic error was often performed without an attempt to combine them to estimate total analytical error, the real metric of interest.

This document addresses this deficiency by providing a method to directly estimate total analytical error, both for manufacturers and end users in laboratories. To facilitate understanding of the interrelationship of all error sources, a hierarchical, graphical chart known as a "cause and effect diagram" is used. It is recommended that for most cases, if one has knowledge of total analytical error and outliers, then one has sufficient information to judge the acceptability of a diagnostic assay.

A data collection protocol is presented for patient samples that leverages existing NCCLS document EP9—*Method Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples*. Quality control data can be used to monitor total analytical error, after estimation of total analytical error with patient specimens.

Two calculation methods are presented: a parametric method which requires certain assumptions, and a nonparametric method which requires fewer assumptions. Both methods are supported by graphical analyses that can be performed independently of any calculations. Estimation requires total analytical error specifications. This document assumes these specifications exist and does not address their generation.

Finally, this guideline briefly reviews modeling methods and outlines a simulation approach. The simulation method is more suitable for manufacturers due to its complexity.

Examples illustrate these concepts with actual data.

### **3 Definitions**

**Accuracy** - Closeness of the agreement between the result of a measurement and a true value of the measurand (VIM93-3.5.)

**Assay** - A quantitative determination or measurement of the amount, activity, or potency of a constituent or characteristic.