

H20-A2
Vol. 27 No. 4
Replaces H20-A
Vol. 12 No. 1

Reference Leukocyte (WBC) Differential Count (Proportional) and Evaluation of Instrumental Methods; Approved Standard—Second Edition

This document is a reference method for the evaluation of automated differential counters, based on the visual differential count.

A standard for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.



Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Advancing Quality in Healthcare Testing

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS) is an international, interdisciplinary, nonprofit, standards-developing, and educational organization that promotes the development and use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines within the healthcare community. It is recognized worldwide for the application of its unique consensus process in the development of standards and guidelines for patient testing and related healthcare issues. Our process is based on the principle that consensus is an effective and cost-effective way to improve patient testing and healthcare services.

In addition to developing and promoting the use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines, we provide an open and unbiased forum to address critical issues affecting the quality of patient testing and health care.

PUBLICATIONS

A document is published as a standard, guideline, or committee report.

Standard A document developed through the consensus process that clearly identifies specific, essential requirements for materials, methods, or practices for use in an unmodified form. A standard may, in addition, contain discretionary elements, which are clearly identified.

Guideline A document developed through the consensus process describing criteria for a general operating practice, procedure, or material for voluntary use. A guideline may be used as written or modified by the user to fit specific needs.

Report A document that has not been subjected to consensus review and is released by the Board of Directors.

CONSENSUS PROCESS

The CLSI voluntary consensus process is a protocol establishing formal criteria for:

- the authorization of a project
- the development and open review of documents
- the revision of documents in response to comments by users
- the acceptance of a document as a consensus standard or guideline.

Most documents are subject to two levels of consensus—"proposed" and "approved." Depending on the need for field evaluation or data collection, documents may also be made available for review at an intermediate consensus level.

Proposed A consensus document undergoes the first stage of review by the healthcare community as a proposed standard or guideline. The document should receive a wide and thorough technical review, including an overall review of its scope, approach, and utility, and a line-by-line review of its technical and editorial content.

Approved An approved standard or guideline has achieved consensus within the healthcare community. It should be reviewed to assess the utility of the final document, to ensure attainment of consensus (i.e., that comments on earlier versions have been satisfactorily addressed), and to identify the need for additional consensus documents.

Our standards and guidelines represent a consensus opinion on good practices and reflect the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties obtained by following CLSI's established consensus procedures. Provisions in CLSI standards and guidelines may be more or less stringent than applicable regulations. Consequently, conformance to this voluntary consensus document does not relieve the user of responsibility for compliance with applicable regulations.

COMMENTS

The comments of users are essential to the consensus process. Anyone may submit a comment, and all comments are addressed, according to the consensus process, by the committee that wrote the document. All comments, including those that result in a change to the document when published at the next consensus level and those that do not result in a change, are responded to by the committee in an appendix to the document. Readers are strongly encouraged to comment in any form and at any time on any document. Address comments to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, PA 19087, USA.

VOLUNTEER PARTICIPATION

Healthcare professionals in all specialties are urged to volunteer for participation in CLSI projects. Please contact us at customerservice@clsi.org or +610.688.0100 for additional information on committee participation.

H20-A2

ISBN 1-56238-628-X

Volume 27 Number 4

ISSN 0273-3099

Reference Leukocyte (WBC) Differential Count (Proportional) and Evaluation of Instrumental Methods; Approved Standard—Second Edition

John A. Koepke, MD
Onno W. Van Assendelft, PhD
Larry J. Brindza, MPA
Bruce H. Davis, MD
Bernard J. Fernandes, MD
Amy S. Gewirtz, MD
Albert Rabinovitch, MD, PhD

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document H20-A2—*Reference Leukocyte (WBC) Differential Count (Proportional) and Evaluation of Instrumental Methods; Approved Standard—Second Edition* evaluates automated and semiautomated hematology instruments for their capability to perform an acceptable leukocyte (WBC) differential count. The standard focuses on WBC found in blood films. The standard presents a detailed description of an acceptable manual-visual WBC differential count, which serves as the reference for the instrumental differential counter. The types of abnormalities for inclusion are outlined.

A statistical method is also outlined, allowing for the determination of the performance of the test method in qualitative, as well as quantitative abnormalities.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Reference Leukocyte (WBC) Differential Count (Proportional) and Evaluation of Instrumental Methods; Approved Standard—Second Edition*. CLSI document H20-A2 (ISBN 1-56238-628-X). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2007.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the healthcare community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI/NCCLS documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog, which is distributed to member organizations, and to nonmembers on request. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org

Copyright ©2007 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, neither this publication nor any portion thereof may be adapted, copied or otherwise reproduced, by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without prior written permission from Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute ("CLSI").

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, contact the Executive Vice President, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898, USA.

Suggested Citation

(Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Reference Leukocyte (WBC) Differential Count (Proportional) and Evaluation of Instrumental Methods; Approved Standard—Second Edition*. CLSI document H20-A2 [ISBN 1-56238-628-X]. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2007.)

Proposed Standard

July 1981

Tentative Standard

August 1984

Approved Standard

March 1992

Approved Standard—Second Edition

January 2007

ISBN 1-56238-628-X

ISSN 0273-3099

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Hematology

Bruce H. Davis, MD
Chairholder

Eastern Maine Medical Center
Bangor, Maine

Samuel J. Machin, MB, ChB,
FRCPath
Vice-Chairholder
The University College London
Hospitals
London, United Kingdom

Dorothy M. Adcock, MD
Esoterix Coagulation
Aurora, Colorado

Frank M. LaDuca, PhD
Bayer HealthCare Diagnostics Division
Tarrytown, New York

Ginette Y. Michaud, MD
FDA Center for Devices and
Radiological Health
Rockville, Maryland

Albert Rabinovitch, MD, PhD
Abbott Hematology
Santa Clara, California

Maryalice Stetler-Stevenson, MD, PhD
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Advisors

Charles F. Arkin, MD
Lahey Clinic
Burlington, Massachusetts

J. David Bessman, MD
University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston, Texas

Douglas J. Christie, PhD, FAHA
Dade Behring, Inc.
Newark, Delaware

Ian Giles
Sysmex America, Inc.
Mundelein, Illinois

Jan W. Gratama, MD
Erasmus University Medical Center-
Daniel Den Hoed
Rotterdam, Netherlands

John A. Koepke, MD
Durham, North Carolina

Kandice Kottke-Marchant, MD, PhD
The Cleveland Clinic Foundation
Cleveland, Ohio

Francis Lacombe, MD, PhD
Laboratoire d'Hematologie
Pessac, France

Richard A. Marlar, PhD
Oklahoma City VA Medical Center
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Powers Peterson
Weill Cornell Medical College in Qatar
Education City, Doha, Qatar

Diane I. Szamosi, MA, MT(ASCP)SH
Greiner Bio-One North America,
Preanalytics
Monroe, North Carolina

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
Wayne, Pennsylvania

John J. Zlockie, MBA
Vice President, Standards

David E. Sterry, MT(ASCP)
Staff Liaison

Donna M. Wilhelm
Editor

Melissa A. Lewis
Assistant Editor

Contents

Abstracti

Committee Membership..... iii

Foreword vii

1 Scope.....1

2 Introduction.....1

 2.1 Automated WBC Differential Counters.....1

 2.2 Classification of Automated Devices for WBC Differential Counts1

 2.3 Limitations2

 2.4 Causes of Variability in WBC Counts2

3 Standard Precautions.....2

4 Definitions2

5 Principles6

 5.1 Selection of Reference Method.....6

 5.2 Safety and Effectiveness of Differential Counters.....6

 5.3 Performance Testing6

6 Reference WBC Differential Count.....7

 6.1 Specimen Collection7

 6.2 Blood Film Preparation.....8

 6.3 Requirements for an Acceptable Blood Film.....8

 6.4 Romanowsky Staining8

 6.5 Blood Nucleated Cells Included in the WBC Differential Count9

 6.6 Protocol for Examining Blood Film10

7 Experimental Design.....16

8 Sample Preparation19

 8.1 Preparation19

 8.2 Labeling19

9 Data Collection19

 9.1 Performance of Tests19

 9.2 Data Acquisition20

10 Clinical Sensitivity Study22

 10.1 Reference (Normal) Values23

 10.2 Sensitivity for Finding Abnormal Samples.....24

11 Data Analysis24

 11.1 Comparison of Methods (Inaccuracy)24

 11.2 Predictive Value Performance27

12 Proposed Reference Method for WBC Differential Counting.....30

 12.1 A Potential Alternative Reference Method.....31

Contents (Continued)

References.....34

Summary of Consensus Comments and Committee Responses36

Summary of Delegate Comments and Committee Responses51

The Quality System Approach.....62

Related CLSI/NCCLS Publications63

Foreword

This document was first developed in 1981 as a proposed standard (H20-P), when automated and semi-automated instruments were initially created to perform leukocyte (WBC) differential classification. Early devices were glass slide-based, but then flow methods became dominant. This standard presents a detailed description of an acceptable manual-visual WBC differential count, which will serve as the reference method for the instrument systems.

WBC differential counts (either visual¹ or instrumental²) should have medically acceptable false-negative rates for unusual or abnormal conditions. In addition, however, they would be expected to have economically feasible false-positive rates.

The method as outlined is laborious and time-consuming. In its complete form, it may not be acceptable to many end-user laboratories. It is expected that manufacturers of WBC differential systems will use this standard to establish performance specifications. There are simplified versions of this standard, requiring relatively few specimens and no complicated statistical procedures.³ Use of alternate methods should be confirmed by the laboratory director, and other approaches may be acceptable in establishing how the instrument system performs compared to the traditional manual microscopic method.

Statistical studies are somewhat confounded by the commonly used method of reporting differentials (i.e., the proportional or percentage system). Absolute concentrations of circulating WBC are the preferable method of reporting, since those are the medically important values, rather than percentages.

Another area for considerable discussion, within the committee and in the entire field of laboratory medicine, is defining the "differential blood count." Definitions vary from an enumeration of the major WBC subgroups (granulocytes, lymphocytes, and monocytes) to a very comprehensive review of all of the so-called formed elements, including erythrocytes and platelets. This document is limited to WBC normally found in the blood, including subdifferentiation of lymphocytes and neutrophils, plus the requirement that an "other" category be included for all other nucleated cells found in the blood.

Much of the information included in this document can be useful to the routine hematology laboratory, either in the production of accurate white cell differential counts or for incorporation into quality control procedures. As an example, the production of good blood films and their evaluation have been detailed in this document.

Advances in instrumentation have resulted in the possibility of extending the use of these devices to *quantitatively* measure the nucleated cells in the blood, which are currently flagged. For example, nucleated red blood cells can now be accurately counted on several analyzers, and these have been approved for use in clinical laboratories without the necessity of confirmation by blood film review.

This document replaces the approved standard, H20-A, which was published in 1992. Several changes have been made in this edition; chief among them is the introduction of a new orthogonal regression method for comparing duplicate data, in Section 12. The document has also been updated to include the following: a new examiner qualification process in Section 6.6.5, revision of Section 9.2, Data Acquisition to clarify the instructions for randomization of the morphology examination slides, Table 4 has been updated with the new NHANES data, and the method of arbitration for resolving discrepant findings of the morphologists has been updated.

Key Words

Basophil, blood film (differential leukocyte count), differential counting, eosinophil, leukocyte, lymphocyte, lymphocyte (variant form), monocyte, neutrophil (band form), neutrophil (segmented)

Reference Leukocyte (WBC) Differential Count (Proportional) and Evaluation of Instrumental Methods; Approved Standard—Second Edition

1 Scope

These recommendations cover performance testing of leukocyte (WBC) differential counting. Only those WBC found in normal (nondiseased) individuals will be addressed. These cell types are: neutrophils (segmented), neutrophils (band forms), lymphocytes (normal), lymphocytes (variant forms), monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils. If not identified, the system should appropriately flag other cells as abnormal, suspect or unclassified, or as nucleated red blood cells (NRBC).

Some devices may group several cell types into a single category. For example, segmented and band neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils may be combined as granulocytes.

2 Introduction

2.1 Automated WBC Differential Counters

Automated WBC differential counters relieve the clinical laboratory of a labor-intensive activity.⁴ Because predetermined criteria are substituted for visual perception of laboratory personnel with varying skill and training, automation should improve reproducibility of the results. An opportunity also exists to improve the precision of the results by performing counts on many more cells than can be conveniently classified by human visual examination. A detailed list of hematology analyzers, published in December 2005, is available as a source of information.⁵

2.2 Classification of Automated Devices for WBC Differential Counts

2.2.1 Differential Counting Techniques

There are several different techniques for differential counting, including computerized image processing, flow methods, and other methods. The WBC types identified by these techniques are comparable, although not always identical.

2.2.2 Automated Devices

Several different levels of automated devices have been developed. Examples and intended uses of these different automated devices include the following:

- (1) automated cell locators and classifiers that tabulate the usually circulating cells and flag for review any abnormal WBC or other variations from normal;
- (2) classifiers of normal and abnormal WBC, which are suitable for screening purposes;
- (3) classifiers of normal and abnormal WBC, which are suitable for diagnostic purposes; and
- (4) devices for qualitatively and/or quantitatively determining patterns (size, shape, and/or staining) of formed elements in human blood (WBC, erythrocytes [RBC], and/or platelets [PLT]) in addition to one of the preceding uses.