

August 2008

I/LA29-A

Detection of HLA-Specific Alloantibody by Flow Cytometry and Solid Phase Assays; Approved Guideline

This guideline describes criteria for optimizing methods that utilize flow cytometry and other conventional and multiplex platforms.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeals Process

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Standards Development Policies and Process document.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For further information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500 Wayne, PA 19087 USA P: 610.688.0100 F: 610.688.0700 www.clsi.org standard@clsi.org consensus process. However, because of its usefulness to segments of the health care community, it is available for its informational content.

	I/LA29-A
	Vol. 28 No. 24
ISBN 1-56238-676-X	Replaces I/LA29-P
ISSN 0273-3099	Vol. 27 No. 28
Detection of HLA-Specific Alloantibo	ody by Flow Cytometry and Solid

Phase Assays; Approved Guideline

Volume 28 Number 24

Daniel J. Cook, PhD Ivan Balazs, PhD Lee Ann Baxter-Lowe, PhD, D(ABHI) Deborah O. Crowe, PhD, D(ABHI) Gerald E. Marti, MD, PhD Cathi Murphey, CHS, DLM(ASCP) Karen A. Nelson, PhD, D(ABHI) Robert F. Vogt, Jr., PhD William W. Ward, PhD, D(ABHI)

Abstract

The current and emerging technologies for detecting and characterizing human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alloantibodies provide powerful tools for predicting the risk of immunological response to a transplant. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document I/LA29-A—*Detection of HLA-Specific Alloantibody by Flow Cytometry and Solid Phase Assays; Approved Guideline* describes criteria for optimizing methods that utilize flow cytometry and other conventional and multiplex platforms. The intended audience includes solid organ and stem cell transplant laboratories, manufacturers of systems for histocompatibility testing, and organizations that manage organ sharing.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Detection of HLA-Specific Alloantibody by Flow Cytometry and Solid Phase Assays; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document I/LA29-A (ISBN 1-56238-676-X). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2008.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



Number 24

I/LA29-A

Copyright [©]2008 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. Detection of HLA-Specific Alloantibody by Flow Cytometry and Solid Phase Assays; Approved Guideline. CLSI document I/LA29-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.

Proposed Guideline December 2007

Approved Guideline August 2008

ISBN 1-56238-676-X ISSN 0273-3099

Volume 28

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Immunology and Ligand Assay

Dorothy J. Ball, PhD Chairholder Abbott Irving, Texas

Robin G. Lorenz, MD, PhD Vice-Chairholder University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama

Joan H. Howanitz, MD SUNY Brooklyn Brooklyn, New York

Marilyn M. Lightfoote, MD, PhD FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health Silver Spring, Maryland

Tom H. Stahlberg Wallac Oy Turku, Finland

Robert F. Vogt, Jr., PhD Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia

Subcommittee on HLA Detection by Flow Cytometry

Daniel J. Cook, PhD Chairholder One Lambda Inc. Canoga Park, California

Ivan Balazs, PhD Tepnel Lifecodes Corporation Stamford, Connecticut

Lee Ann Baxter-Lowe, PhD, D(ABHI) University of California, San Francisco San Francisco, California

Deborah O. Crowe, PhD, D(ABHI) DCI Laboratory Nashville, Tennessee

Gerald E. Marti, MD, PhD FDA Ctr. for Biologics Evaluation/Research Bethesda, Maryland Ronald J. Whitley, PhD University of Kentucky Med. Ctr. Lexington, Kentucky

Advisors

W. Harry Hannon, PhD Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia

Gerald E. Marti, MD, PhD FDA Ctr. for Biologics Evaluation/Research Bethesda, Maryland

Per N. J. Matsson, PhD Pharmacia and Upjohn Diagnostics Uppsala, Sweden

Robert M. Nakamura, MD Scripps Clinic & Research Foundation La Jolla, California

Thomas A. O'Brien, PhD Ortho Biotech Products LP Bridgewater, New Jersey

Cathi Murphey, CHS, DLM(ASCP) Southwest Immunodiagnostics, Inc. San Antonio, Texas

Karen A. Nelson, PhD, D(ABHI) Puget Sound Blood Center Seattle, Washington

William W. Ward, PhD, D(ABHI) MedStar Research Institute Hyattsville, Maryland

Ralf Wassmuth, MD, PhD DKMS Life Science GmbH Dresden, Germany

Advisors

Bruce H. Davis, MD Eastern Maine Medical Center Bangor, Maine Jelili Ojodu, MPH Association of Public Health Laboratories Silver Spring, Maryland

Robert F. Ritchie, MD Foundation for Blood Research Scarborough, Maine

Donald R. Tourville, PhD Zeus Scientific, Inc. Raritan, New Jersey

Daniel Tripodi, PhD The Sage Group Bridgewater, New Jersey

Robert W. Veltri, PhD Johns Hopkins Hospital Baltimore, Maryland

Philip R. Wyatt, MD, PhD North York General Hospital North York, Ontario, Canada

John A. Gerlach, PhD, D(ABHI) Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne, Pennsylvania

Lois M. Schmidt, DA Vice President, Standards Development and Marketing

Ron Quicho, BS Staff Liaison

Melissa A. Lewis Editor

I/LA29-A

Number 24

I/LA29-A

Volume 28	I/LA29-A

Contents

Abstra	ct		i
Comm	ittee Me	mbership	iii
Forewo	o rd		vii
1	Scope1		
2	Standard Precautions1		
3	Terminology		
	3.1 3.2 3.3	A Note on Terminology Definitions Abbreviations/Acronyms	2
4	Biosaf	ety	7
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 4.10 4.11	Specimen Collection Safety Attire Biological Safety Cabinets. Specimen Containers Centrifugation Pipetting Sharp Devices Blood Spills. Waste Disposal and Specimen Inactivation Unfixed Specimens Equipment Disinfection	7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
5	Solid Phase Methods		9
	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	Overview Specimens Attachment of Antigens to the Matrix Limitations for All Solid-Phase Assays Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay Microsphere-Based Multiplexing Flow System Microchips	10 11 11 14 15
6	Humai	n Leukocyte Antigen Antibody Screening Methodologies	17
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Introduction Data Analysis and Interpretation of Solid Phase Human Leukocyte Antigen Antibody Screening Assays Quality Control for Solid Phase Antibody Screening Assays Limitations of Solid Phase Antibody Screening Assays	17 20
7	Identif	ication Using Multiantigen Panels	22
	7.1 7.2 7.3	Introduction/Overview Data Analysis and Interpretation of Antibody Specificity Limitations of Multiantigen Methods and Technical Considerations	23
8	Single	Antigen Approaches	30
	8.1	Introduction/Overview	30

I/LA29-A

Number 24

Contents (Continued)

	8.2	Data Analysis and Interpretation of Single Antigen (High Definition) Assays	31
	8.3	Quality Control for Solid Phase Single Antigen Assays for Human Leukocyte	
		Antigen Antibody Specificity	33
	8.4	Limitations of Solid Phase Single Antigen Assays for Human Leukocyte Antige	en
		Antibody Specificity	34
9	Flow	Cytometric Crossmatch	35
	9.1	Background	35
	9.2	General Methodological Choices	35
	9.3	Basic Procedure	35
	9.4	Interpretation of Results	36
	9.5	Limitations/Problems	36
10	Clinic	al Relevance of Antibodies Identified Using Solid Phase Assays	37
	10.1	Background	37
	10.2	Clinical Relevance	
	10.3	Advantages of Solid Phase Assays	37
	10.4	Limitations of Solid Phase Assays	
	10.5	Uses for Solid Phase Assays	38
	10.6	Best Practices for Use of Solid Phase Assays	39
Refe	rences		40
		sessment of Delayed Shipping and Processing on Human Leukocyte Antigen Anti	-
Resu	Its		42
Sumi	mary of C	Comments and Subcommittee Responses	44
The (Quality M	Ianagement System Approach	46
Relat	ed CLSI	Reference Materials	47

Volume 28

I/LA29-A

Foreword

The current technologies for detecting and characterizing human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alloantibodies in patients awaiting transplants are among the most significant advances in the field of clinical histocompatibility. The benefit of these advances is clear and measurable. In the early days of organ transplantation, hyperacute rejection (where the graft is lost immediately in the operating room) was not uncommon. A transplant surgeon training today may never experience such a devastating event in his or her career. The impact on transplanting sensitized patients is even greater. In contrast to the historically poor prognosis for organ survival, it is now normal for sensitized patients—in particular, those undergoing retransplantation—to have graft survival rates the same as primary transplant recipients.

Current technologies allow a donor organ to be matched with a sensitized patient by predicting the crossmatch using detailed specificity analysis of the patient's HLA antibodies. However, even with the predictive value of HLA antibody profiling, flow cytometric crossmatching remains an important procedure in the histocompatibility laboratory. The ability to gate out dead cells on the flow cytometer using light scatter often allows interpretable results to be obtained even when lymphocyte viability is low.

Appropriate utilization of these powerful tools allows clinical transplant centers to transplant the most difficult patients and provide them with excellent chances for a successful outcome. By standardizing methods, quality control, and clinical interpretations, transplant centers can more readily identify optimal donor-recipient pairs and encourage organ sharing. The optimal use of precious donor organs is the overarching goal of this consensus guideline.

Key Words

Alloantibodies, avidity, crossmatch, cryptic epitope, donor-specific antibody (DSA), flow crossmatch/ flow cytometric crossmatch, HLA, multiantigen

Number 24

Volume 28

I/LA29-A

Detection of HLA-Specific Alloantibody by Flow Cytometry and Solid Phase Assays; Approved Guideline

1 Scope

This guideline describes criteria for optimizing flow cytometry crossmatching and the detection of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alloantibody by solid-phase methods in conventional and multiplex platforms. Specific areas include technical consideration for instrument setup and staining procedures, screening methods, single-antigen and multiantigen approaches, reporting formats, clinical interpretation, and multicenter quality assurance. The guideline does not address cytotoxicity assays or standard methods for lymphocyte immunophenotyping, which are covered in CLSI document H42.¹ The intended users of this guideline are: 1) laboratories conducting tests of histocompatibility for solid organ and stem cell transplants; 2) manufacturers of reagents and systems for conducting such tests; and 3) organizations that promulgate organ sharing between transplant centers.

2 Standard Precautions

Because it is often impossible to know what isolates or specimens might be infectious, all patient and laboratory specimens are treated as infectious and handled according to "standard precautions." Standard precautions are guidelines that combine the major features of "universal precautions and body substance isolation" practices. Standard precautions cover the transmission of all infectious agents and thus are more comprehensive than universal precautions, which are intended to apply only to transmission of blood-borne pathogens. Standard and universal precaution guidelines are available from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.² For specific precautions for preventing the laboratory transmission of all infectious agents from laboratory instruments and materials and for recommendations for the management of exposure to all infectious disease, refer to CLSI document M29.³

3 Terminology

3.1 A Note on Terminology

CLSI, as a global leader in standardization, is firmly committed to achieving global harmonization wherever possible. Harmonization is a process of recognizing, understanding, and explaining differences while taking steps to achieve worldwide uniformity. CLSI recognizes that medical conventions in the global metrological community have evolved differently in the United States, Europe, and elsewhere; that these differences are reflected in CLSI, ISO, and CEN documents; and that legally required use of terms, regional usage, and different consensus timelines are all challenges to harmonization. In light of this, CLSI recognizes that harmonization of terms facilitates the global application of standards and deserves immediate attention. Implementation of this policy must be an evolutionary and educational process that begins with new projects and revisions of existing documents.

In order to align the usage of terminology in this document with that of ISO, I/LA29-A describes *reproducibility* as the closeness of agreement of results of measurements under changed conditions.

Users of I/LA29-A should understand, however, that the fundamental meanings of the terms are identical in many cases, and to facilitate understanding, terms are defined in Section 3.2 of this guideline.

All terms and definitions will be reviewed again for consistency with international use, and revised appropriately during the next scheduled revision of this document.