M40-A Vol. 23 No. 34 Replaces M40-P Vol. 22 No. 11

Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard

This document provides criteria to assist manufacturers and end users of transport devices in providing and selecting dependable products for the transport of microbiological clinical specimens.

A standard for global application developed through the NCCLS consensus process.



DIN/NAMed

NCCLS... Serving the World's Medical Science Community Through Voluntary Consensus

NCCLS is an international, interdisciplinary, nonprofit, standards-developing, and educational organization that promotes the development and use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines within the healthcare community. It is recognized worldwide for the application of its unique consensus process in the development of standards and guidelines for patient testing and related healthcare issues. NCCLS is based on the principle that consensus is an effective and cost-effective way to improve patient testing and healthcare services.

In addition to developing and promoting the use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines, NCCLS provides an open and unbiased forum to address critical issues affecting the quality of patient testing and health care.

PUBLICATIONS

An NCCLS document is published as a standard, guideline, or committee report.

Standard A document developed through the consensus process that clearly identifies specific, essential requirements for materials, methods, or practices for use in an unmodified form. A standard may, in addition, contain discretionary elements, which are clearly identified.

Guideline A document developed through the consensus process describing criteria for a general operating practice, procedure, or material for voluntary use. A guideline may be used as written or modified by the user to fit specific needs.

Report A document that has not been subjected to consensus review and is released by the Board of Directors.

CONSENSUS PROCESS

The NCCLS voluntary consensus process is a protocol establishing formal criteria for:

- the authorization of a project
- the development and open review of documents
- the revision of documents in response to comments by users
- the acceptance of a document as a consensus standard or guideline.

Most NCCLS documents are subject to two levels of consensus—"proposed" and "approved." Depending on

the need for field evaluation or data collection, documents may also be made available for review at an intermediate (i.e., "tentative") consensus level.

Proposed An NCCLS consensus document undergoes the first stage of review by the healthcare community as a proposed standard or guideline. The document should receive a wide and thorough technical review, including an overall review of its scope, approach, and utility, and a line-by-line review of its technical and editorial content.

Tentative A tentative standard or guideline is made available for review and comment only when a recommended method has a well-defined need for a field evaluation or when a recommended protocol requires that specific data be collected. It should be reviewed to ensure its utility.

Approved An approved standard or guideline has achieved consensus within the healthcare community. It should be reviewed to assess the utility of the final document, to ensure attainment of consensus (i.e., that comments on earlier versions have been satisfactorily addressed), and to identify the need for additional consensus documents.

NCCLS standards and guidelines represent a consensus opinion on good practices and reflect the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties obtained by following NCCLS's established consensus procedures. Provisions in NCCLS standards and guidelines may be more or less stringent than applicable regulations. Consequently, conformance to this voluntary consensus document does not relieve the user of responsibility for compliance with applicable regulations.

COMMENTS

The comments of users are essential to the consensus process. Anyone may submit a comment, and all comments are addressed, according to the consensus process, by the NCCLS committee that wrote the document. All comments, including those that result in a change to the document when published at the next consensus level and those that do not result in a change, are responded to by the committee in an appendix to the document. Readers are strongly encouraged to comment in any form and at any time on any NCCLS document. Address comments to the NCCLS Executive Offices, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, PA 19087, USA.

VOLUNTEER PARTICIPATION

Healthcare professionals in all specialties are urged to volunteer for participation in NCCLS projects. Please contact the NCCLS Executive Offices for additional information on committee participation.

Volume 23 Number 34

M40-A ISBN 1-56238-520-8 ISSN 0273-3099

Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard

Barbara Ann Body, Ph.D., D(ABMM), Co-Chairholder Judy C. Arbique, A.R.T. (CSMLS) C.L.S. (NCA), Co-Chairholder Paul Bourbeau, Ph.D. Robert Cavagnolo, Ph.D. J. Michael Miller, Ph.D. Freddie Mae Poole Norman Sharples

Abstract

NCCLS document M40-A—*Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard* presents the criteria that shall be considered when choosing a microbiological transport device, to facilitate sample preservation. Quality control considerations for the manufacturer and testing laboratory are presented, as well as techniques, control organisms, and acceptability criteria. This document provides a consistent protocol for initial testing of microbiological transport devices by manufacturers and a method by which laboratories can validate manufacturer claims and compare devices.

NCCLS. *Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard.* NCCLS document M40-A (ISBN 1-56238-520-8). NCCLS, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2003.

THE NCCLS consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the healthcare community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of NCCLS documents. Current editions are listed in the *NCCLS Catalog*, which is distributed to member organizations, and to nonmembers on request. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the *NCCLS Catalog*, contact the NCCLS Executive Offices. Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: exoffice@nccls.org; Website: www.nccls.org



DIN/NAMed

Number 34

This publication is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or made available in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without prior written permission from NCCLS, except as stated below.

NCCLS hereby grants permission to reproduce limited portions of this publication for use in laboratory procedure manuals at a single site, for interlibrary loan, or for use in educational programs provided that multiple copies of such reproduction shall include the following notice, be distributed without charge, and, in no event, contain more than 20% of the document's text.

Reproduced with permission, from NCCLS publication M40-A—*Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard* (ISBN 1-56238-520-8). Copies of the current edition may be obtained from NCCLS, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898, USA.

Permission to reproduce or otherwise use the text of this document to an extent that exceeds the exemptions granted here or under the Copyright Law must be obtained from NCCLS by written request. To request such permission, address inquiries to the Executive Director, NCCLS, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898, USA.

Copyright [©]2003. The National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.

Suggested Citation

(NCCLS. *Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard*. NCCLS document M40-A [ISBN 1-56238-520-8]. NCCLS, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2003.)

Proposed Standard August 2002

Approved Standard December 2003

ISBN 1-56238-520-8 ISSN 0273-3099

Volume 23

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Microbiology

Mary Jane Ferraro, Ph.D., M.P.H. Chairholder Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

James H. Jorgensen, Ph.D. Vice-Chairholder University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, Texas

Donald R. Callihan, Ph.D. BD Diagnostic Systems Sparks, Maryland

David L. Sewell, Ph.D. Veterans Affairs Medical Center Portland, Oregon

Thomas R. Shryock, Ph.D. Lilly Research Laboratories Greenfield, Indiana Jana M. Swenson, M.M.Sc. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia

Michael L. Wilson, M.D. Denver Health Medical Center Denver, Colorado

Advisors

Ellen Jo Baron, Ph.D. Stanford Univ. Hospital & Medical School Stanford, California

Lynne S. Garcia, M.S. LSG & Associates Santa Monica, California Richard L. Hodinka, Ph.D. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

M40-A

Michael A. Pfaller, M.D. University of Iowa College of Medicine Iowa City, Iowa

Robert P. Rennie, Ph.D. Provincial Laboratory for Public Health Edmonton, AB, Canada

Melvin P. Weinstein, M.D. Robert Wood Johnson Medical School New Brunswick, New Jersey

Gail L. Woods, M.D. ARUP Research Institute Salt Lake City, Utah

Subcommittee on Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems

Judy C. Arbique, ART(CSMLS) CLS(NCA) Arbique-Rendell Onsite Training & Consulting Halifax, NS, Canada

Barbara Ann Body, Ph.D., D(ABMM) Co-Chairholder LabCorp Burlington, North Carolina

Paul Bourbeau, Ph.D. Geisinger Medical Center Danville, Pennsylvania

Robert Cavagnolo, Ph.D. St. Paul Medical Center Dallas, Texas

J. Michael Miller, Ph.D. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia

Freddie Mae Poole FDA Center for Devices/Rad. Health Rockville, Maryland Norman Sharples Copan Diagnostics, Inc. Corona, California

Advisors

Daniel Amsterdam, Ph.D. Erie County Medical Center Buffalo, New York

Yoshichika Arakawa National Institute of Infectious Diseases Tokyo, Japan

Lydia R. Blank, M.S., M.T.(ASCP) BD Diagnostic Systems Cockeysville, Maryland

Connie Brown, ART, M.L.T Dynacare Kapser Medical Labs. Edmonton, AB, Canada

Susan Finn, M.L.T. Starplex Scientific Etobicoke, ON, Canada

Prof. Dr. Heinrich K. Geiss Hygiene-Institut der Universitat Heidelberg, Germany Frank J. Scarano, Ph.D. University of Massachusetts Dartmouth North Dartmouth, Massachusetts

Douglas Shedden Medical Wire and Equipment Co. (Bath) Ltd. Corsham, Wiltshire, England

Mary Ann Silvius Remel, Inc. Lenexa, Kansas

Staff

Tracy A. Dooley, M.L.T.(ASCP) Staff Liaison NCCLS Wayne, Pennsylvania

Donna M. Wilhelm *Editor* NCCLS Wayne, Pennsylvania

Melissa A. Lewis Assistant Editor NCCLS Wayne, Pennsylvania

Number 34

Volume 23		

Contents

Abstra	ct		i	
Committee Membershipiii				
Forewo	Foreword vii			
1	Scope1			
2	Introduction			
3	Definitions 3			
4				
4	d 1	Container Structural Paquirements	4	
	4.1	Assessment of Structural Requirements	4 4	
	43	Biological Requirements of the Transport System	4	
	4.4	Bio-burden	5	
	4.5	Product Information	6	
	4.6	DOT/IATA Regulations Governing Shipping	6	
5	Qualit	y Control	6	
	5.1	Sampling	6	
6	Qualit	y Control of the Transport Container	7	
	6.1	Manufacturer	7	
	6.2	Laboratory End User	7	
7	Bacter	iological Swab Transport Devices	7	
	71	Storage Conditions	7	
	7.1	Shelf-L ife Studies	/	
	7.3	Organism-Specific Standards	8	
	7.4	Source of Control Strains	8	
	7.5	Maintenance of Control Strains	8	
	7.6	Preparation of Frozen Stock Cultures of Bacteria	8	
	7.7	Working Control Cultures	9	
	7.8	Turbidity Standard for Inoculum Preparation	9	
	7.9	Direct Colony Suspension Inoculum Preparation Method	.10	
	7.10	Quality Control Protocols	.10	
	7.11	Swab Elution Method (Quantitative)	.10	
	7.12	Roll-Plate Method (Qualitative)	.14	
8	Specialized Transport Devices		.18	
	8.1	General Considerations	.18	
	8.2	Urine Culture Containers with Preservative	.18	
	8.3	Growth Devices That Are Used as Transport Devices	.18	
9	Molecular Transport and Specimen Types		.19	
	9.1	General Considerations	.19	
	9.2	Quality Control	.20	

Number 34		
Contents (Continued)		
10 Viral Culture Transport Devices	21	
10.1 Transport and Specimen Types10.2 Quality Control		
References	23	
Appendix A. Sources of Specimen Container Failure	25	
Appendix B. Regulatory Considerations		
Summary of Comments and Subcommittee Responses		
Summary of Delegate Comments and Subcommittee Responses		
The Quality System Approach		
Related NCCLS Publications		

Volume 23

M40-A

Foreword

In 1893, Councilman first described making transport swabs by wrapping cotton pledgets around the end of wires, enclosing these wires in test tubes, and sterilizing them in a hot air sterilizer.¹ After sterilization, the wires, "still enclosed in the test tubes," were carried to the wards where the wire could be removed and used to rub the pharyngeal membrane of patients suspected to have diphtheria. After collection, the test tubes were labeled and sent to the laboratory where specimens could be plated.

The development of transport devices was a result of public health concerns.² Maintaining organism viability during transport to the public health laboratory was imperative for isolation and identification of the agents responsible for relevant infectious diseases. During the 1930s, 1940s, and 1950s, infections of public health concern, particularly gonorrhea and bacterial diarrhea, were the driving force behind development of transport media and devices.³ Most studies focused on evaluation of performance rather than establishment of an acceptable standard of expected performance.⁴ It is difficult to determine when systematic quality control began to be applied to transport systems. However, it was Rubbo and Benjamin who noted that certain batches of cotton wool used on swabs were associated with faster microbial death rates than others and that this phenomenon (toxicity) could be countered by the addition of serum onto the transport swabs.⁵

Within the hospital setting, use of transport devices for various "routine cultures" began as investigators determined variability in recovery from specimens plated at the bedside compared to those routed to the laboratory via established mechanisms.⁶ Today, a number of factors contribute to the increasing emphasis on the use of transport devices to maintain specimens for microbiological testing. These include increased use of outpatient treatment that has accompanied shortened hospital stays, and centralization of laboratory services due to both managed care and shortage of individuals with expertise in clinical microbiology. Standardization of the quality control of transport devices is long overdue.

As new technologies provide the opportunity to redefine the method of recovery or detection of organisms of interest, standardizing the quality control testing and acceptance criteria will become important to assure the highest level of care to patients. This document on quality control of transport devices will assist in standardization of the performance of these devices.

In the United States, basic manufacturing requirements for medical devices, including *in vitro* diagnostic (IVD) devices, were established via the Medical Device Amendments of 1976. This legislation gave the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authority to regulate medical devices (premarket notification, [510(k)] and premarket approval [PMA]), and develop consistent manufacturing requirements (Good Manufacturing Practices). Good Manufacturing Practices include the requirement to perform product quality control testing prior to distribution. Each manufacturer is required to establish the type of testing to be performed, as well as acceptance criteria based on the product and its intended use. Additionally, the European Union (EU) has recently adopted the Medical Device Directive 93/42/EEC and the *In Vitro* Diagnostic Device Directive 98/79/EC that have requirements very similar to those in the U.S. The Directive requirements. Likewise, the FDA has recently formalized the use of these types of standards by manufacturers to demonstrate performance in premarket submissions. Further discussion of regulatory considerations for these markets can be found in Appendix B.

Acknowledgment

This standard was developed through the cooperation of the NCCLS Area Committee on Microbiology and its Subcommittee on Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems, and Committee E13, Culture Media of the Department for Medical Standards (Normenausschuss Medizin) at the German Standards Institution (Deutsches Institut für Normung [DIN]). Representatives of both NCCLS and DIN participated in the development of each organization's respective standard. It is expected that this effort will advance the international harmonization of this important microbiology standard, thereby improving healthcare delivery worldwide.

Number 34

Key Words

Acceptable performance, acceptance criteria, biological properties, control strains, microbiological, microbiological testing, molecular transport, performance criteria, quality control, regulatory considerations, specimen transport, standards, storage conditions, transport devices, transport medium, viral transport

NCCLS

Volume 23

M40-A

Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard

1 Scope

It is clear that the transport of clinical specimens is a critical component for accurate diagnosis. The preservation of inherent, interpretive attributes of microorganisms and/or nucleic acids can be quickly compromised when the transport conditions or transport devices are suboptimal. The advent of antigen detection methods, methods for amplification and detection of genetic elements, and the requirement for local or distant transport of these specimens to a testing facility has imposed further considerations on manufacturers to provide products that will not compromise the ability of the laboratory to provide clinically relevant data to physicians. Clinicians should be able to collect and submit specimens to the laboratory with a reasonable assurance that the transport device will maintain the viability of microorganisms and/or preserve nucleic acids present in the specimen. Laboratorians should be able to retrieve specimens from containers, devices, and transport media with a reasonable assurance that representative components of the specimen were maintained during transport.

This standard provides criteria to the manufacturers and end users of transport devices to assist in provision of dependable products for the transport of microbiological clinical specimens. Manufacturers will be able to state whether or not the performance characteristics of a particular product satisfy the performance standards as specified in this document. Furthermore, manufacturers shall state whether or not any additional testing is required prior to the use of a particular product.

In this document, except as specifically noted, quality control consists of an assessment of the performance characteristics of a complete device and not the individual components. There are multiple variables involved in the manufacture of a transport device, including, but not limited to, the container, transport medium, collection device, packaging, and atmosphere. It is fundamental that the assessment of the device be directed at measurable performance characteristics for the particular device.

This document is not intended to provide proprietary information on product development, but rather to provide to the device user assurance that manufacturer claims are met following standardized testing and acceptance criteria. It provides guidance to the manufacturer in addressing critical issues related to specimen integrity specific to the type of testing to be performed, e.g., bacterial and viral culture, or nucleic acid detection. This document does not address the technique of transport device manufacture, but focuses on the methods for quality control testing and acceptance criteria to provide a product suitable for analysis of clinical specimens for agents of disease.

Transport devices are essential components of the preanalytical process of microbiology laboratory testing. It is recognized that these early steps in the total testing process are critical to production of clinically relevant information. Patients, physicians, healthcare providers, and laboratorians expect products that meet the highest standards of laboratory practice. This document will facilitate this goal.^a And while it is beyond the scope of this document to address the design of devices, it is imperative that device design promotes correct use, and that laboratorians select devices that best serve the user needs of the physician and the patient.

Although a discussion of specimen transport conditions is beyond the scope of this document, it is recognized that temperature has a significant effect on preservation of microorganisms in various transport devices. There are a number of recent studies that have compared performance of transport devices inoculated with various organisms at room temperature (20 °C to 25 °C) and cold temperature (4 °C to 8 °C). These studies have found simulated transport performance at cold temperatures to be

^a For example, in the U.S., the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) guidelines place the responsibility for acceptance of quality specimens on the laboratorian.