



July 2006

POCT₀₁-A₂

Point-of-Care Connectivity; Approved Standard—Second Edition

This document provides the framework for engineers to design devices, work stations, and interfaces that allow multiple types and brands of point-of-care devices to communicate bidirectionally with access points, data managers, and laboratory information systems from a variety of vendors.

A standard for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeals Process

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Administrative Procedures.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For further information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500
Wayne, PA 19087 USA
P: 610.688.0100
F: 610.688.0700
www.clsi.org
standard@clsi.org

ISBN 1-56238-616-6
ISSN 0273-3099

POCT01-A2
Vol. 26 No. 28
Replaces POCT1-A
Vol. 21 No. 24

Point-of-Care Connectivity; Approved Standard—Second Edition

Volume 26 Number 28

Lou Dunka, PhD
Bryan Allen
Todd Cooper
Christopher Fetters
Wayne Mullins
James Nichols, PhD
Thomas Norgall
Paul Schluter, PhD
Robert Uleski

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document POCT01-A2, *Point-of-Care Connectivity; Approved Standard—Second Edition* was developed for those engaged in the manufacture of point-of-care diagnostic devices, as well as the hardware and software used to connect the devices to various information systems in healthcare facilities. This document incorporates the work product of the Connectivity Industry Consortium, an organization that developed specifications for point-of-care device and information system communication interoperability. It provides the basis for multivendor, seamless interoperability between point-of-care devices, data managers, and clinical results management systems.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Point-of-Care Connectivity; Approved Standard—Second Edition*. CLSI document POCT01-A2 (ISBN 1-56238-616-6). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2006.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



CLINICAL AND
LABORATORY
STANDARDS
INSTITUTE®



Copyright ©2006 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Point-of-Care Connectivity; Approved Standard—Second Edition*. CLSI document POCT01-A2. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2006.

Proposed Standard

May 2001

Approved Standard

December 2001

Approved Standard—Second Edition

June 2006

ISBN 1-56238-616-6
ISSN 0273-3099

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Point-of-Care Testing

Louis J. Dunka, Jr, PhD
Chairholder
LifeScan, Inc.
Milpitas, California

James H. Nichols, PhD, DABCC, FACB
Vice Chairholder
Baystate Medical Center
Springfield, Massachusetts

Diana R. DeHoyos, MS, MT(ASCP)
The University of Texas Medical
Branch
Galveston, Texas

Christopher Fettes
NOVA Biomedical
Waltham, Massachusetts

George W. Gaebler, III, MSEd., RRT,
FAARC
University Hospital
Syracuse, New York

Ethan D. Hausman, MD, FAAP,
FCAP
FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health
Rockville, Maryland

Frank M. LaDuca, PhD
Bayer HealthCare Diagnostics
Division
Tarrytown, New York

Raelene M. Peretto, MBA,
MT(ASCP)
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
Services
Baltimore, Maryland

Kathy Scruggs, MT(ASCP)
Dayton VA Medical Center
Dayton, Ohio

Patrick J. St. Louis, Ph.D., Dip.CC
Ste. Justine Hospital
Montreal, Quebec

Advisors

Jeff Dahlen, PhD
Biosite Incorporated
San Diego, California

Sharon S. Ehrmeyer, PhD
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

Valerio M. Genta, MD
Sentara Virginia Beach General
Hospital
Virginia Beach, Virginia

Barry H. Ginsberg, MD, PhD
BD
Franklin Lakes, New Jersey

Ellis Jacobs, PhD, DABCC, FACB
New York State Dept. of Health
Albany, New York

David Klonoff, MD
Diabetes Technology Society
Foster City, California

Katsuhiko Kuwa, PhD
University of Tsukuba
Tsukuba 305-8575, Japan

Ronald H. Ng, PhD, DABCC, FACB
Abbott Diabetes Care
Alameda, California

Carmina Pascual, MT(ASCP),
CLS(NCA)
Roche Instrument Center AG
Rotkreuz, Switzerland

David L. Phillips
HemoSense, Inc.
San Jose, California

Paula J. Santrach, MD
Mayo Clinic
Rochester, Minnesota

Lou Ann Wyer, MT(ASCP)
Sentara Healthcare
Norfolk, Virginia

Working Group on Point-of-Care Connectivity

Louis J. Dunka, Jr, PhD
Chairholder
LifeScan, Inc.
Milpitas, California

Joanna C. Baker, MSPH,
MT(ASCP)SC, C
Moncrief Army Community Hospital
Ft. Jackson, South Carolina

Chris Budgen
Canterbury Health Laboratories
Christchurch, New Zealand

James Callaghan
FDA Center for Devices/Radiological
Health
Rockville, Maryland

Todd Cooper
Breakthrough Solutions, Inc.
(Representing IEEE)
Poway, California

Christopher Fettes
NOVA Biomedical
Waltham, Massachusetts

Andrzej J. Knafel, PhD
Roche Instrument Center
(Representing HL7)
Rotkreuz, Switzerland

Wayne Mullins
Medical Automation Systems
Charlottesville, Virginia

James Nichols, PhD
Baystate Medical Center
(Representing CLSI)
Springfield, Massachusetts

Thomas Norgall
Institut Integrierte Schaltungen
Erlangen, Germany

Carmina Pascual, MT(ASCP),
CLS(NCA)
Roche Instrument Center AG
Rotkreuz, Switzerland

Phil Pash
Roche Diagnostics
Indianapolis, Indiana

Working Group (Continued)

Melvin I. Reynolds
AMS Consulting
Ross-on-Wye
Herefordshire, United Kingdom

Christina Rode-Schubert, MBA
BE Consult
Heidelberg, Germany

Paul Schluter, PhD
GE Medical Systems *Information Technologies*
(Representing IEEE)
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Allan Soerensen
Radiometer Medical Aps
Broenshoej, Denmark

Andrew St. John
ARC Consulting
Mt. Lawley, Washington

Andreas Staubert, PhD
Roche Diagnostics GmbH
Mannheim, Germany

William Thorpe
Bayer
Norwood, Massachusetts

Robert Uleski
Robert Uleski Consulting
Fishers, Indiana

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
Wayne, Pennsylvania

John J. Zlockie, MBA
Vice President, Standards

David E. Sterry, MT(ASCP)
Staff Liaison

Donna M. Wilhelm
Editor

Melissa A. Lewis
Assistant Editor

Acknowledgement

CLSI gratefully acknowledges the contributions of the late Dr. Louis J. Dunka, Jr., LifeScan, Inc, who served as the Chairholder of the Area Committee on Point-of-Care Testing and was an active participant on the Working Group on Point-of-Care Connectivity during the revision of this document.

Contents

Abstract	i
Committee Membership.....	iii
Foreword	ix
1 Scope.....	1
2 Introduction.....	1
3 Definitions	2
4 Specifications.....	4
4.1 Description of Connectivity System Components	5
4.2 The Interfaces	6
References.....	15

Figures

Figure 1. Cooperative Evolution of Point-of-Care Standards	2
Figure 2. The Two Interfaces.....	5
Figure 3. Device Interface Layers.....	7
Figure 4. Lower-Layer Networking Evolution	8
Figure 5. Device Messaging Layer Data Topics.....	8
Figure 6. Device Messaging Layer ‘Script’	10
Figure 7. Example Glucose Test Results Message	11
Figure 8. Example Access Point Deployment Scenario.....	12
Figure 9. Observation Reporting Interface	13
Figure 10. Sample Observation Reporting Interface Message.....	14

Contents—Appendixes

Appendix A. Device and Access Point (DAP) Interface Specification

1	Scope and Introduction.....	25
2	Definitions.....	25
3	Abbreviations	27
4	Overview of POC Device Networking (Informative)	28
5	Overview of IrDA and ISO/IEEE 11073-30200 (Informative).....	30
6	Requirements for a ‘POCT01-compatible’ Device (Normative).....	36
7	Requirements for a ‘POCT01-compatible’ Access Point (Normative)	38
8	Networked Access Points (Normative if Implemented).....	41
9	Remote Modem Access (Informative)	47
10	POC Devices With Direct Network Connections (Informative).....	48
11	Data Security (Informative)	49
12	RF Wireless Networking Technologies (Informative).....	50
13	References	53

Appendix B. Device Messaging Layer (DML) Specification

1	Scope and Introduction	63
2	Bidirectional Communication	66
3	General Messaging Issues	71
4	Messaging Profile	76
5	Information Model	91
6	Messaging Model	110
7	Extending the Device Messaging Layer.....	126
8	Annex A. Device Messaging Layer Data Types (Normative).....	128
9	Annex B. Asynchronous Observation Acknowledgements (Normative)	138
10	Annex C. ‘SET-TIME’ Time Stamp and Time Zone Information	139
11	Annex D. Example Messages (Informative)	144

Contents—Appendixes (Continued)

12 Annex E. POCT01 Messaging DTDs (Normative)..... 171

Appendix C. Observation Reporting Interface (ORI) Specification

1 Scope and Introduction 203
2 Use Case Descriptions..... 203
3 Message Profile 205
4 HL7 Message Definition 208
5 Sample Messages 223

Appendix D. Point-of-Care Requirements

1 Requirements 257

Appendix E. Connectivity Architecture

1 Architecture 275
2 Principles 275
3 Approach 277
4 Model 278

Appendix F. Vendor Codes

1 Vendor Codes 283

Summary of Comments and Subcommittee Responses..... 285

The Quality System Approach..... 288

Related CLSI/NCCLS Publications 289

Foreword

Over the last decade, advances in microfluidic and other miniaturization technologies have enabled a new class of diagnostic device. This new device class—point-of-care diagnostic—supports a wide diversity of diagnostic testing directly at the *point of care*. Tests that had been previously limited to the domain of central laboratory analyzers are now available in a variety of care settings. Sophisticated tests are possible at the hospital bedside, during patient encounters in primary- and secondary-care clinics, and even in the home. This new point-of-care diagnostic device class offers the advantages of fast turnaround time for test results and quite possibly cost reduction for some types of tests.

In general, from a regulatory perspective, a diagnostic test is not differentiated based on where the test is performed. Someone in the institution must be able to show that the test was performed in compliance with the policies of an overall diagnostic testing quality system for the institution. It is thus incumbent upon point-of-care diagnostic device vendors to offer mechanisms by which their devices may be integrated into an institution's diagnostic information management system. It is this requirement for integration that drives the need for standardization.

To date, point-of-care diagnostic vendors and partners have faced this integration problem individually and have derived unique solutions. Any institution embarking on incorporating multivendor point-of-care diagnostic devices into their diagnostic testing facilities has had to face the equipment and management costs of multiple integration solutions. In fact, the cost and disjointedness of multivendor point-of-care diagnostic integration is seen as a significant barrier to the adoption of this new and exciting class of diagnostic device.

For the purposes of this specification, point-of-care testing is defined as all testing conducted near the site of patient care. This encompasses many different environments, including hospital-based testing, near-patient testing, physician's-office testing, and patient self-testing. A point-of-care connectivity specification must be applicable to all of these settings.

In February 2000, 49 healthcare institutions, point-of-care diagnostic vendors, diagnostic test system vendors, and system integrators formed the Connectivity Industry Consortium (CIC) to address this point-of-care diagnostic integration problem. The CIC Board of Directors created the following statement to guide the CIC work teams:

"The vision of the CIC is to expeditiously develop, pilot, and transfer the foundation for a set of seamless 'plug-and-play' POC communication standards ensuring fulfillment of the critical user requirements of bidirectionality, device connection commonality, commercial software interoperability, security, and QC / regulatory compliance."

The result is a set of standards that will become the foundation for POC connectivity across the healthcare continuum. To meet this vision, the resulting standards are self-sustaining and utilize practical, cost-effective, user-focused solutions. The desired outcome of this vision is broad-based vendor and provider adoption of the CIC standards.^a

Sections 1 through 4 of this document introduce and explain the technical aspects of point-of-care connectivity specifications. Appendixes A through C are the specifications for constructing a connectivity system; Appendixes D and E describe the basic concepts CIC employed to develop this standard.

^a The governing principles, guidelines, timeline, and other information about the CIC can be found at the CIC's website: www.poct.fraunhofer.de/about/index.html. The CIC development process emulated the standards-development processes of ANSI-approved standards organizations.

Foreword (Continued)

Note that the following trade names are included in this document: Palm™, Pocket PC™, and Bluetooth™. It is CLSI policy to avoid using trade names unless the products identified are the only ones available; they serve as an example of the point illustrated in the consensus document; and there is no generic description of the design and functional features of the products. Inclusion of these trade names in no way constitutes endorsement by CLSI. Please include in your comments any information that relates to our adherence to this trade name policy.

Connectivity Industry Consortium Membership

CORE VENDORS	CORE PROVIDERS
Abbott Laboratories	Banner Health System
Agilent Technologies	Bradford Royal Infirmary
Bayer Diagnostics	Geisinger Healthcare System
BD	John Hopkins Medical Institutions
Instrumentation Laboratory	Kaiser Permanente
Lifescan/J&J	Mayo Clinic
Medical Automation Systems	Profil GmbH
Radiometer Medical	St. Vincent Mercy Medical Center
Roche Diagnostics	The Mount Sinai Hospital
Sunquest Information Systems	University of Iowa Healthcare
SUPPORTING VENDORS	INDIVIDUAL PROVIDERS
Abaxis	Maurice Green, PhD
Avocet Medical	Neil Halpern, MD
Cerner	Georg Hoffmann
Clarinet Systems	Colonel Forrest Kneisel
Control Corporation	Gerald Kost, MD, PhD
First Medical/Sigma Diagnostics	Petrie Rainey, MD PhD
GE Medical Systems <i>Information Technologies</i>	
HemoCue	LIAISONS
HemoSense	AACC
InterComponentWare	COLA
i-STAT Corporation	IFCC Scientific Division
International Technidyne Corporation (ITC)	Medical Devices Agency
Lantronix	
Medtronic	
Motorola	
Orasure Technologies, Inc.	
Pharmacia & Upjohn	
SMS/Siemens	
TELCOR Inc	

Foreword (Continued)

The CIC worked within a “fast-track” model and developed the point-of-care diagnostic integration specification within its planned 12- to 15-month lifetime. The CIC organization then handed the specification to CLSI (www.CLSI.org), Health Level 7 (www.hl7.org), and IEEE (www.ieee.org) organizations for subsequent maintenance and extension.

This document, then, represents the work product of the Connectivity Industry Consortium (CIC).

Since the initial publishing of the CLSI POCT1-A standard, communication technologies have evolved, including in the area of radio frequency (RF) networking. The current POCT01 standard makes numerous references to both Bluetooth (802.15.1) and WiFi (802.11) transport interfaces; however, at that time it wasn't clear whether one technology should be chosen in favor of another. As a result, though RF wireless networking is mentioned in the document, there is no clear direction other than that the standard should be easily extended to include one or more of these transport technologies as long as they provide reliable connection-oriented communications.

This document replaces the first approved edition, POCT1-A, which was published in 2001. Several changes have been made in this edition; chief among them is the addition of a new section related to RF Wireless Networking Technologies (see Section 12 in Appendix A). Another significant change in this document is the conversion of each message prefix from “NCCLS” to “CLSI.” This change has been made to reflect the organizational name change that has occurred since the original publication of this standard. In the case of manufacturers with existing or distributed implementations that used the “NCCLS” prefix, the “NCCLS” prefix is a deprecated but valid string, in addition to the preferred “CLSI.”

CLSI also gratefully acknowledges the approval of POCT01 by the Scientific Division of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC). The joint efforts of the AACC Point-of-Care Testing Division, CIC, HL7, IEEE, IFCC, and CLSI, along with the many committee participants and experts involved in the development of POCT01, have served to strengthen the value of this standard and its usefulness worldwide.

Acknowledgements

Many individuals contributed a tremendous amount of time and effort to the CIC toward developing, describing, and reviewing these point-of-care connectivity specifications.

The following individuals served technical organizational roles within the consortium:

Chief Technical Officer:	Jeff Perry, Walker Informatics
Architecture Team:	Jack Harrington, Philips Medical Systems
Device Team Co-Chairs:	Alan Greenburg, Roche Diagnostics Bob Uleski, FluorRx
EDI Team Co-Chairs:	Rodney Kugizaki, LifeScan Wayne Mullins, Medical Automation Systems
Point-of-Care Workflow:	Marcy Anderson, Medical Automation Systems
Requirements Team:	Teresa Prego, Bayer Diagnostics

The following individuals are recognized for their significant contributions to the development, authoring, and review of the original CIC Specification:

- Bryan Allen, Bayer Diagnostics
- Bob Anders, HealthWyse
- Nils Graversen, Radiometer Medical
- Alan Greenburg, Roche Diagnostics
- Jack Harrington, Philips Medical Systems
- Rodney Kugizaki, LifeScan
- David Ma, Clarinet Systems
- Mark Maund, i-STAT Corporation
- Chris Melo, Philips Medical Systems
- Wayne Mullins, Medical Automation Systems
- Dan Nowicki, GE Medical Systems *Information Technologies*
- Joe Rogers, i-STAT Corporation
- Paul Schluter, GE Medical Systems *Information Technologies*
- Allan Soerensen, Radiometer Medical
- Dan Trainor, Philips Medical Systems
- Imre Trefil, LifeScan
- Bob Uleski, FluorRx

Key Words

Access point, connectivity, device interfaces, diagnostics, diagnostic devices, HL7, IrDA, IEEE 1073, ISO 11073, medical information bus, MIB, CLSI, point-of-care, POC, point-of-care testing, POCT

Point-of-Care Connectivity; Approved Standard—Second Edition

1 Scope

This standard establishes a set of specifications to allow seamless multivendor interoperability and communication between point-of-care devices, data concentrators, and clinical information systems. CLSI document POCT01 provides the framework for engineers to design devices, workstations, and interfaces that allow multiple types and brands of point-of-care devices to communicate bidirectionally with access points, data concentrators, and laboratory information systems from a variety of vendors.

As an *interface* standard, this document specifies the common communication interfaces and protocols between systems and devices. It facilitates the transfer of data to support the creation of point-of-care applications, services, and institutional policies. This document does not directly address specific point-of-care application and service level functions, such as device lockout and operator list management. This document specifies protocol, not policy. The interfaces specified support the communication required for engineers to build such application-level functionality. Specifying, building, and providing the applications to support these services are left to customers, device and information system vendors.

The only relationship of this point-of-care standard to the laboratory automation domain is through the use of the HL7 standard. In version 2.4,¹ the HL7 standard was expanded to provide elements essential to laboratory automation, which also improved the HL7 standard for the entire laboratory-testing domain. These additions to HL7, along with four proposed new HL7 message triggers (see Section 4.1 in Appendix C of this CLSI standard), enable the point-of-care community to use HL7 as its electronic data interchange (EDI).

This specification also leverages several communication standards. It specifies the use of a single device transport protocol (IrDA TinyTP) running over two possible physical layers: *IrDA-infrared*, as specified by the Infrared Data Association (IrDA) and ISO/IEEE 11073-30300²; and *cable-connected*, as specified by the IEEE 1073 lower-layers standard.³ This specification also utilizes local area networking standards such as IEEE 802.3⁴ and protocols such as TCP/IP in cases where network connectivity is required.

2 Introduction

This document on point-of-care connectivity has been developed by the CLSI Subcommittee on Point-of-Care Connectivity. The core of the standard is a group of three specifications developed by the Connectivity Industry Consortium (CIC). The specifications describe the attributes of an access point; the communication protocols between the device and the access point; and communications between a data manager and clinical information systems. The collaborative effort among providers and manufacturers has produced a set of specifications acceptable to both. The constitution of the subcommittee is a balance among providers; representatives of CLSI, HL7, and IEEE; the professions (CAP); and the government (FDA). The specifications will become standards in IEEE, HL7, and CLSI in parallel.