

# Surveillance for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Principles, Practices, and Challenges; A Report

This document was developed to provide infection preventionists (infection control practitioners), infectious disease specialists, and microbiologists with the latest information regarding the development and implementation of a successful MRSA surveillance program.

---

A CLSI report for global application.



# Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

*Advancing Quality in Health Care Testing*

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS) is an international, interdisciplinary, nonprofit, standards-developing, and educational organization that promotes the development and use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines within the health care community. It is recognized worldwide for the application of its unique consensus process in the development of standards and guidelines for patient testing and related health care issues. Our process is based on the principle that consensus is an effective and cost-effective way to improve patient testing and health care services.

In addition to developing and promoting the use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines, we provide an open and unbiased forum to address critical issues affecting the quality of patient testing and health care.

## PUBLICATIONS

A document is published as a standard, guideline, or committee report.

**Standard** A document developed through the consensus process that clearly identifies specific, essential requirements for materials, methods, or practices for use in an unmodified form. A standard may, in addition, contain discretionary elements, which are clearly identified.

**Guideline** A document developed through the consensus process describing criteria for a general operating practice, procedure, or material for voluntary use. A guideline may be used as written or modified by the user to fit specific needs.

**Report** A document that has not been subjected to consensus review and is released by the Board of Directors.

## CONSENSUS PROCESS

The CLSI voluntary consensus process is a protocol establishing formal criteria for

- The authorization of a project
- The development and open review of documents
- The revision of documents in response to comments by users
- The acceptance of a document as a consensus standard or guideline

Most documents are subject to two levels of consensus—"proposed" and "approved." Depending on the need for field evaluation or data collection, documents may also be made available for review at an intermediate consensus level.

**Proposed** A consensus document undergoes the first stage of review by the health care community as a proposed standard or guideline. The document should receive a wide and thorough technical review, including an overall review of its scope, approach, and utility, and a line-by-line review of its technical and editorial content.

**Approved** An approved standard or guideline has achieved consensus within the health care community. It should be reviewed to assess the utility of the final document, to ensure attainment of consensus (ie, that comments on earlier versions have been satisfactorily addressed), and to identify the need for additional consensus documents.

Our standards and guidelines represent a consensus opinion on good practices and reflect the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties obtained by following CLSI's established consensus procedures. Provisions in CLSI standards and guidelines may be more or less stringent than applicable regulations. Consequently, conformance to this voluntary consensus document does not relieve the user of responsibility for compliance with applicable regulations.

## COMMENTS

The comments of users are essential to the consensus process. Anyone may submit a comment, and all comments are addressed, according to the consensus process, by the committee that wrote the document. All comments, including those that result in a change to the document when published at the next consensus level and those that do not result in a change, are addressed by the committee in an appendix to the document. Readers are strongly encouraged to comment in any form and at any time on any document. Address comments to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, PA 19087, USA.

## VOLUNTEER PARTICIPATION

Health care professionals in all specialties are urged to volunteer for participation in CLSI projects. Please contact us at [customerservice@clsi.org](mailto:customerservice@clsi.org) or +610.688.0100 for additional information on committee participation.

X07-R  
ISBN 1-56238-719-7  
ISSN 0273-3099

Volume 30 Number 5

---

## Surveillance for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Principles, Practices, and Challenges; A Report

Cassandra Salgado, MD, MS  
Fred C. Tenover, PhD, D(ABMM)  
Robert D. Arbeit, MD  
Karen Carroll, MD  
Phyllis Della Latta, PhD, MSc, D(ABMM)  
Patrice Francois, PhD  
Tobi B. Karchmer, MD, MS  
Lisa Louie, MLT, ART  
Frederick S. Nolte, PhD

Lance R. Peterson, MD  
Michele M. Schoonmaker, PhD  
Jacques Schrenzel, MD  
Douglas J. Shedden  
Patricia A. Somsel, DrPH  
David L. Tison, PhD, D(ABMM)  
Karine Truchon, MSc  
Henri Verbrugh, MD, PhD  
Stephen G. Weber, MD, MS  
J. Scott Weese, DVM, DVSc  
Donna Wolk, PhD, D(ABMM)

### Abstract

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections continue to be significant causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide in both health care and community settings. One strategy to reduce the transmission, particularly of MRSA, in health care settings is to conduct active surveillance of patients admitted to hospitals or other health care facilities for colonization using culture- or molecule-based identification methods. Because colonized patients serve as a reservoir of infection both for themselves and other patients, placing colonized patients in contact isolation with barrier precautions can reduce the spread of MRSA. Additional steps to decolonize the patient using mupirocin and chlorhexidine baths can further reduce transmission. CLSI document X07-R—*Surveillance for Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus: Principles, Practices, and Challenges; A Report* was developed to provide microbiologists, infection preventionists (infection control practitioners), and infectious disease specialists with both laboratory and infection control information regarding the development and implementation of a successful MRSA surveillance program. Information on surveillance of health care workers and animals for MRSA is also provided.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Surveillance for Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus: Principles, Practices, and Challenges; A Report*. CLSI document X07-R (ISBN 1-56238-719-7). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2010.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI/NCCLS documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org). If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: [customerservice@clsi.org](mailto:customerservice@clsi.org); Website: [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)



Copyright ©2010 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, neither this publication nor any portion thereof may be adapted, copied, or otherwise reproduced, by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without prior written permission from Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute ("CLSI").

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, contact the Executive Vice President, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898, USA.

### **Suggested Citation**

CLSI. *Surveillance for Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus: Principles, Practices, and Challenges; A Report*. CLSI document X07-R. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2010.

### **Report**

#### **Approved by Board of Directors**

February 2010

#### **Published**

February 2010

ISBN 1-56238-719-7  
ISSN 0273-3099

## Committee Membership

### Area Committee on Microbiology

**John H. Rex, MD, FACP**  
**Chairholder**  
**AstraZeneca**  
**Cheshire, United Kingdom**

**Mary Jane Ferraro, PhD, MPH**  
**Vice-Chairholder**  
**Massachusetts General Hospital**  
**Boston, Massachusetts, USA**

Nancy L. Anderson, MMSc,  
 MT(ASCP)  
 Centers for Disease Control and  
 Prevention  
 Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Barbara Ann Body, PhD,  
 D(ABMM)  
 Laboratory Corporation of America  
 Burlington, North Carolina, USA

Betty (Betz) A. Forbes,  
 PhD(ABMM)  
 Medical College of Virginia  
 Campus  
 Richmond, Virginia, USA

Thomas R. Fritsche, MD, PhD  
 Marshfield Clinic  
 Marshfield, Wisconsin, USA

Freddie Mae Poole, MS, MT  
 FDA Center for Devices and  
 Radiological Health  
 Upper Marlboro, Maryland, USA

Fred C. Tenover, PhD, D(ABMM)  
 Cepheid  
 Sunnyvale, California, USA

John D. Turnidge, II, MD  
 Women's and Children's Hospital  
 North Adelaide, Australia

#### Advisors

Donald R. Callihan, PhD  
 BD Diagnostic Systems  
 Sparks, Maryland, USA

James H. Jorgensen, PhD  
 University of Texas Health Science  
 Center  
 San Antonio, Texas, USA

Jean B. Patel, PhD, D(ABMM)  
 Centers for Disease Control and  
 Prevention  
 Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Michael A. Pfaller, MD  
 University of Iowa College of  
 Medicine  
 Iowa City, Iowa, USA

Thomas R. Shryock, PhD  
 Elanco Animal Health  
 Greenfield, Indiana, USA

Jana M. Swenson, MMSc  
 Centers for Disease Control  
 and Prevention  
 Young Harris, Georgia, USA

Jeffrey L. Watts, PhD,  
 RM(AAM)  
 Pfizer Animal Health  
 Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA

Melvin P. Weinstein, MD  
 Robert Wood Johnson  
 Medical School  
 New Brunswick, New Jersey,  
 USA

Matthew A. Wikler, MD,  
 MBA, FIDSA  
 Institute for One World  
 Health  
 San Francisco, California,  
 USA

Michael L. Wilson, MD  
 Denver Health Medical  
 Center  
 Denver, Colorado, USA

Gail L. Woods, MD  
 VA (Central Arkansas  
 Healthcare System)  
 Little Rock, Arkansas, USA

Barbara L. Zimmer, PhD  
 Siemens Healthcare  
 Diagnostics  
 West Sacramento, California,  
 USA

### Area Committee on Molecular Methods

**Roberta M. Madej, MS, MT**  
**Chairholder**  
**Tethys Bioscience, Inc.**  
**Emeryville, California, USA**

**Frederick S. Nolte, PhD**  
**Vice-Chairholder**  
**Medical University of South  
 Carolina**  
**Charleston, South Carolina, USA**

Zhimin Cao, MD, PhD  
 New York State Department of  
 Health  
 Albany, New York, USA

Stephen P. Day, PhD  
 Hologic, Inc.  
 Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Maurizio Ferrari, MD  
 H San Raffaele  
 Milan, Italy

Lisa Kalman, PhD  
 Centers for Disease Control and  
 Prevention  
 Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Uwe Scherf, PhD  
 FDA Center for Devices and  
 Radiological Health  
 Rockville, Maryland, USA

Janet A. Warrington, PhD  
 Consultant  
 Los Altos, California, USA

Jean Amos Wilson, PhD, FACMG  
 Berkeley HeartLab, Inc.  
 Alameda, California, USA

Emily S. Winn-Deen, PhD  
 Diagnostics Consultant  
 Livermore, California, USA

#### Advisors

Max Q. Arens, PhD  
 Washington University School of  
 Medicine  
 St. Louis, Missouri, USA

**Advisors (Continued)**

Helen Fernandes, PhD,  
HCLD(ABB)  
UMDNJ – University Hospital  
Newark, New Jersey, USA

Leslie Hall, MMSc, RM(ASM)  
Mayo Clinic  
Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Timothy J. O’Leary, MD, PhD  
Department of Veterans Affairs  
Washington, District of Columbia,  
USA

Deborah Payne, PhD  
Denver, Colorado, USA

Mario Pazzagli, PhD  
University of Florence  
Florence, Italy

Cathy A. Petti, MD  
Palo Alto Medical Foundation  
Oakland, California, USA

Carolyn Sue Richards, PhD,  
FACMG  
Oregon Health Sciences University  
Portland, Oregon, USA

Judith C. Wilber, PhD  
XDX, Inc.  
S. San Francisco, California, USA

Laurina O. Williams, PhD, MPH  
Centers for Disease Control and  
Prevention  
Atlanta, Georgia, USA

**Subcommittee on MRSA Surveillance**

**Cassandra Salgado, MD, MS**  
**Co-Chairholder**  
**Medical University of South**  
**Carolina**  
**Charleston, South Carolina, USA**

**Fred C. Tenover, PhD, D(ABMM)**  
**Co-Chairholder**  
**Cepheid**  
**Sunnyvale, California, USA**

Robert D. Arbeit, MD  
Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.  
Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Karen Carroll, MD  
Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions  
Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Tobi B. Karchmer, MD, MS  
BD Diagnostics  
San Diego, California, USA

Lisa Louie, MLT, ART  
Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Patrick A. Mach, MS  
3M Medical Division  
St. Paul, Minnesota, USA

Lance R. Peterson, MD  
Northwestern University/Evanston  
Northwestern Healthcare  
Winnetka, Illinois, USA

Jacques Schrenzel, MD  
University Hospital of Geneva  
Geneva, Switzerland

Patricia A. Somsel, DrPH  
Michigan Department of  
Community Health  
Lansing, Michigan, USA

David L. Tison, PhD, D(ABMM)  
MultiCare Health System  
Tacoma, Washington, USA

Stephen G. Weber, MD, MS  
University of Chicago Medical  
Center  
Chicago, Illinois, USA

**Advisors**

Sara E. Cosgrove, MD, MS  
Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions  
Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Patrice Francois, PhD  
University Hospital of Geneva  
Geneva, Switzerland

Corinne Jay  
bioMérieux  
Grenoble, France

Jay M. Lieberman, MD, FAAP  
Quest Diagnostics, Incorporated  
San Juan Capistrano, California,  
USA

Michael Mitchell, MD  
U Mass Memorial Medical Center  
Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

Elliot L. Rank, PhD, D(ABMM)  
BD Diagnostic Systems  
Sparks, Maryland, USA

Michele M. Schoonmaker, PhD  
Cepheid  
Sunnyvale, California, USA

Douglas J. Shedden  
Medical Wire and Equipment  
Corsham/Wiltshire, United  
Kingdom

Karine Truchon, MSc  
Roche Molecular Systems  
Pleasanton, California, USA

Henri Verbrugh, MD, PhD  
Erasmus University Medical Center  
Rotterdam, Netherlands

J. Scott Weese, DVM, DVSc,  
DipACVIM  
University of Guelph  
Guelph, Ontario, Canada

**Staff**

Clinical and Laboratory Standards  
Institute  
Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA

Lois M. Schmidt, DA  
*Vice President, Standards  
Development*

Tracy A. Dooley, BS, MLT(ASCP)  
*Staff Liaison*

Melissa A. Lewis, ELS  
*Editorial Manager*

Carol DiBerardino, MLA, ELS  
*Assistant Editor*

### **Acknowledgment**

CLSI acknowledges the experts and their institutions listed below for their contributions in preparing this report:

Phyllis Della Latta, PhD, MSc, D(ABMM)  
Columbia University Medical Center

Donna Wolk, PhD, D(ABMM)  
University of Arizona





**Contents**

Abstract..... i

Committee Membership..... iii

Foreword..... ix

1 Scope..... 1

2 Introduction..... 1

    2.1 Rationale..... 1

3 Standard Precautions..... 2

4 Terminology..... 2

    4.1 A Note on Terminology..... 2

    4.2 Definitions..... 3

    4.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms..... 6

5 Characteristics of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*..... 6

    5.1 General Characteristics..... 6

6 Laboratory Methods for Detecting Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Samples..... 8

    6.1 Specimen Collection..... 8

    6.2 Anatomical Sites to Sample..... 9

    6.3 Culture Methods for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*..... 11

7 Molecular Detection Methods..... 14

    7.1 Assay Selection Criteria..... 14

    7.2 Molecular Aspects of Methicillin Resistance..... 14

    7.3 Target Genes and Strategies Allowing for Identification of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*..... 16

    7.4 Assays Relying on the *orfX*-*SCCmec* Junction..... 17

    7.5 Limitations of Current Molecular Assays..... 19

    7.6 Role of Culture for Additional Testing..... 19

    7.7 Strain Typing of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates..... 20

8 Factors to Consider Before Implementation of a Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Surveillance Program in a Facility..... 24

    8.1 Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Prevalence..... 24

    8.2 Screening of Patients..... 26

    8.3 Screening Health Care Workers..... 33

    8.4 Screening in Other Settings..... 34

    8.5 When to Consider Sampling..... 36

9 Screening Companion Animals for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*..... 37

    9.1 Screening Pets..... 37

    9.2 Screening Horses..... 38

    9.3 Screening Methodology: Sampling Sites..... 39

    9.4 Screening Methodology: Laboratory Testing..... 39

    9.5 Management of Colonized Animals..... 39

**Contents (Continued)**

References.....	40
Appendix. Methods.....	50
The Quality Management System Approach.....	52
Related CLSI Reference Materials .....	54

## Foreword

Considerable attention has been given to the subject of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) colonization and infection in the press, particularly since the emergence of community-associated MRSA infections. The deaths of otherwise healthy college athletes resulting from MRSA septicemia, the deaths of school-aged children following MRSA wound infections, and the morbidity caused by outbreaks of MRSA infection among professional sports teams are certainly newsworthy. Yet, underlying these news events of community disease is the more troubling reality of the transmission of MRSA and the ensuing morbidity and mortality among hospitalized patients around the world. To be sure, MRSA is only one of several health care-associated pathogens that deserve attention. Yet, that fact does not diminish the impact that this organism is having on health care around the world. The cost of health care-associated MRSA infections in the United States alone is astronomical. Thus, infection preventionists (formerly called infection control practitioners), infectious disease specialists, and clinical microbiologists are pursuing a variety of strategies aimed at reducing health care-associated MRSA (and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* [MSSA]) infections. One strategy that is being implemented in multiple centers is active surveillance of patients admitted to hospitals or other health care facilities for MRSA colonization in an effort to identify patients who may serve as a reservoir of infection for other patients. In some cases in the United States, state legislative authorities have mandated such surveillance efforts, whereas other health care systems have initiated such studies prospectively. It has become increasingly clear that there is a dearth of information regarding the “how” of conducting active surveillance (ie, which patient populations to screen, optimal laboratory methods to use, and metrics to assess the effectiveness of the program), more than the “why” of such efforts (ie, reducing infection rates and cross-transmission of MRSA among patients). Therefore, this CLSI document was developed to provide information to those institutions that, for whatever reason, have decided to initiate an MRSA surveillance program.

## Key Words

Colonization, cross-transmission, epidemiology, infection control, infection prevention, isolation precautions, methicillin resistance, mupirocin, nucleic acid amplification testing, rapid testing, staphylococci, surveillance



## **Surveillance for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Principles, Practices, and Challenges; A Report**

### **1 Scope**

This report describes a framework for establishing a surveillance program to detect methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) colonization and infection in patients, health care workers (HCWs), and animals. It reviews the characteristics of MRSA isolates; both culture-based and molecular methods for detecting MRSA isolates in clinical samples, surveillance cultures, and environmental reservoirs; strain typing methods; epidemiological issues surrounding the spread of MRSA isolates in health care and other settings; interventions to halt transmission of MRSA; MRSA issues associated with animals; and public health aspects of MRSA transmission. Evidence-based information is presented to assist laboratories that are charged with the task of setting up MRSA surveillance programs for their institution or community. Additional information targeted to infection preventionists (infection control practitioners), clinicians, and public health officials to aid them in implementing a surveillance program for MRSA is also provided.

### **2 Introduction**

#### **2.1 Rationale**

According to reports from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and others, the incidence of infections caused by MRSA has increased dramatically over the last decade, despite widespread measures aimed at preventing spread.<sup>1-5</sup> To further complicate matters, the epidemiology of this potentially lethal pathogen has also evolved, with an ever-increasing number of MRSA cases arising among individuals in community settings without prior contact with the health care system.<sup>6</sup> These community-associated MRSA strains (CA-MRSA), and the more familiar health care-associated MRSA strains (HA-MRSA), have, in some cases, been associated with more severe clinical outcomes and a substantially increased cost of care for affected patients.<sup>7</sup>

In response to these changes, many clinicians, health care administrators, and those working in infection control and prevention are taking a more aggressive approach toward preventing the spread of MRSA in their health care centers. Yet, a number of patient advocacy groups and public figures have been critical of what they perceive as too little effort to reduce the spread of MRSA. This has led to a series of unfavorable editorial commentaries in the popular press and the enactment of legislative initiatives aimed at promoting additional awareness and control of MRSA.<sup>8</sup> Increasingly, a move toward “zero tolerance” of MRSA has been promoted in some regions in which the goal of prevention efforts is not simply to reduce the overall burden of infections caused by MRSA but actually to completely eliminate MRSA from individual units, patient care areas, hospitals, regions, and even entire countries.

For the most part, the tools historically available to prevent the dissemination of MRSA and other multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) have emphasized the management of patients identified as colonized or infected with these organisms in the course of routine clinical care. In this approach, standard infection control and prevention methods, including hand hygiene and environmental cleaning, are applied uniformly for all patients. In addition, more intensive measures, such as the implementation of isolation precautions (the use of gowns and gloves when in contact with the patient or surrounding environment), are put in place once a patient is identified as colonized or infected with MRSA.<sup>9</sup>

Because of the renewed focus on MRSA control, even more aggressive measures have been proposed. Specifically, patients in many centers are being screened through culture and more rapid molecular diagnostic methods to identify those who are colonized with MRSA even in the absence of signs or