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Foreword (Not part of Standard)

Purpose and aims of the Hydraulic Institute

The purpose and aims of the Institute are to promote the continued growth of pump knowledge for the interest of pump manufacturers and to further the interests of the public in such matters as are involved in manufacturing, engineering, distribution, safety, transportation and other problems of the industry, and to this end, among other things:

a) To develop and publish standards for pumps;
b) To collect and disseminate information of value to its members and to the public;
c) To appear for its members before governmental departments and agencies and other bodies in regard to matters affecting the industry;
d) To increase the amount and to improve the quality of pump service to the public;
e) To support educational and research activities;
f) To promote the business interests of its members but not to engage in business of the kind ordinarily carried on for profit or to perform particular services for its members or individual persons as distinguished from activities to improve the business conditions and lawful interests of all of its members.

Purpose of Standards

1) Hydraulic Institute Standards are adopted in the public interest and are designed to help eliminate misunderstandings between the manufacturer, the purchaser and/or the user and to assist the purchaser in selecting and obtaining the proper product for a particular need.

2) Use of Hydraulic Institute Standards is completely voluntary. Existence of Hydraulic Institute Standards does not in any respect preclude a member from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to the Standards.

Definition of a Standard of the Hydraulic Institute

Quoting from Article XV, Standards, of the By-Laws of the Institute, Section B:

“An Institute Standard defines the product, material, process or procedure with reference to one or more of the following: nomenclature, composition, construction, dimensions, tolerances, safety, operating characteristics, performance, quality, rating, testing and service for which designed.”

Comments from users

Comments from users of this standard will be appreciated, to help the Hydraulic Institute prepare even more useful future editions. Questions arising from the content of this standard may be directed to the Technical Director of the Hydraulic Institute. The inquiry will then be directed to the appropriate technical committee for provision of a suitable answer.

If a dispute arises regarding contents of an Institute publication or an answer provided by the Institute to a question such as indicated above, then the point in question shall be sent in writing to the Technical Director of the Hydraulic Institute, who shall initiate the Appeals Process.

Revisions

The Standards of the Hydraulic Institute are subject to constant review, and revisions are undertaken whenever it is found necessary because of new developments and progress in the art. If no revisions are made for five years, the standards are reaffirmed using the ANSI canvass procedure.