



IPC-4552B

Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards

Developed by the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the
Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) of IPC

Supersedes:

IPC-4552A – August 2017
IPC-4552 with Amendments
1 & 2 – December 2012
IPC-4552 – October 2002

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC

Table of Contents

| | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | SCOPE | 1 | 3.2.1 | Shelf Life | 16 |
| 1.1 | Purpose | 1 | 3.2.2 | Humidity Indicator Card (HIC) | 19 |
| 1.2 | Description | 1 | 3.2.3 | Aluminum and Copper Wire (Wedge) Bonding | 19 |
| 1.3 | Classification | 1 | 3.2.4 | Contact Surface | 19 |
| 1.4 | Measurement Units | 1 | 3.2.5 | EMI Shielding | 19 |
| 1.5 | Definition of Requirements | 1 | 3.2.6 | Conductive and/or Anisotropic Adhesive Interface (Replacement for Solder) | 20 |
| 1.6 | Process Control Requirements | 2 | 3.2.7 | Connectors | 20 |
| 1.7 | Order of Precedence | 2 | 3.2.8 | Limitations of ENIG | 20 |
| 1.7.1 | Conflict | 2 | 3.3 | Visual | 20 |
| 1.7.2 | Clause References | 2 | 3.4 | Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD) | 21 |
| 1.7.3 | Appendices | 2 | 3.5 | Finish Thickness | 22 |
| 1.8 | Use of "Lead" | 3 | 3.5.1 | Electroless Nickel Thickness | 22 |
| 1.9 | Abbreviations and Acronyms | 3 | 3.5.2 | Immersion Gold Thickness | 24 |
| 1.10 | Terms and Definitions | 3 | 3.5.3 | Exceptions to the Required Thickness Ranges | 25 |
| 1.10.1 | Electroless Process | 3 | 3.6 | Nickel Corrosion | 27 |
| 1.10.2 | Hyperactive Corrosion Deposit | 3 | 3.6.1 | Morphologies of Features at Electroless Nickel – Immersion Gold Interfaces | 28 |
| 1.10.3 | Immersion Process | 3 | 3.6.2 | Corrosion Level Definition | 30 |
| 1.10.4 | Metal Turnover (MTO) | 3 | 3.6.3 | Corrosion Level Evaluation of an As- Plated ENIG Deposit by Cross Section | 32 |
| 1.10.5 | Reduction Assisted Immersion Gold Also known as: Mixed Reaction Immersion Gold | 3 | 3.6.4 | ENIG Baselineing | 38 |
| 1.10.6 | Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD) | 4 | 3.6.5 | ENIG Corrosion Performance Monitoring | 38 |
| 2 | APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS | 4 | 3.6.6 | Optional Testing to Determine IMC Formation on Boards Showing Product Rating 2 | 39 |
| 2.1 | IPC | 4 | 3.7 | Porosity | 40 |
| 2.2 | Joint Standards | 5 | 3.8 | Adhesion | 40 |
| 2.3 | ASTM International (ASTM) | 5 | 3.9 | Solderability | 41 |
| 2.4 | JEDEC | 5 | 3.9.1 | Stressing of the Deposit Prior to Solderability Testing | 41 |
| 2.5 | Defense Standardization Program | 5 | 3.9.2 | Force Measurement Testing (Wetting Balance Testing) | 41 |
| 2.6 | Telcordia Technologies, Inc. | 5 | 3.10 | Cleanliness | 42 |
| 2.7 | International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) | 5 | 3.11 | Electrolytic Corrosion | 42 |
| 2.8 | International Organization for Standardization (ISO) | 5 | 3.12 | Chemical Resistance | 42 |
| 3 | REQUIREMENTS of ENIG DEPOSIT | 6 | 4 | QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS | 42 |
| 3.1 | Printed Board Fabrication Supplier Process Requirements | 7 | 4.1 | General Quality Assurance Provisions | 42 |
| 3.1.1 | General Plating Line Requirements | 7 | 4.1.1 | Qualification Recommendations | 42 |
| 3.1.2 | ENIG XRF Calibration Standards | 12 | 4.1.2 | Sample Test Coupons | 42 |
| 3.1.3 | XRF Zero Offset Acceptability | 12 | 4.2 | Quality Conformance Testing | 44 |
| 3.1.4 | Process Qualification Measurement Requirements | 13 | 4.2.1 | Thickness | 44 |
| 3.1.5 | Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents – Phosphorus Content | 14 | 4.2.2 | Qualified Process | 44 |
| 3.1.6 | Gold Stripping of Plated Deposits for Evaluation of Corrosion Level | 16 | | | |
| 3.2 | Performance Functions | 16 | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| APPENDIX 1 | Abbreviations and Acronyms | 45 | Figure 3-1d | Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 3 | 11 |
| APPENDIX 2 | ENIG Process Sequence | 47 | Figure 3-2 | Potassium Iodide/Iodine (KI / I ₂) at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right) | 17 |
| APPENDIX 3 | XRF Thickness Measurements of Thin Au (ENIG): Recommendations for Instrumentation (Detectors) and Their Limitations | 48 | Figure 3-3 | Cyanide-Based Stripping at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right) | 17 |
| APPENDIX 4 | ENIG PWB Surface Finish Wetting Balance Testing | 50 | Figure 3-4 | Cyanide Stripping (left) vs. KI/I ₂ Stripping (right) Using Focused Ion Beam (FIB) | 17 |
| APPENDIX 5 | IPC 4-14 SC ENIG Round Robin Solder Spread Testing | 68 | Figure 3-5 | 25,000X FIB Images – Cyanide (left) vs. KI / I ₂ (right) – Same Dwell Time | 18 |
| APPENDIX 6 | Wire Bonding to ENIG | 74 | Figure 3-6 | Ion Mill Stripping of Defect-Free Nickel (left) vs. Hyper-Corroded Nickel (right) | 18 |
| APPENDIX 7 | Through Hole Solderability Testing | 75 | Figure 3-7 | Humidity Indicator Card (HIC) Example | 19 |
| APPENDIX 8 | Evaluation of Electroless Nickel Corrosion Due to Immersion Gold Plating, Using 3000X Magnification After Gold Stripping | 90 | Figure 3-8 | Uniform Plating | 20 |
| APPENDIX 9-A | Cyanide Gold Stripping for ENIG | 95 | Figure 3-9 | Extraneous Plating or Nickel Foot | 21 |
| APPENDIX 9-B | Test Method for Potassium Iodide/ Iodine (Non-Cyanide) ENIG Gold Stripping Procedure | 96 | Figure 3-10 | Skip Plating (No Ni plating) | 21 |
| APPENDIX 9-C | Method for Stripping Gold Plating from ENIG Finished PCBs by Broad Beam Argon Ion Milling | 98 | Figure 3-11 | Edge Pull Back | 21 |
| APPENDIX 10 | Determination of Thickness and Phosphorus Content in Electroless Nickel (EN) Layers X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectrometry [IPC-TM-650, Method 2.3.44] | 103 | Figure 3-12 | Example of SAD (Selective Annular Ring Dewetting) | 22 |
| APPENDIX 11 | Phosphorus Content Measurement in ENIG Using Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy EDS | 110 | Figure 3-13 | Another Example of the SAD Defect | 22 |
| APPENDIX 12 | Standard Developments Efforts of Electroless Nickel Immersion Gold | 115 | Figure 3-14 | Selective Annular Ring Dewetting Defect | 22 |
| APPENDIX 13 | Using Guard Bands or a Gauge Correction Factor to Accommodate Type 1 Gauge Study Measurement Uncertainty | 134 | Figure 3-15 | Grain Structure of Conventional Nickel Deposit | 23 |
| APPENDIX 14 | Worked Examples of Hyper-Corrosion Evaluations | 139 | Figure 3-16 | Grain Structure of Nickel Deposit Modified for Dynamic Flex Applications | 23 |
| | Figures | | Figure 3-17 | Example of Fracture in Conventional Nickel Deposit | 23 |
| Figure 3-1a | Example of Repeat Measurement Data from Three Different XRF Tools | 8 | Figure 3-18 | Modified Nickel Deposit Showing No Fracture with Same Number of Cycles | 23 |
| Figure 3-1b | Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 1 | 9 | Figure 3-19 | Example of Multiple Spike Defects on the Knee of a Hole at 1000X | 28 |
| Figure 3-1c | Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 2 | 10 | Figure 3-20 | Example of an Isolated Spreader Defect at 1000X (Rate as Level 1, if < 40%) | 29 |
| | | | Figure 3-21 | Example of Multiple Spreader Defects on the Knee of a Hole at 1000X | 29 |
| | | | Figure 3-22 | Example of Black Band Defect (Jagged in Nature) with Varying Depth of Penetration Type of Corrosion at 1000X | 29 |
| | | | Figure 3-23 | Example of Black Band That Would be Rejectable if It Met the Minimum 30% Coverage of the Field of View at 1000X | 29 |
| | | | Figure 3-24 | Example of a Crack in the Nickel Deposit at 1000X | 30 |
| | | | Figure 3-25 | Example of Level 0 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X | 31 |
| | | | Figure 3-26 | Example of Level 0 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X | 31 |
| | | | Figure 3-27 | Level 1 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X | 31 |
| | | | Figure 3-28 | Level 1 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X | 31 |
| | | | Figure 3-29 | Level 2 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X | 32 |
| | | | Figure 3-30 | Level 2 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X | 32 |

Performance Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards

1 SCOPE

This performance specification sets requirements for Electroless Nickel/Immersion Gold (ENIG) deposit thicknesses for applications including soldering, wire bonding and as a contact finish. It is intended for use by chemical suppliers, printed board manufacturers, electronics manufacturing services (EMS) and original equipment manufacturers (OEM). This standard may be used to specify acceptance criteria to meet performance requirements in addition to those found in the IPC-6010 series (IPC-6012, IPC-6013 and IPC-6018) of standards. The ENIG deposit specified by using this document will meet the highest coating durability rating as specified in the J-STD-003 printed board solderability specification.

This specification is based on three critical factors:

- 1) The ENIG plating process is in control producing a normal distribution for nickel and gold deposit thickness.
- 2) That the tool used to measure the deposit and therefore control the process is accurate and reproducible for the thickness range specified.
- 3) That the ENIG plating process results in uniform deposit characteristics.

If any of these three critical factors are not met, then the deposit produced will not meet the performance criteria defined herein.

1.1 Purpose This specification sets the requirements specific to ENIG as a surface finish (see Table 3-1 for a summary of these requirements).

1.2 Description ENIG is an electroless nickel layer capped with a thin layer of immersion gold (IAu). It is a multifunctional surface finish, applicable to soldering, aluminum and copper wedge wire bonding, press fit connections, and as a contact surface. The immersion gold layer protects the underlying nickel from oxidation/passivation over its intended life. However, this layer is not impervious and it will not pass the requirements of a "classic" porosity test as defined in ASTM B735 and IPC-TM-650, Methods 2.3.24, 2.3.24.1 and 2.3.24.2.

1.3 Classification IPC standards recognize that electrical and electronic assemblies are subject to classifications by intended end-item use. Three general end-product classes have been established to reflect differences in manufacturability, complexity, functional performance requirements, and verification (inspection/test) frequency. It should be recognized that there may be overlaps of equipment between classes.

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically, the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance/Harsh Environment Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

1.4 Measurement Units All dimensions and tolerances in this specification are expressed in hard SI (metric) units and bracketed soft imperial [inch] units. Users of this specification are expected to use metric dimensions. All dimensions ≥ 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in millimeters and inches. All dimensions < 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in micrometers and microinches.

1.5 Definition of Requirements The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.