

**AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD**

**ANSI/ISA-95.00.03-2005**

**Enterprise-Control System Integration  
Part 3: Activity Models of Manufacturing  
Operations Management**

**Approved 6 June 2005**

ANSI/ISA-95.00.03-2005  
Enterprise-Control System Integration Part3: Activity Models of Manufacturing Operations Management  
ISBN: 978-1-55617-955-6

Copyright © 2005 by ISA–The Instrumentation, Systems, and Automation Society. All rights reserved. Not for resale. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise), without the prior written permission of the Publisher.

ISA  
67 Alexander Drive  
P. O. Box 12277  
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709  
USA

## PREFACE

This preface, as well as all footnotes and annexes, is included for information purposes and is not part of ANSI/ISA-95.00.03-2005.

The standards referenced within this document may contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute requirements of this document. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this document are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated within this document. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards. ANSI maintains registers of currently valid U.S. National Standards.

This document has been prepared as part of the service of ISA—The Instrumentation, Systems, and Automation Society, toward a goal of uniformity in the field of instrumentation. To be of real value, this document should not be static but should be subject to periodic review. Toward this end, the Society welcomes all comments and criticisms and asks that they be addressed to the Secretary, Standards and Practices Board; ISA; 67 Alexander Drive; P. O. Box 12277; Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; Telephone (919) 549-8411; Fax (919) 549-8288; E-mail: standards@isa.org.

The ISA Standards and Practices Department is aware of the growing need for attention to the metric system of units in general, and the International System of Units (SI) in particular, in the preparation of instrumentation standards. The Department is further aware of the benefits to USA users of ISA standards of incorporating suitable references to the SI (and the metric system) in their business and professional dealings with other countries. Toward this end, this Department will endeavor to introduce SI-acceptable metric units in all new and revised standards, recommended practices, and technical reports to the greatest extent possible. *Standard for Use of the International System of Units (SI): The Modern Metric System*, published by the American Society for Testing & Materials as IEEE/ASTM SI 10-97, and future revisions, will be the reference guide for definitions, symbols, abbreviations, and conversion factors.

It is the policy of ISA to encourage and welcome the participation of all concerned individuals and interests in the development of ISA standards, recommended practices, and technical reports. Participation in the ISA standards-making process by an individual in no way constitutes endorsement by the employer of that individual, of ISA, or of any of the standards, recommended practices, and technical reports that ISA develops.

**CAUTION — ISA ADHERES TO THE POLICY OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE WITH REGARD TO PATENTS. IF ISA IS INFORMED OF AN EXISTING PATENT THAT IS REQUIRED FOR USE OF THE STANDARD, IT WILL REQUIRE THE OWNER OF THE PATENT TO EITHER GRANT A ROYALTY-FREE LICENSE FOR USE OF THE PATENT BY USERS COMPLYING WITH THE STANDARD OR A LICENSE ON REASONABLE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT ARE FREE FROM UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION.**

**EVEN IF ISA IS UNAWARE OF ANY PATENT COVERING THIS STANDARD, THE USER IS CAUTIONED THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STANDARD MAY REQUIRE USE OF TECHNIQUES, PROCESSES, OR MATERIALS COVERED BY PATENT RIGHTS. ISA TAKES NO POSITION ON THE EXISTENCE OR VALIDITY OF ANY PATENT RIGHTS THAT MAY BE INVOLVED IN IMPLEMENTING THE STANDARD. ISA IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR IDENTIFYING ALL PATENTS THAT MAY REQUIRE A LICENSE BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STANDARD OR FOR INVESTIGATING THE VALIDITY OR SCOPE OF ANY PATENTS BROUGHT TO ITS ATTENTION. THE USER SHOULD CAREFULLY INVESTIGATE RELEVANT PATENTS BEFORE USING THE STANDARD FOR THE USER'S INTENDED APPLICATION.**

**HOWEVER, ISA ASKS THAT ANYONE REVIEWING THIS STANDARD WHO IS AWARE OF ANY PATENTS THAT MAY IMPACT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STANDARD NOTIFY THE ISA STANDARDS AND PRACTICES DEPARTMENT OF THE PATENT AND ITS OWNER.**

**ADDITIONALLY, THE USE OF THIS STANDARD MAY INVOLVE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, OPERATIONS OR EQUIPMENT. THE STANDARD CANNOT ANTICIPATE ALL POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS OR ADDRESS ALL POSSIBLE SAFETY ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH USE IN HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS. THE USER OF THIS STANDARD MUST EXERCISE SOUND PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT CONCERNING ITS USE AND APPLICABILITY UNDER THE USER'S PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES. THE USER MUST ALSO CONSIDER THE APPLICABILITY OF ANY GOVERNMENTAL REGULATORY LIMITATIONS AND ESTABLISHED SAFETY AND HEALTH PRACTICES BEFORE IMPLEMENTING THIS STANDARD.**

**THE USER OF THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE AWARE THAT THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE IMPACTED BY ELECTRONIC SECURITY ISSUES. THE COMMITTEE HAS NOT YET ADDRESSED THE POTENTIAL ISSUES IN THIS VERSION.**

The following individuals served as voting members of ISA-SP95 during the development of ANSI/ISA-95.00.03-2005.

<b>NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY</b>
Keith Unger *	Rockwell Automation and Stone Technologies Inc.
William Bosler **	Texas Consultants Inc.
Donald Clark **	Invensys Process Systems
Dennis Brandl ***	BR&L Consulting, Inc.
Chris Monchinski ****	Automated Control Concepts, Inc.
Gary Addison	Lyondell – Equistar Chemicals LP
David Adler	Eli Lilly & Co
Lynn Craig	MAA Inc
Eric Cosman	Dow Chemical
Paresh Dalwala	OpteBiz
Dennis Delaney	Accenture
Em delaHostria	Rockwell Automation
Stefano Dighero	Siemens
David Emerson	Yokogawa Corp. of America
Charlie Gifford	GE Fanuc America
Les Hastings	ProsCon Ltd
Gavan Hood~	Rockwell Automation
Jay Jeffreys~	Invensys Wonderware
Charlotta Johnsson	Siemens and Lund Institute of Technology
Alan Johnston	MIMOSA
Marc Leroux	ABB Inc.
Richard Martin	Aspen Technology
Yasuyuki Nishioka	Hosei University
Saroj Patnaik	Emerson Process Automation
Costantino Pipero	Invensys Wonderware
Anne Poorman~	ABB Automation Inc.
Leif Poulsen	Novo Nordisk Engineering, A/S
Leon Steinocher	Fluor Enterprises, Inc.
Swarandeeep Singh~	ABB AS
Jean Vieille	Consultant
Ray Walker	DuPont Engineering
Theodore Williams	Purdue University

\* Chairman

\*\* Vice Chairman

\*\*\* Editor

\*\*\*\* Secretary

~ Alternate

The following individuals also participated in the development of ANSI/ISA-95.00.03-2005:

<b>NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY</b>
Jon Barry	Queue Systems Inc.
Rafael Batres	Toyohashi University of Technology
Bernadine Brown	E I du Pont de Nemours and Co.
Rudy Bunger	BC Consulting
Yves Carrier	Keops Technologies Inc.
Anthony Chandler	Procon Ltd
David A. Chappell	Procter & Gamble
David Connelly	Open Applications Group
David Cornel	Procter & Gamble
Russ Dickenson	Dow Chemical
Lorenç Domingo	Aspen Technology
Steve Downs	Applied Creative Technologies
Bernard Dumortier	Schneider Electric
Daniel Dziadiw	Schering Plough Corp.
Joseph Faccenda	Aspen Technology
Christine Hermetet-Filez	AFNOR
Kevin Fitzgerald	Invensys
Tony Gallo	Adelphia
Alistair Gillanders	Aspen Technology
Boyd Gochenour	Aspen Technology
Mike Grasley	ASECO
Steve Graham	Dow Chemical
Graeme Hall	Aspen Technology
William Hawkins	HLQ Ltd.
Girish Joglekar	Purdue University
Hansil Kim	University of Ulsan
Eugene Lambert	Wunderlich-Malec
Jim Luth	OPC Foundation
Kishen Manjunath	Honeywell Process Solutions
Jean-Jacques Michel	IDPI Conseil
Mark Muroski	ABB Automation, Inc.
Paul Nowicki	Rockwell Automation
Ashok Rao	Aspen Technology
Gary Rathwell	Enterprise Consultants
Tom Reed	EnteGreat Inc.
Marty Richards	ABB Automation, Inc.
Thorsten Ruehl	Camstar
Nate Schallert	Eli Lilly & Co
Paul Schiller	BP America
Bianca Scholten	TWP and Ordina
Dave Shorter	IT Focus
Leng Shan	Southeast University
Bryan Singer	Rockwell Automation
Jeremy Suratt	SSA Global
Arne Svendsen	Arla Foods
Jason Toschlog	Flexware Innovation
Steve Williams	Aspen Technology
Gregory Winchester	NEMA
Chunhua Zhao	Purdue University

The following members served on the Standards & Practices Board and approved this standard on 1 June 2005.

<b>NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY</b>
I. Verhappen, President	Syncrude Canada, Ltd.
F. Amir	E I Du Pont Co.
D. Bishop	Consultant
M. Coppler	Ametek Inc.
B. Dumortier	Schneider Electric
W. Holland	Consultant
E. Icyan	ACES Inc.
A. Iverson	Ivy Optiks
R. Jones	Consultant
K. P. Lindner	Endress + Hauser Process Solutions
T. McAviney	Jacobs Engineering Group
A. McCauley	Chagrin Valley Controls Inc.
G. McFarland	Emerson Process Management
R. Reimer	Rockwell Automation
J. Rennie	Consultant
N. Sands	E I Du Pont Co.
H. Sasajima	Yamatake Corp.
T. Schnaare	Rosemount Inc.
A. Summers	SIS-TECH Solutions LLC
J. Tatera	Tatera & Associates
R. Webb	Consultant
W. Weidman	Parsons Energy and Chemicals
J. Weiss	KEMA Inc.
M. Widmeyer	Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
C. Williams	Eastman Kodak Co.
M. Zielinski	Emerson Process Management

## CONTENTS

1	Scope .....	15
2	Normative references .....	15
3	Definitions and abbreviations .....	15
3.1	Definitions .....	15
3.2	Abbreviations .....	17
4	Manufacturing operations management overview .....	18
4.1	Manufacturing operations management .....	18
4.2	Functional hierarchy .....	19
4.3	Manufacturing operations management elements .....	20
4.4	Criteria for defining activities below Level 4 .....	20
4.5	Activity relationships .....	21
4.6	Expanded categories of information .....	21
4.7	Manufacturing operations information .....	22
4.8	Other activities within manufacturing operations management.....	23
5	Structuring models.....	24
5.1	Generic template for categories of manufacturing operations management .....	24
5.2	Interaction among generic activity models .....	25
5.3	Expanded equipment hierarchy model .....	26
6	Production operations management .....	29
6.1	General activities in production operations management .....	29
6.2	Production operations management activity model.....	30
6.3	Information exchange in production operations management .....	31
6.4	Product definition management.....	31
6.5	Production resource management .....	33
6.6	Detailed production scheduling .....	37
6.7	Production dispatching .....	40
6.8	Production execution management .....	44
6.9	Production data collection.....	45
6.10	Production tracking .....	47
6.11	Production performance analysis .....	49
7	Maintenance operations management.....	54
7.1	General activities in maintenance operations management.....	54
7.2	Maintenance operations management activity model .....	54
7.3	Information exchanged in maintenance operations management.....	55
7.4	Maintenance definition management.....	57

7.5	Maintenance resource management .....	58
7.6	Detailed maintenance scheduling.....	58
7.7	Maintenance dispatching.....	59
7.8	Maintenance execution management.....	59
7.9	Maintenance data collection .....	59
7.10	Maintenance tracking.....	59
7.11	Maintenance analysis .....	60
8	Quality operations management .....	61
8.1	General activities in quality operations management.....	61
8.2	Quality test operations activity model .....	63
8.3	Information exchanged in quality test operations management.....	64
8.4	Quality test definition management .....	66
8.5	Quality test resource management.....	67
8.6	Detailed quality test scheduling .....	67
8.7	Quality test dispatching.....	68
8.8	Quality test execution management .....	68
8.9	Quality test data collection.....	69
8.10	Quality test tracking .....	70
8.11	Quality performance analysis .....	70
8.12	Supported activities .....	71
9	Inventory operations management.....	72
9.1	General activities in inventory operations management.....	72
9.2	Inventory operations management activity model.....	73
9.3	Information exchanged in inventory operations management.....	73
9.4	Inventory definition management .....	75
9.5	Inventory resource management.....	75
9.6	Detailed inventory scheduling.....	76
9.7	Inventory dispatching.....	77
9.8	Inventory execution management .....	77
9.9	Inventory data collection .....	78
9.10	Inventory tracking .....	79
9.11	Inventory analysis .....	79
10	Other enterprise activities affecting manufacturing operations .....	81
10.1	Other areas .....	81
10.2	Management of security .....	81
10.3	Management of information.....	82
10.4	Management of configuration .....	82



10.5	Management of documents .....	83
10.6	Management of regulatory compliance .....	83
10.7	Management of incidents and deviations .....	85
10.8	Completeness .....	86
10.9	Compliance .....	86
10.10	Conformance .....	86
Annex A – Technical and responsibility boundaries .....		87
Annex B - Scheduling hierarchy .....		93
Annex C - Associated Standards .....		95
Annex D – Frequently asked questions .....		99

## FIGURES

Figure 1 – Manufacturing operations management model .....	19
Figure 2 - Multi-level functional hierarchy of activities .....	20
Figure 3 - Activity relationships .....	21
Figure 4 – Categories of information exchange .....	22
Figure 5 - Manufacturing operations information .....	23
Figure 6 - Generic activity model of manufacturing operations management .....	25
Figure 7 – Typical expanded equipment hierarchy .....	26
Figure 8 - Work centers and work units .....	29
Figure 9 - Activity model of production operations management.....	30
Figure 10 - Product definition management activity model interfaces .....	32
Figure 11 – Production resource management activity model interfaces .....	34
Figure 12 - Resource management capacity reporting.....	35
Figure 13 - Detailed production scheduling activity model interfaces.....	37
Figure 14 - Splitting and merging production schedules to detailed production schedules.....	39
Figure 15 - Detailed production schedule .....	40
Figure 16 – Production dispatching activity model interfaces.....	41
Figure 17 - Sample production dispatch list.....	42
Figure 18 - Work dispatching for mixed process facility .....	43
Figure 19 - Production execution management activity model interfaces .....	44
Figure 20 - Production data collection activity model interfaces.....	46
Figure 21 - Production tracking activity model interfaces .....	47
Figure 22 - Merging and splitting production tracking information .....	48
Figure 23 - Production performance analysis activity model interfaces .....	49
Figure 24 - Activity model of maintenance operations management.....	55
Figure 25 – Activity model of quality test operations management .....	64
Figure 26 - Activity model of inventory operations management.....	73
Figure 27 – Inventory data collection activity model .....	79
Figure 28- Other enterprise activities affecting manufacturing operations .....	81
Figure 29 - Functions in management of regulatory compliance.....	84
Figure 30 - Different boundaries of responsibility .....	88
Figure 31 - Lines of technical integration .....	90
Figure 32 - Sample hierarchy of schedules and scheduling activities. ....	94
Figure 33 - CIM and standard levels.....	100

## FOREWORD

This standard is Part 3 of a multi-part set of standards that defines the interfaces between enterprise activities and control activities.

Clause 4 of this standard is informative. The intent is to provide an overview of activities associated with production operations management, maintenance operations management, inventory operations management, and quality operations management.

Clause 5 is normative. It defines a standard template that can be applied to different categories of manufacturing operations management and defines the standard terminology to apply to the equipment hierarchy model.

Clause 6 is normative. It defines the standard terminology to apply to information and activities associated with production operations management.

Clause 7 is normative. It defines the standard terminology to apply to information and activities associated with maintenance operations management.

Clause 8 is normative. It defines the standard terminology to apply to information and activities associated with quality operations management.

Clause 9 is normative. It defines the standard terminology to apply to information and activities associated with inventory operations management.

Clause 10 is informative. The intent is to describe other activities in manufacturing operations management, not defined in previous clauses, and to list relevant standards in those areas.

Clause 11 is normative. It defines the criteria for completeness, compliance and conformance in applying the standard.

Annex A is informative. It illustrates how the rules for determining the manufacturing operations management responsibility and technology boundaries can be applied to different manufacturing enterprises.

Annex B is informative. It illustrates an example of the hierarchy of scheduling within an enterprise.

Annex C is informative. It lists standards associated with the other activities in manufacturing operations management listed in Clause 10.

Annex D is informative. It answers a list of frequently asked questions about this standard.

As currently envisioned, the ANSI/ISA-95 series will consist of the following parts under the general title, Enterprise-Control System Integration:

- Part 1: Models and terminology (published 2000)
- Part 2: Object model attributes (published 2001)
- Part 3: Activity models of manufacturing operations management (published 2005)
- Part 4: Object models and attributes of manufacturing operations management activities (in development at the time of publication of this standard)
- Part 5: Business-to-manufacturing transactions (in development at the time of publication of this standard)
- Part 6: Manufacturing operations transactions (in development at the time of publication of this standard)

This is a preview of "ANSI/ISA 95.00.03-20...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

This page intentionally left blank.

## INTRODUCTION

This Part 3 standard shows activity models and data flows for manufacturing information that enables enterprise-control system integration. The modeled activities operate between Level 4 logistics and planning functions and Level 2 manual and automated process control functions. The models are consistent with the ANSI/ISA-95.00.01-2000 (hereinafter referred to as "Part 1") object models and the Level 3 (Manufacturing Operations and Control) definitions.

The goal of the ANSI/ISA-95 series of standards is to reduce the risk, cost, and errors associated with implementing enterprise systems and manufacturing operations systems in such a way that they interoperate and easily integrate. The standards may also be used to reduce the effort associated with implementing new product offerings.

This Part 3 standard provides models and terminology for defining the activities of manufacturing operations management. The models and terminology defined in this standard:

- Emphasize good practices of manufacturing operations.
- Can be used to improve existing manufacturing operations systems.
- Can be applied regardless of the degree of automation.

Some potential benefits produced when applying the standard may include:

- Reducing the time to reach full production levels for new products.
- Enabling vendors to supply appropriate tools for manufacturing operations.
- Enabling more uniform and consistent identification of manufacturing needs.
- Reducing the cost of automating manufacturing processes.
- Optimizing supply chains.
- Improving efficiency in life-cycle engineering efforts.

It is not the intent of this standard to:

- Suggest that there is only one way of implementing manufacturing operations.
- Force users to abandon their current way of handling manufacturing operations.
- Restrict development in the area of manufacturing operations.
- Restrict use only to manufacturing industries.

This is a preview of "ANSI/ISA 95.00.03-20...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

This page intentionally left blank.

## 1 Scope

This Part 3 standard defines activity models of manufacturing operations management that enable enterprise system to control system integration. The activities defined in this Part 3 standard are consistent with the Part 1 object models definitions. The modeled activities operate between business planning and logistics functions, defined as the Part 1 Level 4 functions, and the process control functions, defined as the Part 1 Level 2 functions.

The scope of this Part 3 standard is limited to:

- A model of the activities associated with manufacturing operations management, Level 3 functions.
- An identification of some of the data exchanged between Level 3 activities.

## 2 Normative references

The following normative documents contain provisions that, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All normative documents are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid normative documents.

- a) ANSI/ISA-95.00.01-2000, Enterprise-Control System Integration Part 1: Models and Terminology
- b) ANSI/ISA-95.00.02-2001, Enterprise-Control System Integration Part 2: Object Model Attributes
- c) IEC/ISO 62264-1:2003, Enterprise-control system integration - Part 1: Models and terminology
- d) IEC/ISO 62264-2:2004, Enterprise-control system integration - Part 2: Object model attributes
- e) ANSI/ISA-88.01-1995, Batch Control Part 1: Models and Terminology
- f) ANSI/ISA-88.00.02-2001, Batch Control Part 2: Data Structures and Guidelines for Languages
- g) IEC 61512-1:1997, Batch control - Part 1: Models and terminology
- h) IEC 61512-2:2001, Batch control - Part 2: Data structures and guidelines for languages

## 3 Definitions and abbreviations

### 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this standard, the following definitions apply:

#### 3.1.1 detailed production schedule:

organized and structured collection of production work orders and sequencing involved in production of one or more products.

#### 3.1.2 finite capacity scheduling:

a scheduling methodology in which work is scheduled for production resources, such that no production resource capacity requirement exceeds the capacity available to the production resource.

#### 3.1.3 inventory operations management:

activities within Level 3 of a manufacturing facility that coordinate, direct, manage, and track inventory and material movement within manufacturing operations.

#### 3.1.4 Level 0:

the actual physical process.