



Standards

- Certification
- Education & Training
- Publishing
- Conferences & Exhibits

Setting the Standard for Automation™

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

ANSI/ISA-95.00.04-2018

Enterprise-Control System Integration – Part 4: Objects and Attributes for Manufacturing Operations Management Integration

Approved 24 May 2018

NOTICE OF COPYRIGHT

This is a copyright document and may not be copied or distributed in any form or manner without the permission of ISA. This copy of the document was made for the sole use of the person to whom ISA provided it and is subject to the restrictions stated in ISA's license to that person. It may not be provided to any other person in print, electronic, or any other form. Violations of ISA's copyright will be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law and may result in substantial civil and criminal penalties.

ANSI/ ISA-95.00.04-2018

Enterprise-Control System Integration – Part 4: Objects and Attributes for Manufacturing Operations Management Integration

ISBN: 978-1-64331-026-8

Copyright © 2018 by the International Society of Automation (ISA). All rights reserved. Not for resale. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise), without the prior written permission of the Publisher.

ISA
67 T.W. Alexander Drive
P.O. Box 12277
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

PREFACE

This preface, as well as all footnotes and annexes, is included for information purposes and is not part of ANSI/ISA-95.00.04-2018.

The standards referenced within this document may contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute requirements of this document. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this document are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated within this document. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards. ANSI maintains registers of currently valid U.S. National Standards.

This document has been prepared as part of the service of ISA, the International Society of Automation Society, toward a goal of uniformity in the field of instrumentation. To be of real value, this document should not be static but should be subject to periodic review. Toward this end, the Society welcomes all comments and criticisms and asks that they be addressed to the Secretary, Standards and Practices Board; ISA; 67 T.W. Alexander Drive; P. O. Box 12277; Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; Telephone (919) 549-8411; Fax (919) 549-8288; E-mail: standards@isa.org.

The ISA Standards and Practices Department is aware of the growing need for attention to the metric system of units in general, and the International System of Units (SI) in particular, in the preparation of instrumentation standards. The Department is further aware of the benefits to USA users of ISA standards of incorporating suitable references to the SI (and the metric system) in their business and professional dealings with other countries. Toward this end, this Department will endeavor to introduce SI-acceptable metric units in all new and revised standards, recommended practices, and technical reports to the greatest extent possible. *Standard for Use of the International System of Units (SI): The Modern Metric System*, published by the American Society for Testing & Materials as IEEE/ASTM SI 10-97, and future revisions, will be the reference guide for definitions, symbols, abbreviations, and conversion factors.

It is the policy of ISA to encourage and welcome the participation of all concerned individuals and interests in the development of ISA standards, recommended practices, and technical reports. Participation in the ISA standards-making process by an individual in no way constitutes endorsement by the employer of that individual, of ISA, or of any of the standards, recommended practices, and technical reports that ISA develops.

CAUTION — ISA ADHERES TO THE POLICY OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE WITH REGARD TO PATENTS. IF ISA IS INFORMED OF AN EXISTING PATENT THAT IS REQUIRED FOR USE OF THE STANDARD, IT WILL REQUIRE THE OWNER OF THE PATENT TO EITHER GRANT A ROYALTY-FREE LICENSE FOR USE OF THE PATENT BY USERS COMPLYING WITH THE STANDARD OR A LICENSE ON REASONABLE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT ARE FREE FROM UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION.

EVEN IF ISA IS UNAWARE OF ANY PATENT COVERING THIS STANDARD, THE USER IS CAUTIONED THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STANDARD MAY REQUIRE USE OF TECHNIQUES, PROCESSES, OR MATERIALS COVERED BY PATENT RIGHTS. ISA TAKES NO POSITION ON THE EXISTENCE OR VALIDITY OF ANY PATENT RIGHTS THAT MAY BE INVOLVED IN IMPLEMENTING THE STANDARD. ISA IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR IDENTIFYING ALL PATENTS THAT MAY REQUIRE A LICENSE BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STANDARD OR FOR INVESTIGATING THE VALIDITY OR SCOPE OF ANY PATENTS BROUGHT TO ITS ATTENTION. THE USER SHOULD CAREFULLY INVESTIGATE RELEVANT PATENTS BEFORE USING THE STANDARD FOR THE USER'S INTENDED APPLICATION.

HOWEVER, ISA ASKS THAT ANYONE REVIEWING THIS STANDARD WHO IS AWARE OF ANY PATENTS THAT MAY IMPACT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STANDARD NOTIFY THE ISA STANDARDS AND PRACTICES DEPARTMENT OF THE PATENT AND ITS OWNER.

ADDITIONALLY, THE USE OF THIS STANDARD MAY INVOLVE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, OPERATIONS OR EQUIPMENT. THE STANDARD CANNOT ANTICIPATE ALL POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS OR ADDRESS ALL POSSIBLE SAFETY ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH USE IN HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS. THE USER OF THIS STANDARD MUST EXERCISE SOUND PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT CONCERNING ITS USE AND APPLICABILITY UNDER THE USER'S PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES. THE USER MUST ALSO CONSIDER THE APPLICABILITY OF ANY GOVERNMENTAL REGULATORY LIMITATIONS AND ESTABLISHED SAFETY AND HEALTH PRACTICES BEFORE IMPLEMENTING THIS STANDARD.

THE USER OF THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE AWARE THAT THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE IMPACTED BY ELECTRONIC SECURITY ISSUES. THE COMMITTEE HAS NOT YET ADDRESSED THE POTENTIAL ISSUES IN THIS VERSION.

ISA (www.isa.org) is a nonprofit professional association that sets the standard for those who apply engineering and technology to improve the management, safety, and cybersecurity of modern automation and control systems used across industry and critical infrastructure. Founded in 1945, ISA develops widely used global standards; certifies industry professionals; provides education and training; publishes books and technical articles; hosts conferences and exhibits; and provides networking and career development programs for its 40,000 members and 400,000 customers around the world.

ISA owns Automation.com, a leading online publisher of automation-related content, and is the founding sponsor of The Automation Federation (www.automationfederation.org), an association of non-profit organizations serving as "The Voice of Automation." Through a wholly owned subsidiary, ISA bridges the gap between standards and their implementation with the ISA Security Compliance Institute (www.isasecure.org) and the ISA Wireless Compliance Institute (www.isa100wci.org).

This standard was approved by the ISA Standards and Practices Board on 16 April 2018.

Contents

FOREWORD	15
INTRODUCTION	17
1 Scope	19
2 Normative references	19
3 Terms, definitions, abbreviations, and conventions.....	19
3.1 Terms and definitions	19
3.2 Abbreviations	21
3.3 Conventions	22
3.3.1 General.....	22
3.3.2 UML notation in information object models	22
3.3.3 Object color convention for object relationship between UML models	22
3.3.4 Relationship types.....	22
3.3.5 Relationship table	22
3.3.6 Relationship role table.....	22
3.3.7 Object attribute tables	22
3.3.8 Relationships between information models and resource models.....	22
4 Information exchange between manufacturing operations activities	22
4.1 Manufacturing operations management information models	22
4.1.1 General.....	22
4.1.2 Information models.....	22
4.1.3 Relationship of exchange information models to operations management activity categories	23
4.1.4 Cross model relationships for MOM activity context in exchanges	23
4.1.5 Abstract UML model information.....	23
4.1.6 Attributes of an object in an information model	23
4.2 Information exchange network between MOM activities	23
4.2.1 Process segment, operations segment, and work master information models	24
4.2.2 Common resource definitions	24
4.2.3 Work information models.....	25
5 Resource relationship network information	25
5.1 Resource relationship network model	25
5.2 Resource relationship network.....	28
5.3 Resource relationship network property	28
5.4 Resource network connection.....	29
5.5 Resource network connection property	30
5.6 From resource reference	31
5.7 From resource reference property.....	32
5.8 To resource reference	33

5.9	To resource reference property	34
5.10	Resource network connection type	35
5.11	Resource network connection type property	35
6	Work definition information	36
6.1	Work definition model	36
6.2	Work master	40
6.3	Work directive	40
6.4	Work definition	41
6.5	Work master relationship roles and attributes	43
6.6	Work directive relationship roles and attributes	44
6.7	Parameter specification	45
6.8	Personnel specification	45
6.9	Personnel specification property	45
6.10	Equipment specification	45
6.11	Equipment specification property	45
6.12	Physical asset specification	45
6.13	Physical asset specification property	45
6.14	Material specification	45
6.15	Material specification property	45
6.16	Workflow specification information	45
6.16.1	Workflow specification model	45
6.16.2	Workflow specification	49
6.16.3	Workflow specification property	51
6.16.4	Workflow specification node	51
6.16.5	Workflow specification node property	52
6.16.6	Workflow specification connection	53
6.16.7	Workflow specification connection property	54
6.16.8	Workflow specification node type	55
6.16.9	Workflow specification node type property	56
6.16.10	Workflow specification connection type	57
6.16.11	Workflow specification connection type property	57
7	Work schedule information	58
7.1	Work schedule model	58
7.2	Work schedule	63
7.3	Work request	66
7.4	Job list definition	67
7.5	Job list	68
7.6	Job order	69
7.7	Job order parameter	71
7.8	Personnel requirement	72
7.9	Personnel requirement property	72
7.10	Equipment requirement	72
7.11	Equipment requirement property	72
7.12	Physical asset requirement	72

7.13	Physical asset requirement property	72
7.14	Material requirement.....	72
7.15	Material requirement property.....	72
7.16	Job order to work master relationship	73
8	Work performance information	73
8.1	Work performance model.....	73
8.2	Work performance	76
8.3	Work response	78
8.4	Job response list	79
8.5	Job response.....	80
8.6	Job response data	82
8.7	Personnel actual.....	83
8.8	Personnel actual property.....	83
8.9	Equipment actual.....	83
8.10	Equipment actual property	83
8.11	Physical asset actual	83
8.12	Physical asset actual property	83
8.13	Material actual.....	83
8.14	Material actual property	83
8.15	Test result	83
9	Work capability information	83
9.1	Work capability model	83
9.2	Personnel capability	87
9.3	Personnel capability property	87
9.4	Equipment capability	87
9.5	Equipment capability property.....	88
9.6	Physical asset capability.....	88
9.7	Physical asset capability property.....	88
9.8	Material capability	88
9.9	Material capability property.....	88
10	Work master capability information.....	88
10.1	Work master capability model.....	88
10.2	Work master capability	90
10.3	Personnel capability	93
10.4	Personnel capability property	93
10.5	Equipment capability	93
10.6	Equipment capability property.....	93
10.7	Physical asset capability.....	93
10.8	Physical asset capability property.....	93
10.9	Material capability	93
10.10	Material capability property.....	93
11	Work KPI information	93
12	Work alert information	94
12.1	Work alert model	94

12.2	Work alert definition.....	95
12.3	Work alert definition property.....	95
12.4	Work alert.....	97
12.5	Work alert property.....	97
13	Work calendar information.....	98
13.1	Work calendar model.....	98
13.2	Work calendar definition.....	100
13.3	Work calendar definition property.....	100
13.4	Work calendar definition entry.....	101
13.5	Work calendar definition entry property.....	102
13.6	Work calendar.....	103
13.7	Work calendar property.....	103
13.8	Work calendar entry.....	104
13.9	Work calendar entry property.....	105
14	Documents for the scheduling, execution, and reporting of work.....	105
15	Work record information.....	106
15.1	Operations record model (abstract).....	106
15.2	Work record information, an operations record specialization.....	107
15.3	Work record specification.....	108
15.4	Work record model.....	110
15.5	Work record.....	111
15.6	Work record entry.....	113
16	Interrelationships between object models.....	114
17	Compliance.....	118
Annex A (informative) Questions and answers about object use.....		119
A.1	How are dependencies in the <i>work schedule</i> and <i>work response</i> handled?.....	119
A.2	Use of hierarchy scope in workflow specification model.....	119
A.3	What are examples of resource relationships?.....	119
Annex B (informative) Related standards.....		123
Annex C (informative) Representing a workflow specification in BPMN.....		125
Annex D (informative) Representing a workflow specification in flowchart notation.....		129
Annex E (informative) Example of work calendars.....		131
E.1	Four-day 24-hour shift pattern.....	131
E.2	Example of ISO 8601 format strings.....	132
E.3	Bank holiday work calendar.....	133
Annex F (informative) Examples of work record implementation.....		135
F.1	Representation of ISA-88 production record.....	135
F.2	Extension of ISA-88 production record to included ISA-95 objects.....	136
F.3	Work record model as presented in IEC WG5.....	136
F.3.1	Work record definition.....	136
F.3.2	Work record.....	137
F.3.3	Work record extensions.....	138
F.3.4	Work record model example.....	140

F.3.5	Work record entry.....	141
F.3.6	Work record container objects	143
F.3.7	Event types and subtypes	143
Bibliography		145

Figures

Figure 1 – Information exchange between manufacturing operations management activities.....	24
Figure 2 – Resource relationship network model	26
Figure 3 – Work definition model	37
Figure 4 – Relationship of work master to work directive	41
Figure 5 – Workflow specification model.....	46
Figure 6 – Example, a workflow specification in BPMN format	49
Figure 7 – Example, workflow specification in flowchart format	49
Figure 8 – Work schedule model	59
Figure 9 – Example, operations schedule for a site.....	62
Figure 10 – Example, work schedule for an area	62
Figure 11 – Example, work request, job order, and job list.....	63
Figure 12 – Example, Work request for continuous processing	63
Figure 13 – Example, job orders and work master relationships.....	73
Figure 14 – Work performance model	74
Figure 15 – Work capability model.....	84
Figure 16 – Work master capability model	89
Figure 17 – Work alert model.....	94
Figure 18 – Work calendar model	99
Figure 19 – Operations record model (abstract).....	106
Figure 20 – Work record activities	108
Figure 21 – Work record model.....	110
Figure 22 – Relationship between models	116
Figure A.1 – Equipment resources.....	120
Figure A.2 – Routing relationship network	120
Figure A.3 – Gas main relationship network.....	121
Figure A.4 – “Usable in” relationship network	121
Figure B.1 – Relationship between Part 2, Part 4, and ANSI/ISA-88 (IEC 61512) standards	124
Figure C.1 – Example, workflow specification in BPMN notation	127
Figure C.2 – Example workflow process in the workflow specification model	128
Figure D.1 – Example, workflow specification in flowchart notation	129
Figure D.2 – Example workflow process in the workflow specification model	130
Figure F.1 – Work record activities	137
Figure F.2 – Example, Work record container	139
Figure F.3 – Example, work record element reference	140
Figure F.4 – Example, work record model.....	141

Tables

Table 1 – Resource relationship network model relationships	27
Table 2 – Resource relationship network relationships roles	28
Table 3 – Resource relationship network attributes	28
Table 4 – Resource relationship network property relationship roles	29
Table 5 – Resource relationship network property attributes	29
Table 6 – Resource network connection relationship roles	30
Table 7 – Resource network connection attributes	30
Table 8 – Resource network connection property relationship roles	30
Table 9 – Resource network connection property attributes	31
Table 10 – From resource reference relationship roles	31
Table 11 – From resource reference attributes	32
Table 12 – From resource reference property relationships roles	32
Table 13 – From resource reference property attributes	33
Table 14 – To resource reference relationships roles	33
Table 15 – To resource reference attributes	33
Table 16 – To resource reference property relationships roles	34
Table 17 – To resource reference property attributes	35
Table 18 – Resource network connection type relationship roles	35
Table 19 – Resource network connection type attributes	35
Table 20 – Resource network connection type property relationship roles	36
Table 21 – Resource network connection type property attributes	36
Table 22 – Work definition model relationships	38
Table 23 – Additional material specification attributes	41
Table 24 – Work definition relationship roles	42
Table 25 – Work definition attributes (common in work master and work directive)	42
Table 26 – Work master relationship roles	43
Table 27 – Work master attributes	44
Table 28 – Work directive relationship roles	44
Table 29 – Workflow specification model relationships	46
Table 30 – Workflow specification relationship roles	50
Table 31 – Workflow specification attributes	50
Table 32 – Workflow specification property relationship roles	51
Table 33 – Workflow specification property attributes	51
Table 34 – Workflow specification node relationship roles	52
Table 35 – Workflow specification node attributes	52
Table 36 – Workflow specification node property relationship roles	53
Table 37 – Workflow specification node property attributes	53

Table 38 – Workflow specification connection relationship roles	53
Table 39 – Workflow specification connection attributes	54
Table 40 – Workflow specification connection property relationship roles	55
Table 41 – Workflow specification connection property attributes	55
Table 42 – Workflow specification node type relationship roles	56
Table 43 – Workflow specification node type attributes	56
Table 44 – Workflow specification node type property relationship roles	56
Table 45 – Workflow specification node type property attributes	56
Table 46 – Workflow specification connection type relationship roles.....	57
Table 47 – Workflow specification connection type attributes.....	57
Table 48 – Workflow specification connection type property relationship roles	58
Table 49 – Workflow specification connection type property attributes	58
Table 50 – Work schedule model relationships	60
Table 51 – Work schedule relationship roles.....	64
Table 52 – Work schedule attributes.....	64
Table 53 – Work request relationship roles	66
Table 54 – Work request attributes	66
Table 55 – Job list relationship roles	68
Table 56 – Job list attributes.....	68
Table 57 – Job order relationship roles	69
Table 58 – Job order attributes	70
Table 59 – Job order parameter relationship roles	72
Table 60 – Work performance model relationships.....	74
Table 61 – Work performance relationship roles	76
Table 62 – Work performance attributes	76
Table 63 – Work response relationship roles	78
Table 64 – Work response attributes	78
Table 65 – Job response list relationship roles	79
Table 66 – Job response list attributes	80
Table 67 – Job response relationship roles.....	81
Table 68 – Job response attributes.....	81
Table 69 – Job response data relationship roles	82
Table 70 – Work capability model relationships	84
Table 71 – Work capability relationship roles	86
Table 72 – Work capability attributes	86
Table 73 – Work master capability model relationships	89
Table 74 – Work master capability relationship roles	91
Table 75 – Work master capability attributes	91
Table 76 – Work alert model relationships	94

Table 77 – Work alert definition relationship roles	95
Table 78 – Work alert definition attributes	95
Table 79 – Work alert definition property relationship roles	96
Table 80 – Work alert definition property attributes	96
Table 81 – Examples of work alert properties	96
Table 82 – Work alert relationship roles.....	97
Table 83 – Work alert attributes.....	97
Table 84 – Work alert property relationship roles	98
Table 85 – Work alert property attributes	98
Table 86 – Work calendar model relationships.....	99
Table 87 – Work calendar definition relationship roles	100
Table 88 – Work calendar definition attributes	100
Table 89 – Work calendar definition property relationship roles	101
Table 90 – Work calendar definition property attributes	101
Table 91 – Work calendar definition entry relationship roles	101
Table 92 – Work calendar definition entry attributes	101
Table 93 – Work calendar definition entry property relationship roles	102
Table 94 – Work calendar definition entry property attributes	103
Table 95 – Work calendar relationship roles	103
Table 96 – Work calendar attributes	103
Table 97 – Work calendar property relationship roles	104
Table 98 – Work calendar property attributes	104
Table 99 – Work calendar entry relationship roles	104
Table 100 – Work calendar entry attributes	104
Table 101 – Work calendar entry property relationship roles.....	105
Table 102 – Work calendar entry property attributes.....	105
Table 103 – Operations record model relationships	107
Table 104 – Work record specification relationship roles	109
Table 105 – Work record specification attributes	109
Table 106 – Work record model relationships	111
Table 107 – Work record relationship roles.....	111
Table 108 – Work record attributes.....	111
Table 109 – Work record entry relationships	113
Table 110 – Work record entry attributes	114
Table 111 – Objects and models	117
Table E.1 – Four-day 24-hour shift pattern example	131
Table E.2 – Work calendar definition for 4-day 24-hour shift entry examples.....	131
Table E.3 – Work calendar definition entry for 4-day 24-hour shift example	131
Table E.4 – Work calendar entries for 2014 shift calendar	132

Table E.5 – Work calendar definition for 2014 England bank holidays	133
Table E.6 – Work calendar definition entries for 2014 England bank holidays	133
Table F.1 – ISA-88 objects as presented in the work record specification	135
Table F.2 – ISA-88 model representation of the allowed content of the <i>work record</i>	136
Table F.3 – Work record entry relationship	142
Table F.4 – Work record entry attributes	142
Table F.5 – Additional event types and subtypes	143

FOREWORD

This is Part 4 of a series of standards that defines the interfaces between Level 3 manufacturing operations management activities.

The scope of this Part 4 standard is limited to defining the details of the interface content within manufacturing operations management. The scope of this Part 4 standard is limited to the definition of object models and attributes for the information defined in ISA-95 Part 3. The goal is to reduce the effort, cost, and errors associated with implementing these interfaces.

The standard may be used to reduce the effort associated with implementing new product offerings. The goal is to have enterprise systems and control systems that interoperate and easily integrate.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. Therefore, the first three clauses present the scope of the standard, normative references, and definitions, in that order.

Clause 4 is informative. It describes the general information about the object information models for manufacturing operations management. It defines the general information model characteristics.

Clause 5 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for resource relationship network model.

Clause 6 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work definition information and workflow specification information.

Clause 7 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work schedule information.

Clause 8 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work performance information.

Clause 9 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work capability information.

Clause 10 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work master capability information.

Clause 11 is normative. It defines the references for Work KPI information.

Clause 12 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work alert information.

Clause 13 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work calendar information.

Clause 14 is informative. It defines the how to exchange documents for the scheduling, execution and reporting of work.

Clause 15 is normative. It defines the object information model, relationships, and attributes for work record information.

Clause 16 is informative. It defines the inter-relationships between the object models and lists the objects defined in the standard as an aid to documenting conformance and compliance.

Clause 17 is normative. It defines completeness, conformance and compliance criteria associated with the objects and attributes.

Annex A is informative. It contains questions and answers on the use and reason for elements in the standard.

Annex B is informative. It provides details on related standards.

Annex C is informative. It illustrates how to represent a workflow specification in BPMN.

Annex D is informative. It illustrates how to represent a workflow specification in flowchart notation.

Annex E is informative. It illustrates examples of work calendars.

Annex F is informative. It illustrates an example of a work record implementation.

INTRODUCTION

This ISA-95 Part 4 standard further defines the object models and attributes involved in data exchange between activities of manufacturing operations management defined in the Part 3 standard. The models and terminology defined in ISA-95 Part 3 and this Part 4:

- emphasize good manufacturing operations management integration practices during the entire life cycle of the systems;
- can be used to improve existing integration capability of manufacturing operations management systems; and
- can be applied regardless of the degree of automation.

Specifically, ISA-95 Part 3 and Part 4 provide a standard terminology and a consistent set of concepts and models for integrating manufacturing operations management systems that will improve communications between all parties involved. Benefits produced will reduce a user's time to reach full production levels for new products because they:

- enable vendors to supply appropriate tools for implementing integration of manufacturing operations management systems;
- enable users to better identify their needs;
- reduce the cost of automating manufacturing processes;
- optimize supply chains; and
- reduce life-cycle engineering efforts.

ISA-95 Part 3 and Part 4 may be used to reduce the effort associated with implementing new product offerings. The goal is to have manufacturing operations management systems that interoperate and easily integrate.

It is not the intent of the standards to:

- suggest that there is only one way of implementing integration of manufacturing operations management systems;
- force users to abandon their current way of handling integration; or
- restrict development in the area of integration of manufacturing operations management systems.

This is a preview of "ANSI/ISA 95.00.04-20...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

This page intentionally left blank.

1 Scope

This ISA-95 Part 4 standard defines object models and attributes exchanged between Level 3 manufacturing operations management activities defined in ISA-95 Part 3.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ANSI/ISA-95.00.01-2010, *Enterprise-control system integration – Part 1: Models and terminology* (referred to hereafter in the standard as ISA-95 Part 1 or simply “Part 1”)

ANSI/ISA-95.00.02-2010, *Enterprise-control system integration – Part 2: Objects and attributes for enterprise-control system integration* (referred to hereafter in the standard as ISA-95 Part 2 or simply “Part 2”)

ANSI/ISA-95.00.03, *Enterprise-control system integration – Part 3: Activity models of manufacturing operations management* (referred to hereafter in the standard as ISA-95 Part 3 or simply “Part 3”)

IEC 61512-1, *Batch control – Part 1: Models and terminology*

IEC 61512-4:2009, *Batch control – Part 4: Batch production records*

ISO/IEC 19501, *Information technology – Open Distributed Processing – Unified Modeling Language (UML) Version 1.4.2*

ISO/IEC 19505-1, *Information technology – Object Management Group Unified Modeling Language (OMG UML) – Part 1: Infrastructure*

ISO/IEC 19505-2, *Information technology – Object Management Group Unified Modeling Language (OMG UML) – Part 2: Superstructure*

ISO 8601, *Data elements and interchange formats – Information interchange – Representation of dates and times*

ISO 22400-1, *Automation systems and integration – Key performance indicators (KPIs) for manufacturing operations management – Part 1: Overview, concepts and terminology*

ISO 22400-2, *Automation systems and integration – Key performance indicators (KPIs) for manufacturing operations management – Part 2: Definitions and descriptions*

IEC 62682, *Management of Alarm Systems for the Process Industries*

3 Terms, definitions, abbreviations, and conventions

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISA-95 Part 1 (see Clause 2 above) apply, as do the following:

3.1.1

batch production record (BPR)

subset of the execution and business information that is retained based upon business requirements identified by the batch production record specification