## ISA-TR84.00.06

# Safety Fieldbus Design Considerations for Process Industry Sector Applications

Approved 2 October 2009

ISA–TR84.00.06 Safety Fieldbus Design Considerations for Process Industry Sector Applications

ISBN: 978-1-936007-33-2

Copyright © 2009 by the International Society of Automation. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise), without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ISA 67 Alexander Drive P.O. Box 12277 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709 E-mail: standards@isa.org - 3 -

ISA-TR84.00.06

## Preface

This preface is included for information purposes only and is not part of ISA-TR84.00.06.

This technical report has been prepared as part of the service of ISA, the International Society of Automation. To be of real value, this document should not be static but should be subject to periodic review. Toward this end, the Society welcomes all comments and criticisms and asks that they be addressed to the Secretary, Standards and Practices Board; ISA, 67 Alexander Drive; P.O. Box 12277; Research Triangle Park, NC 277099; Telephone (919) 549-8411; Fax (919) 549-8288; E-mail: standards@isa.org.

This ISA Standards and Practices Department is aware of the growing need for attention to the metric system of units in general, and the International System of Units (SI) in particular, in the preparation of instrumentation standards, recommended practices, and technical reports. The Department is further aware of the benefits of USA users of ISA standards of incorporating suitable references to the SI (and the metric system) in their business and professional dealings with other countries. Toward this end, the Department will endeavor to introduce SI and acceptable metric units in all new and revised standards to the greatest extent possible. The Metric Practice Guide, which has been published by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) as ANSI/IEEE Std. 268-1992, and future revisions, will be the reference guide for definitions, symbols, abbreviations, and conversion factors.

It is the policy of ISA to encourage and welcome the participation of all concerned individuals and interests in the development of ISA standards. Participation in the ISA standards-making process by an individual in no way constitutes endorsement by the employer of that individual, of ISA, or of any of the standards, recommended practices, and technical reports that ISA develops.

ISA-TR84.00.06

- 4 -

The following served as voting members of ISA84 and approved this technical report:

NAME	COMPANY	
W. Johnson, Chair	E I du Pont	
V. Maggioli, Managing Director	Feltronics Corp	
R. Adamski	RA Safety Consulting LLC	
T. Ando	Yokogawa Electric Co	
R. Avali	Westinghouse Electric Corp	
L. Beckman	Safeplex Systems Inc	
J. Campbell	ConocoPhillips	
I. Chen	Aramco	
M. Coppler	Ametek Inc	
M. Corbo	ExxonMobil	
K. Dejmek	Baker Engineering & Risk Consultants	
P. Early	Langdon Coffman Services	
K. Gandhi	KBR	
J. Gilman	JFG Technology Transfer LLC	
W. Goble	Exida	
P. Gruhn	ICS Triplex	
B. Hampshire	BP	
J. Harris	UOP A Honeywell Company	
J. Jamison	EnCana Corporation Ltd	
R. Johnson	Dow Process Automation SIS SME	
K. Klein	Celanese Corp	
T. Layer	Emerson Process Management	
E. Marszal	Kenexis Consulting Corp	
N. McLeod	ARKEMA	
R. Peterson	Lyondell Chemical Company	
G. Ramachandran	Shell Global Solutions US	
M. Scott	AE Solutions	
D. Sniezek	Lockheed Martin Federal Services	
C. Sossman	CLS Tech-Reg Consultants	
R. Strube	Strube Industries	
A. Summers	SIS-TECH Solutions LP	
L. Suttinger	Savannah River Nuclear Solutions	
R. Taubert	Consultant	
H. Thomas	Air Products & Chemicals Inc	
T. Walczak	Conversions Inc	
M. Weber	System Safety Inc	
A. Woltman	Shell Global Solutions	
P. Wright	BHP Engineering & Construction Inc	
D. Zetterberg	Chevron Energy Technology Company	

- 5 -

ISA-TR84.00.06

The following served as members of the ISA Standards and Practices board and approved this technical report:

NAME	COMPANY
J. Tatera, VP	Consultant
D. Dunn, VP Elect	Aramco Services Co
P. Brett	Honeywell, Inc
M. Coppler	Ametek, Inc
E. Cosman	The Dow Chemical Co
B. Dumortier	Schneider Electric
R. Dunn	DuPont Engineering
J. Gilsinn	NIST/MEL
E. Icayan	ACES Inc
J. Jamison	EnCana Corporation Ltd
D. Kaufman	Honeywell International Inc
K. Lindner	Endress+Hauser Process Solutions AG
V. Maggioli	Feltronics Corp
T. McAvinew	I&C Engineering LLC
G. McFarland	Emerson Process Mgmt Power & Water Sol
R. Reimer	Rockwell Automation
N. Sands	DuPont
H. Sasajima	Yamatake Corp
T. Schnare	Rosemount Inc
I. Verhappen	Industrial Automation Networks Inc.
R. Webb	ICS Secure LLC
W. Weidman	WorleyParsons
J. Weiss	Applied Control Solutions LLC
M. Widmeyer	Kahler Engineering Inc
M. Zielinski	Emerson Process Management

This page intentionally left blank.

-7-

ISA-TR84.00.06

## Contents

Inti	oduction		
1	Sco	pe11	
2	Crite	Criteria 11	
	2.1	Safety Requirements 11	
	2.2	Speed of Response 11	
	2.3	Interoperability & Integration	
	2.4	Fault Tolerance	
	2.5	Security 13	
	2.6	Operation	
	2.7	Diagnostics 13	
	2.8	Documentation	
	2.9	Testability14	
3	Safe	ety Lifecycle Approach 14	
4	References 19		
5	Definitions		

This page intentionally left blank.

-9-

ISA-TR84.00.06

#### Introduction

Safety Fieldbuses are currently being used in various industrial sectors, such as automotive and machinery, but they have only recently been introduced within the process sector for safety instrumented systems (SISs). ISA84 committee members are concerned that generic Fieldbuses may be incorrectly implemented in SIS applications. Consequently, the ISA84 committee formed Working Group 1 (ISA84 WG1) to develop guidance on the implementation of Safety Fieldbuses as part of an SIS for communicating between a safety logic solver and field devices.

A generic Fieldbus is multi-drop digital network consisting of digital communication cable, terminators, hubs, links/couplers, power supplies, hosts and protocols, along with Fieldbus-compatible devices (Figure 1). It is used to communicate process information to and from multiple field devices within a segment. Fieldbus is a network structure that allows daisy-chain, star, ring, branch, and tree topologies.



Figure 1 – Generic Safety Fieldbus (adapted from ANSI/ISA-84.01-1996)

ANSI/ISA-84.01-1996, *Application of Safety Instrumented Systems for the Process Industries*, was developed under the assumption that each field device would be wired to the logic solver using dedicated field wiring. That standard did not address the use of a digital bus communications, such as a Fieldbus, for field device communications.

ANSI/ISA-84.01-1996 stated in clause 7.4.1.3, "Each individual field device shall have its own dedicated wiring to the system." Clause 1.2.10 stated that the standard does not address

ISA-TR84.00.06

technologies not currently utilized in safety systems (e.g., Fieldbuses), but that revisions to the standard will address new technologies as they become available.

ANSI/ISA-84.00.01-2004, Clause 11.6.3 reflects ANSI/ISA-84.01-1996, Clause 7.4.1.3 above, with an added statement that addresses the alternative of "a digital bus communication with overall safety performance that meets the integrity requirements of the SIF (safety instrumented function) it services." Therefore, a Safety Fieldbus adds to the generic Fieldbus the additional hardware and software features necessary to be compliant with ANSI/ISA-84.00.01-2004.

This technical report addresses the use of Fieldbus for multi-drop digital network communication for implementation of Safety Instrumental Function (SIF) within a safety logic solver designed and managed in compliance with ANSI/ISA-84.00.01-2004. If the reader chooses to implement the safety logic in the Fieldbus segment only, the fieldbus and any instruments executing the safety logic should be evaluated as a logic solver under the requirements of ANSI/ISA-84.00.01-2004. This technical report does not address implementation of the SIF logic within the Fieldbus segment.

– 11 –

ISA-TR84.00.06

## 1 Scope

- 1.1 This technical report:
  - provides guidance on implementing Safety Fieldbus protocols and devices in safety instrumented systems in the process industries
  - recommends additional considerations and practices for the implementation of Safety Fieldbus that are not currently included in ANSI/ISA-84.00.01-2004.
- 1.2 This technical report addresses Safety Fieldbus design and management. It does not provide detailed implementation guidance, which would be different for each Fieldbus technology.
- 1.3 This technical report is limited to the application of Safety Fieldbus to communicate between the safety logic solver (i.e., compliant with ANSI/ISA-84.00.01-2004) and multiple field devices. It does not address implementation of the logic within the Fieldbus segment.

## 2 Criteria

- 2.1 Safety Requirements
- 2.1.1 The Safety Fieldbus should meet the requirements of the highest safety integrity level (SIL) of any safety instrumented function (SIF) it supports, as measured by the:
  - a. hardware integrity
  - b. hardware fault tolerance
  - c. systematic integrity
  - d. data communications integrity
- 2.1.2 The software/firmware used to carry out the Safety Fieldbus diagnostics should meet the requirements of the highest SIL it supports.
- 2.1.3 The likelihood of random hardware undetected failures for the Safety Fieldbus should be sufficiently low in comparison to the overall safety integrity requirements. As a rule of thumb, for a demand mode SIS, the Safety Fieldbus should have a PFDavg less than 1% of the target PFDavg for the SIF.
- 2.1.4 The Safety Fieldbus protocol should be compliant with IEC 61508 requirements to the applicable SIL claim limit.
- 2.1.5 Open (non-proprietary) protocols should be used to enhance interoperability and integration.
- 2.2 Speed of Response
- 2.2.1 The response time of the Safety Fieldbus should be incorporated in the calculation of the overall response time of the SIF (e.g., the time from process deviation detection through the process response to final element action). It is good engineering practice that overall response time should be no more than one-half the process safety time allocated to the SIF.