

TECHNICAL REPORT
ISA–TR91.00.02–2003
Criticality Classification
Guideline for Instrumentation

Approved 17 June 2002

ISA-TR91.00.02-2003
Criticality Classification Guideline for Instrumentation

ISBN: 1-55617-841-7

Copyright © 2003 by ISA —The Instrumentation, Systems, and Automation Society. All rights reserved. Not for resale. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise), without the prior written permission of the Publisher.

ISA
67 Alexander Drive
P.O. Box 12277
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

Preface

This preface, as well as all footnotes and annexes, is included for information purposes and is not part of ISA-TR91.00.02-2003.

This document has been prepared as part of the service of ISA—The Instrumentation, Systems, and Automation Society—toward a goal of uniformity in the field of instrumentation. To be of real value, this document should not be static but should be subject to periodic review. Toward this end, the Society welcomes all comments and criticisms and asks that they be addressed to the Secretary, Standards and Practices Board; ISA; 67 Alexander Drive; P. O. Box 12277; Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; Telephone (919) 549-8411; Fax (919) 549-8288; E-mail: standards@isa.org.

The ISA Standards and Practices Department is aware of the growing need for attention to the metric system of units in general, and the International System of Units (SI) in particular, in the preparation of instrumentation standards. The Department is further aware of the benefits to USA users of ISA standards of incorporating suitable references to the SI (and the metric system) in their business and professional dealings with other countries. Toward this end, this Department will endeavor to introduce SI-acceptable metric units in all new and revised standards, recommended practices, and technical reports to the greatest extent possible. *Standard for Use of the International System of Units (SI): The Modern Metric System*, published by the American Society for Testing & Materials as IEEE/ASTM SI 10-97, and future revisions, will be the reference guide for definitions, symbols, abbreviations, and conversion factors.

It is the policy of ISA to encourage and welcome the participation of all concerned individuals and interests in the development of ISA standards, recommended practices, and technical reports. Participation in the ISA standards-making process by an individual in no way constitutes endorsement by the employer of that individual, of ISA, or of any of the standards, recommended practices, and technical reports that ISA develops.

CAUTION — ISA ADHERES TO THE POLICY OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE WITH REGARD TO PATENTS. IF ISA IS INFORMED OF AN EXISTING PATENT THAT IS REQUIRED FOR USE OF THE DOCUMENT, IT WILL REQUIRE THE OWNER OF THE PATENT TO EITHER GRANT A ROYALTY-FREE LICENSE FOR USE OF THE PATENT BY USERS COMPLYING WITH THE DOCUMENT OR A LICENSE ON REASONABLE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT ARE FREE FROM UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION.

EVEN IF ISA IS UNAWARE OF ANY PATENT COVERING THIS DOCUMENT, THE USER IS CAUTIONED THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DOCUMENT MAY REQUIRE USE OF TECHNIQUES, PROCESSES, OR MATERIALS COVERED BY PATENT RIGHTS. ISA TAKES NO POSITION ON THE EXISTENCE OR VALIDITY OF ANY PATENT RIGHTS THAT MAY BE INVOLVED IN IMPLEMENTING THE DOCUMENT. ISA IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR IDENTIFYING ALL PATENTS THAT MAY REQUIRE A LICENSE BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DOCUMENT OR FOR INVESTIGATING THE VALIDITY OR SCOPE OF ANY PATENTS BROUGHT TO ITS ATTENTION. THE USER SHOULD CAREFULLY INVESTIGATE RELEVANT PATENTS BEFORE USING THE DOCUMENT FOR THE USER'S INTENDED APPLICATION.

HOWEVER, ISA ASKS THAT ANYONE REVIEWING THIS DOCUMENT WHO IS AWARE OF ANY PATENTS THAT MAY IMPACT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DOCUMENT NOTIFY THE ISA STANDARDS AND PRACTICES DEPARTMENT OF THE PATENT AND ITS OWNER.

ADDITIONALLY, THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT MAY INVOLVE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, OPERATIONS OR EQUIPMENT. THE DOCUMENT CANNOT ANTICIPATE ALL POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS OR ADDRESS ALL POSSIBLE SAFETY ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH USE IN HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS. THE USER OF THIS DOCUMENT MUST EXERCISE SOUND

PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT CONCERNING ITS USE AND APPLICABILITY UNDER THE USER'S PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES. THE USER MUST ALSO CONSIDER THE APPLICABILITY OF ANY GOVERNMENTAL REGULATORY LIMITATIONS AND ESTABLISHED SAFETY AND HEALTH PRACTICES BEFORE IMPLEMENTING THIS DOCUMENT.

THE USER OF THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE AWARE THAT THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE IMPACTED BY ELECTRONIC SECURITY ISSUES. THE COMMITTEE HAS NOT YET ADDRESSED THE POTENTIAL ISSUES IN THIS VERSION.

The following served as members of ISA SP91.

NAME	COMPANY
G. Ramachandran, Chair	Systems Research International Inc.
R. Dunn, Vice Chair	DuPont Engineering
V. Maggioli, Managing Director	Feltronics Corporation
C. Ackerman	Air Products & Chemicals Inc.
R. Adamski	Invensys / Premier Consulting Services
L. Baruwa	Titan Systems Corporation
N. Battikha	BergoTech Inc.
K. Bond	Consultant
R. Boyd	RVB Management & Engineering Inc.
L. Brown	TFE Inc.
S. Brown	UK Health & Safety Executive
J. Carew	Consultant
W. Cohen	Kellogg Brown & Root
A. De Souza	Tandem Tech Group
A. Dowell	Rohm & Haas Company
A. Engels	Praxair Inc.
D. Fritsch	Fritsch Consulting Service
J. Gilman	Consultant
W. Goble	Exida Com LLC
D. Green	Rohm & Haas Company
C. Hardin	CDH Consulting Inc.
J. Harris	UOP LLC
D. Haysley	Albert Garaody & Associates
T. Hurst	Hurst Technologies Corporation
A. Iverson	Ivy Optiks
W. Johnson	E.I. du Pont
T. Layer	Emerson Process Management
D. Leonard	D J Leonard Consultants
G. McFarland	Westinghouse Process Control Inc.
N. McLeod	Atofina
W. Mostia	WLM Engineering Company
I. Nimmo	User Centered Design Services LLC
D. Novak	BASF Corp.
R. Raghavan	Raytheon Systems Inc.
M. Schmidt	Michael E. G. Schmidt, PE
J. Shaw	Process Control Solutions
C. Sossman	WG-W Safety Management Solutions
H. Storey	Equilon Enterprises LLC
R. Szanyi	ExxonMobil Research Engineering
E. Vodopest	Bechtel National Inc.
T. Walczak	GE Fanuc Automation

This technical report was approved for publication by the ISA Standards and Practices Board on 2 January 2003.

NAME

COMPANY

M. Zielinski, Chair	Emerson Process Management
D. Bishop	David N Bishop, Consultant
D. Bouchard	Paprican
M. Cohen	Consultant
M. Coppler	Ametek, Inc.
B. Dumortier	Schneider Electric
W. Holland	Southern Company
E. Iccayan	ACES Inc
A. Iverson	Ivy Optiks
R. Jones	Dow Chemical Company
V. Maggioli	Feltronics Corporation
T. McAviney	I&C Engineering, LLC
A. McCauley, Jr.	Chagrin Valley Controls, Inc.
G. McFarland	Westinghouse Process Control Inc.
R. Reimer	Rockwell Automation
J. Rennie	Factory Mutual Research Corporation
H. Sasajima	Yamatake Corporation
I. Verhappen	Syncrude Canada Ltd.
R. Webb	POWER Engineers
W. Weidman	Parsons Energy & Chemicals Group
J. Weiss	KEMA Consulting
M. Widmeyer	Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
C. Williams	Eastman Kodak Company
G. Wood	Graeme Wood Consulting

This page intentionally left blank.

Contents

1	Introduction	9
2	Guidelines for defining instrument classifications.....	9
3	Guidelines for ranking instruments within criticality classifications.....	13
4	Additional considerations.....	13
5	Abbreviations	14
6	References.....	14
	Annex A – Guidance	17
	Annex B – Today’s criticality classification (see Clause 3.3).....	21
	Annex C – Criticality rankings example	25

This page intentionally left blank.

1 Introduction

1.1 Process operating facilities utilize instrumentation to monitor and control processes. The performance of this instrumentation ties directly into product quality and product throughput. In addition, the process may become hazardous to operating facility personnel, the community, and the environment if control is lost.

Some instruments perform essential functions during emergency response activities and a high degree of confidence is required to ensure that instrumentation will function correctly during an emergency.

1.2 This guideline is developed to assist engineering, operations, and maintenance personnel with establishing the classification of their instrumentation, thus facilitating all aspects of designing and maintaining reliable operating facility instrumentation. Global instrumentation manufacturers classify their equipment according to various country classification standards (see clauses 6.3, 6.7, 6.8).

Some process sector facilities utilize criticality classification methods to:

- Facilitate understanding of how these classifications can be used in the design process.
- Reduce design costs by using prescriptive design approach for each classification to ensure consistency, understanding, and cost effective design.
- Relate instrumentation requirements to corporate, local, national, and international standards.
- Facilitate communication to those responsible for instrumentation, electrical, mechanical, chemical, measurement, and operating technologies.
- Allow clear communication with integrators, auditors and other third parties
- Identify training and maintenance needs compatible to the needs of the application.

1.3 This guideline does not mandate what the classification of each instrument should be. It does provide information to assist each operating facility in determining the classification of its process instrumentation. **It is the responsibility of an operating facility's management to determine whether criticality classification is needed.**

1.4 This guidance will deal primarily with instrumentation used in the process industries. The operating facility may have instrumentation associated with the machinery sector, the medical/drug sector, the railway sector, etc. For example, this guidance will address the machinery and combustion sectors only where equipment associated with these sectors is utilized in the process (e.g., product heating, range drives, product conveying). This guideline addresses asset and safety critical issues as well as other issues.

Requirements exist for each of the noted sectors (e.g., process, machinery) and are addressed in the following clauses for their relationship to the process sector and its requirements.

1.5 Note that all applications, regardless of classification, should adhere to good engineering practices.

2 Guidelines for defining instrument classifications

2.1 Many operating facilities have a classification system (generic scheme) that includes categories such as critical and noncritical. Figure 1 illustrates such a classification scheme.