



ISO 21254-1

Lasers and laser-related equipment — Test methods for laser-induced damage threshold —

Part 1: Definitions and general principles

Lasers et équipements associés aux lasers — Méthodes d'essai du seuil d'endommagement provoqué par laser —

Partie 1: Définitions et principes de base

Second edition
2025-08

This is a preview of ISO 21254-1:2025. [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2025

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
3.1 Terms and definitions.....	2
3.2 Symbols and units of measurement.....	3
4 Units of laser irradiation, LIDT and pertinent units	4
5 Laser damage, damage threshold and associated criteria	4
5.1 General laser damage criteria.....	4
5.1.1 General.....	4
5.1.2 Classical criterion of laser-induced damage.....	5
5.1.3 Functional criterion of laser-induced damage.....	5
5.1.4 Failure mode.....	5
5.1.5 Laser-induced damage threshold (LIDT).....	5
5.1.6 Functional laser-induced damage threshold (F-LIDT).....	5
5.1.7 Method of damage threshold calculation.....	5
5.2 Techniques of laser damage interrogation and related terms.....	5
5.2.1 General.....	5
5.2.2 Classical 1-on-1 test.....	6
5.2.3 Classical S-on-1 test.....	6
5.2.4 Functional R(S)-on-1 test.....	6
5.2.5 Functional raster scan test.....	6
5.2.6 Acceptance test “pass-fail”.....	6
5.2.7 Laser-induced fatigue.....	6
5.2.8 Characteristic damage curve or fatigue curve.....	6
5.2.9 Laser-induced conditioning.....	6
5.2.10 Conditioning curve.....	7
5.3 Parameters of testing, sampling and reporting.....	7
5.3.1 Typical pulse.....	7
5.3.2 Laser irradiation level, <i>L</i>	7
5.3.3 Maximum irradiation dose.....	7
5.3.4 Applied irradiation dose.....	7
5.3.5 Target plane.....	7
6 Sampling	7
7 Test methods	8
7.1 Principle.....	8
7.2 Apparatus.....	9
7.2.1 Laser.....	9
7.2.2 Variable attenuator and beam delivery system.....	9
7.2.3 Focusing system.....	9
7.2.4 Specimen holder.....	10
7.2.5 Damage detection and inspection systems.....	10
7.2.6 Beam diagnostic unit.....	10
7.3 Preparation of specimens for testing.....	13
7.4 Procedure.....	14
8 Accuracy of peak irradiation level	15
8.1 General.....	15
8.2 Relative standard deviation of peak fluence.....	15
8.3 Relative standard deviation of peak irradiance.....	15
8.4 Relative standard deviation of linear power density.....	16
8.5 Relative standard deviation of average peak irradiance.....	16

This is a preview of ISO 21254-1:2025. [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

Annex A (normative) General usage notes	19
Bibliography	29

This is a preview of ISO 21254-1:2025. [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 172, *Optics and photonics*, Subcommittee SC 9, *Laser and electro-optical systems*, in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 123, *Lasers and photonics*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 21254-1:2011) which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- functional damage criteria and functional damage threshold (F-LIDT) are introduced;
- new units of laser irradiation level are introduced;
- two new test protocols are introduced:
 - extension to R(S)-on-1 test;
 - extension to the raster scan test;
- integration of a new section “General usage notes” in [Annex A](#);
- discussion on accuracy of measurement units is extended.

A list of all parts in the ISO 21254 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

This is a preview of ISO 21254-1:2025. [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

Optical components are irreversibly damaged above the so-called laser-induced damage threshold (otherwise referred to as LIDT or damage threshold): which is the maximum laser irradiation level at which it is expected that there is zero probability of damage. Below the single-shot damage threshold, a delayed damage event might also develop over time as a consequence of repetitive laser irradiation, the so-called fatigue effect. Alternatively, repeated exposure with increasing laser irradiation can cause an increase in the damage threshold; the so-called conditioning effect. For the vast majority of use cases, the damage tends to develop on optical surfaces. Only on specific occasions will it occur within the bulk. Thus, if not requested or declared otherwise, the laser-induced damage threshold is tested and reported for the entrance surface of the optical component. For optics with high transmittance, damage may first develop at the exit surface or in the bulk without observing a damage of the entrance surface due to radiation field enhancement effects: self-focusing, diffraction or interference with back-reflected radiation. Back surface damage might also feature lower damage thresholds than the entrance surface as a consequence of poor optical quality. In such cases a functional damage threshold testing can be conducted for the exit surface. However, focusing conditions and the functional damage criterion need to be documented in the test report. Environmental contamination by airborne particles, volatile organic compounds, vacuum exposure, and technological imperfections such as nodular defects of coatings, polishing scratches, Beilby layer, sub-surface damage as well as bulk inclusions, dislocations, or inhomogeneities of any other type are also known to affect the performance of an optical component.

Due to a variety of possible failure mechanisms [6-64], the experimentally estimated “damage threshold” is an aggregated feature of optics handling, environmental conditions, material and surface preparation techniques as well as laser-related exposure parameters such as wavelength, spot size, repetition rate, and pulse duration. As a consequence, there is no single procedure, that could universally satisfy all the testing needs for all the types of optical components available. Instead, different damage testing strategies evolved to address particular needs for testing. Various parts of this document are concerned with the determination of irreversible damage of the optical surfaces and the bulk of an optical component under the influence of laser exposure. This document is dedicated to the fundamentals and general principles of the measurement of laser-induced damage thresholds. Based on the apparatus outlined in ISO 21254-1, measurement protocols for damage testing (1-on-1, S-on-1, R(S)-on-1, and Raster scan) are described in ISO 21254-2, and acceptance testing is described in ISO 21254-3. Recommendations and associated risks pertinent to distinct test procedures will be discussed in more detail in [Annex A](#).

The “classical” 1-on-1 test is a damage threshold measurement procedure that uses one pulse of laser irradiation on each unexposed test site of the specimen. In contrast, the “classical” S-on-1 measurement program is based on a series of pulses with a constant laser irradiation level applied to each unexposed site of the specimen. Testing with multiple laser pulses is closer to the operational conditions in the field, however, the experimental effort necessary for S-on-1 tests is also significantly higher. The ISO 21254-series also introduce new alternatives – concept of “functional” damage threshold and new testing protocols such as R(S)-on-1 and Raster scan. In an R(S)-on-1 test, the same test site is irradiated with sequences of (S) pulses while gradually increasing the irradiation level between particular irradiations until the damage is observed. As a further extension of this measurement concept, the Raster scan technique is designed to irradiate a significant fraction of the test sample area with spatially overlapping laser pulses. ISO 21254-3 describes the acceptance testing for a certain laser irradiation level of optical surfaces, leaving samples that pass the test undamaged. ISO/TR 21254-4, which considers damage detection methods and the inspection of tested surfaces, is a Technical Report which complements this document.