

This is a preview of "ISO 22946:2020". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

First edition  
2020-01

---

---

## **Nuclear criticality safety — Solid waste excluding irradiated and non-irradiated nuclear fuel**

*Sûreté-criticité — Déchets solides à l'exclusion du combustible nucléaire irradié et non irradié*



Reference number  
ISO 22946:2020(E)

© ISO 2020

This is a preview of "ISO 22946:2020". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

This is a preview of "ISO 22946:2020". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

## Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Nuclear criticality safety strategy for waste</b> .....	<b>2</b>
4.1 General.....	2
4.2 Developing the strategy.....	2
4.3 Consulting with stakeholders.....	3
4.4 Strategizing over the waste life cycle.....	3
4.5 Radioactive waste characterization.....	3
4.6 Review and update of strategy.....	4
<b>5 Nuclear criticality safety assessments of waste</b> .....	<b>4</b>
5.1 General.....	4
5.2 Deriving criticality safety limits.....	5
5.3 Variations in the inventory.....	5
5.4 Radioactive decay.....	5
5.5 Consequences of a criticality accident.....	5
<b>6 Balancing nuclear criticality safety of waste with other considerations</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Annex A (informative) Example radioactive waste characterization sheet</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Supporting information to <a href="#">4.5</a></b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Supporting Information to <a href="#">5.3</a></b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>11</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 85, *Nuclear energy, nuclear technologies and radiological protection*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Nuclear installations, processes and technologies*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

This is a preview of "ISO 22946:2020". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

## Introduction

Nuclear criticality safety considerations can play a significant role in the production, management, transport and disposal of waste containing fissile nuclides. Such waste can present particular challenges from a nuclear criticality safety perspective.

- The fissile content of waste can vary significantly. Most waste has a relatively low fissile content; however, there is the potential for waste to contain some items with significantly higher fissile inventory or for fissile nuclides to move and/or increase in local concentration. Typically, there are also large variations associated with the moderators, absorbers and scattering materials which make up the waste matrix.
- The uncertainties associated with the quantity, distribution, concentration and elemental fractions of fissile nuclides associated with a waste material can be large. Typically, there is also significant uncertainty associated with the moderators, absorbers and scattering materials that may comprise the waste matrix.
- Very large volumes of waste requiring criticality assessment can arise. Often the average fissile concentration can be low, but the total fissile mass can be significant.
- The physical and chemical form of waste can be very diverse, with a wide variety of potential effects on nuclear criticality safety (e.g. moderation, neutron poisons, neutron reflectors, etc.).
- The timescales that require nuclear criticality safety to be demonstrated for waste may be very long (and could be as large as hundreds of thousands of years or more when considering final disposal). Over such timescales, there is the potential for key parameters to change - for example, nuclide proportions as a result of radioactive decay, chemical changes in the waste matrix, re-distribution of fissile nuclides and moderators, separation of poisons. There is the potential for significant variability in the distribution of fissile nuclides within the waste volume at the time of disposal.
- There is often a requirement to transport waste within the public domain, which can be subject to differing limits and constraints when compared with where the waste was produced.

The purpose of this document is to support nuclear criticality safety throughout the waste life cycle while taking into account the potential to have a significant negative impact on other important areas such as:

- Radiological safety: For example dose control, risk of nuclear material ingestion/inhalation, the potential for contaminated wounds when handling waste, etc. If the nuclear criticality safety limits are set with little consideration of the other areas, resulting in large criticality safety margins, there is the potential for reworking waste to ensure compliance, incurring unnecessary radiological risk.
- Environmental considerations: For example additional handling, processing and storage leading to additional discharges, use of services such as electricity and water, generation of additional contaminated and non-contaminated packaging materials, generation of increased waste volumes.
- Conventional safety: For example additional risks of acute or chronic physical injuries.
- Cost: For example excessive or disproportionate costs which might be better spent reducing risk elsewhere. Final disposal space (e.g. deep geological disposal or shallow/ surface disposal) is an expensive, finite resource – with costs being directly linked to the volume of waste produced. Cost may also arise from, for example, the numbers of packages produced and the number of package movements required.
- Delay: For example potential for excessive delay due to development of overly complicated engineered solutions or safety arguments.

The criticality safety specific requirements and guidance within this document are to be applied with an awareness of this wider context to ensure that an appropriate balance between the criticality safety considerations and these other important factors is achieved.