

First edition  
2018-12

---

---

# Cloud computing — Service level agreement (SLA) framework —

## Part 2: Metric model

*Informatique en nuage — Cadre de travail de l'accord du niveau de service —*

*Partie 2: Modèle métrique*



Reference number  
ISO/IEC 19086-2:2018(E)

© ISO/IEC 2018



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO/IEC 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC 19086-2:2018". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

## Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Symbols and abbreviated terms</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>5 Conformance</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>6 Metrics overview</b> .....	<b>3</b>
6.1 General.....	3
6.2 Background.....	3
6.2.1 Choosing a cloud service.....	3
6.2.2 Convert requirements to agreement.....	4
6.2.3 Ensure the agreement is being met.....	5
6.3 Metrics.....	5
6.4 Cloud service metrics (CSMs).....	5
6.4.1 Major stakeholders.....	6
6.4.2 CSM usage categories.....	7
<b>7 Metric model overview</b> .....	<b>10</b>
7.1 General.....	10
7.2 Basic concepts.....	11
7.2.1 Introduction.....	11
7.2.2 Cloud service level objectives and cloud service qualitative objectives.....	11
7.2.3 Metric data format.....	12
<b>8 Metric model</b> .....	<b>12</b>
8.1 Metric model development.....	12
8.1.1 Metric model specification.....	13
8.1.2 Use of UML class diagrams and textual descriptions.....	13
8.1.3 Metric model description.....	13
8.1.4 Extending the metric.....	14
8.1.5 Metric model details.....	14
<b>Annex A (informative) SO and SO evaluation</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Metric — Table form</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) CSM examples</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>Annex D (normative) XML schema</b> .....	<b>36</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>39</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 38, *Cloud Computing and Distributed Platforms*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 19086 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC 19086-2:2018". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

## Introduction

The measurement of properties of cloud services, especially for the purpose of cloud service level agreements (SLAs), presents many challenges, which inhibit the uptake of cloud services and inhibit the overall effectiveness of the cloud services marketplace. Metrics in practice are usually described using natural languages, typically in 'plain English', which is often difficult to understand, compare, and implement. Such definitions of metrics lead to many problems. Typical concerns include:

- **Clarity:** The metric definition may be incomplete, ambiguous, illogical, self-contradictory, or not defined at all. For example, cases exist where 'availability' is defined in ways which have little to do with generally accepted definitions of 'availability'; where the definition is such that the service can be unavailable for the majority of the time yet the metric will show 100 % availability; where the metric requires continuous monitoring, which is actually not possible; or where the provider is able to determine at its sole discretion what the result is.
- **Comparability:** It may be impractical or effectively impossible to compare different services in terms of their promised service levels because of the significant inconsistency in how their respective metrics and SLOs/SQOs are defined.
- **Implementation:** It may be impractical or even impossible to measure the metric in practice, and to determine whether promised service levels have been met or not.

This document has been developed to help address these and similar concerns. It includes technical content, but the high-level concepts are expected to be understandable by non-technical individuals who understand the business context for metrics. It provides a metric model that defines the conditions and rules for performing a measurement and understanding the result.

A metric complying with the model defined by this document addresses the concerns above:

- **Clarity:** A definition of a metric eliminates the ambiguities which currently exist in natural language descriptions.
- **Comparability:** The structured nature of the metric facilitates the comparison of different metrics and SLOs/SQOs based on a metric.
- **Implementation:** The structured representation of the information needed to measure a characteristic facilitates the process of developing measurement tools. Likewise, if the metric is found not to be implementable, then the metric will need to be revised so that it can be implemented, and the structure of the technical specification is expected to facilitate this revision process.

The focus of this document is on metrics for cloud SLAs, but it is also usable for cloud service metrics (CSMs) that are not included in cloud SLAs [such as ones used by cloud service providers (CSPs) for their internal performance monitoring], and may also be usable for non-CSMs.