## INTERNATIONAL ISO/IFC

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC 23001-4:2011". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

Second edition 2011-12-15

# Information technology — MPEG systems technologies —

Part 4: Codec configuration representation

Technologies de l'information — Technologies des systèmes MPEG — Partie 4: Représentation de configuration codec



Reference number ISO/IEC 23001-4:2011(E)



#### © ISO/IEC 2011

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

### Contents

Foreword	.iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Functional unit network description	3
5 Bitstream syntax description	5
6 Model instantiation	6
Annex A (normative) Functional unit network description	7
Annex B (informative) Examples of FU network description	13
Annex C (normative) Specification of RVC-BSDL	15
Annex D (normative) Specification of RVC-CAL language	29
Annex E (informative) Instantiation of bitstream syntax parser from bitstream syntax descriptions	51
Annex F (informative) Relation between codec configuration representation and multimedia middleware (M3W)	56
Bibliography	57

#### Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 23001-4 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information technology, Subcommittee SC 29, Coding of audio, picture, multimedia and hypermedia information.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 23001-4:2009) which has been technically revised.

ISO/IEC 23001 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology* — *MPEG* systems technologies:

- Part 1: Binary MPEG format for XML
- Part 2: Fragment request units
- Part 3: XML IPMP messages
- Part 4: Codec configuration representation
- Part 5: Bitstream Syntax Description Language (BSDL)
- Part 7: Common encryption in ISO base media file format files

#### Introduction

This part of ISO/IEC 23001 defines the methods capable of describing codec configurations in the so-called reconfigurable video coding (RVC) framework. The objective of RVC is to offer a framework that is capable of configuring and specifying video codecs as a collection of "higher level" modules by using video coding tools. The video coding tools are defined in video tool library. Part 4 of ISO/IEC 23002 defines the MPEG video tool library. The RVC framework principle could also support non-MPEG tool libraries, provided that their developers have taken care to obey the appropriate rules of operation.

For the purpose of framework deployment, an appropriate description is needed to describe configurations of decoders composed of or instantiated from a subset of video tools from either one or more libraries. As illustrated in Figure 1, the configuration information consists of:

- bitstream syntax description, and
- network of functional units (FUs) description (also referred to as the decoder configuration)

that together constitute the entire decoder description (DD).

Bitstreams of existing MPEG standards are specified by specific syntax structures and decoders are composed of various coding tools. Therefore, RVC includes support for bitstream syntax descriptions as well as video coding tools. As depicted in Figure 1, a typical RVC decoder requires two types of information, namely the decoder description and the encoded media (e.g. video bitstreams) data.





Figure 1 — Conceptual diagram of RVC

Figure 2 illustrates a more detailed description of the RVC decoder.

A more detailed description of the RVC decoder is shown in Figure 2. As shown in Figure 2, the decoder description is required for the configuration of a RVC decoder. The Bitstream Syntax Description (BSD) and FU Network Description (FND) (which compose the Decoder Description) are used to configure or compose an abstract decoder model (ADM) which is instantiated through the selection of FUs from tool libraries optionally with proper parameter assignment. Such an ADM constitutes the behavioral reference model used in setting up a decoding solution under the RVC framework. The process of yielding a decoding solution may vary depending on the technologies used for the desired implementations. Examples of the instantiation of an abstract decoder model and generation of proprietary decoding solutions are given in Annex E.



### **RVC Decoder Implementation**

## Figure 2 — Graphical representation of the instantiation process or decoder composition mechanism for the RVC normative ADM and for the non-normative proprietary compliant decoder implementation

Within the RVC framework, the decoder description describes a particular decoder configuration and consists of the FND and the BSD. The FND describes the connectivity of the network of FUs used to form a decoder whereas the parsing process for the bitstream syntax is implicitly described by the BSD. These two descriptions are specified using two standard XML-based languages or dialects:

- Functional Unit Network Language (FNL) is a language that describes the FND, known also as "network of FUs". The FNL specified normatively within the scope of the RVC framework is provided in this part of ISO/IEC 23001;
- Bitstream Syntax Description Language (BSDL), standardized in ISO/IEC 23001-5 (MPEG-B Part 5), describes the bitstream syntax and the parsing rules. A pertinent subset of this BSDL named RVC-BSDL is defined within the scope of the current RVC framework. This RVC-BSDL also includes possibilities for further extensions, which are necessary to provide complete description of video bitstreams. RVC-BSDL specified normatively within the scope of the RVC framework is provided in this part of ISO/IEC 23001.

The decoder configuration specified using FNL, together with the specification of the bitstream syntax using RVC-BSDL fully specifies the ADM and provides an "executable" model of the RVC decoder description.

The instantiated ADM includes the information about the selected FUs and how they should be connected. As already mentioned, the FND with the network connection information is expressed by using FNL. Furthermore, the RVC framework specifies and uses a dataflow-oriented language called RVC-CAL for describing FUs' behavior. The normative specification of RVC-CAL is provided in this part of ISO/IEC 23001. The ADM is the behavioral model that should be referred to in order to implement any RVC conformant decoder. Any RVC compliant decoding solution/implementation can be achieved by using proprietary non-normative tools and mechanisms that yield decoders that behave equivalent to the RVC ADM.

The decoder description, the MPEG video tool library, and the associated instantiation of an ADM are normative. More precisely, the ADM is intended to be normative in terms of a behavioral model. In other words what is normative is the input/output behavior of the complete ADM as well as the input/output behavior of all the FUs that are included in the ADM.