First edition 2014-12-01

Information technology —
Programming languages, their
environments and system software
interfaces — Guidelines for the
preparation of Language-Independent
Service Specifications (LISS)

Technologies de l'information — Langages de programmation, leurs environnements et interfaces du logiciel d'exploitation — Lignes directrices pour l'élaboration de spécifications de service indépendantes du langage (LISS)



ISO/IEC TR 14369:2014(E)

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:201...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2014

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Co	Contents				
Fore	eword		v		
Intr	oductio	n	vi		
1	Scop	e	1		
2	_	rences			
3	Terms, definitions and abbreviations				
3	3.1	Definitions and abbreviations			
	3.2	Abbreviations			
4	Over	view			
7	4.1	Services, interfaces, service providers and service users			
	4.2	Information technology services			
	4.3	Services and language independence	6		
	4.4	Language-independent specifications	7		
	4.5	Problems of language dependence and inbuilt assumptions			
5		elines on strategy			
	5.1	General guidelines			
	5.2 5.3	What to do if starting from scratchWhat to do if starting from an existing language-dependent specification	9 11		
_					
6		elines on document organization			
	6.1 6.2	Guideline: The general frameworkGuideline: Production and publication			
	6.3	Guideline: Document organization when starting from a language-specific specific			
7		elines on terminology			
/	7.1	Guideline: The need for rigour			
	7.1	Guideline: The need for consistency			
	7.3	Guideline: Use of undefined terms			
	7.4	Guideline: Use of ISO 2382			
	7.5	Guideline: Use of definition by reference			
	7.6	Guideline: Terminology used in bindings			
8		elines on use of formal specification languages	18		
	8.1	Guideline: Use of a formal specification language			
	8.2 8.3	Checklist of formal specification languagesGuideline: Using formal specifications from the outset			
	8.4	Guideline: Use of operational semantics			
	8.5	Guidelines on interoperability			
	8.6	Guidelines on interoperability with other instantiations of the same service			
	8.7	Guidelines on interoperability with other services	23		
9	Guidelines on concurrency issues				
	9.1	Guidelines on concurrency within the service specification			
	9.2	Guidelines on concurrency of interaction with service users			
	9.3	Guidelines on concurrency requirements on bindings			
10	Guidelines on the selection and specification of datatypes				
	10.1	Guideline: Use of ISO/IEC 11404:2007 General-Purpose Datatypes (GPD)	26		
	10.2 10.3	Guideline: Specification of datatype parameter valuesGuideline: Treatment of values outside the set defined for the datatype			
	10.3	Guideline: Specification of operations on data values	27		
	10.5	Guideline: Recommended basic set of datatypes	27		
	10.6	Guideline: Specification of arithmetic datatypes	27		
	10.7	Guideline: Approach to language bindings of datatypes			
	10.8	Guideline: Avoidance of representational definitions	28		

ISO/IEC TR 14369:2014(E)

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:201...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

11	Guide	elines on specification of procedure calls	28	
	11.1	Guideline: Avoidance of unnecessary operational assumptions or detail	29	
	11.2	Guideline: Use of ISO/IEC 13886:1996 (LIPC) procedure calling model		
	11.3	Guidelines on the use of ISO/IEC 13886:1996 (LIPC)		
	11.4	Interfacing via remote procedure calling (RPC)	31	
	11.5	Guideline: Guidance concerning procedure calling to those defining language		
		bindings to the language-independent service specification	32	
12	Guide	elines on specification of fault handling	33	
	12.1	Guideline: Fault detection requirements	34	
	12.2	Checklist of potential faults		
	12.3	Guideline: Recovery from non-fatal faults	35	
13	Guide	elines on options and implementation dependence	35	
	13.1	Guidelines on service options		
	13.2	Guidelines on interface options	37	
	13.3	Guidelines on binding options		
	13.4	Guidelines on implementation dependence	38	
14	Guidelines on conformity requirements			
	14.1	Guidelines for specifying conformity of implementations of the service		
	14.2	Guidelines for specifying conformity of implementations of the interface		
	14.3	Guidelines for specifying conformity of bindings	41	
15	Guide	elines on specifying a language binding to a language-independent		
		face specification	42	
	15.1	Guideline: Use of bindings to LID and LIPC	42	
	15.2	Guideline: Adherence to defined semantics	42	
	15.3	Guideline: Binding document organization		
	15.4	Guideline: "Reference card" binding documents	43	
16	Guidelines on revisions			
	16.1	Kinds of change that a revision can introduce	44	
	16.2	General guidelines applicable to revisions	45	
	16.3	Guidelines on revision of the service specification		
	16.4	Guidelines on revision of the service interface		
	16.5	Guidelines on revision of language bindings following revision of the service interface		
	16.6	Guidelines on revision of a language binding following revision of the language	46	
Annex	A (inf	ormative) Brief guide to language-independent standards	48	
Anne	B (inf	ormative) Glossary of language-independent terms	51	

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. www.iso.org/directives

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received. www.iso.org/patents

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see the following URL: Foreword - Supplementary information

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, subcommittee SC 22, *Programming languages*, *their environments and system software interfaces*.

Introduction

This Technical Report is dedicated to Brian L. Meek in grateful recognition of his leadership and vision in the development of the concepts on programming language independent specifications, and his efforts in producing a set of standards documents in this area. Without his commitment this Technical Report never would have been published.

0.1 Background

This Technical Report provides guidance to those writing specifications of services, and of interfaces to services, in a language-independent way, in particular as standards. It can be regarded as complementary to ISO/IEC/TR 10182, which provides guidance to those performing language bindings for such services and their interfaces.

NOTE 1 Here and throughout, "language", on its own or in compounds like "language-independent", means "programming language", not "specification language" nor "natural (human) language", unless explicitly stated.

NOTE 2 A "language-independent" service or interface specification may be expressed using either or both of a natural language like English or a formal specification language like VDM-SL or Z; in a sense, a specification might be regarded as "dependent" on (say) VDM-SL. The term "language-independent" does not imply otherwise, since it refers only to the situation where programming language(s) might otherwise be used in defining the service or interface.

The development of this Technical Report was prompted by the existence of an earlier draft IEEE Technical Report (IEEE TCOS-SCC Technical Report on Programming Language Independent Specification Methods, draft 4, May 1991). The TCOS draft was concerned with specifications of services in a POSIX systems environment, and as such contained much detailed POSIX-specific guidance; nevertheless it was clear that many of the principles, if not the detail, were applicable much more generally. This Technical Report was conceived as a means of providing such more general guidance. Because of the very different formats, and the POSIX-related detail in the TCOS draft, there is almost no direct correspondence between the two documents, except in the discussion of the benefits of a language-independent principles below. However, the spirit and principles of the TCOS draft were of great value in developing this Technical Report, and reappear herein, albeit in much altered and more general form.

NOTE 3 The TCOS draft has not in fact been published, as the result of an IEEE decision to concentrate activities in other POSIX areas.

0.2 Principles

Service or interface specifications that are independent of any particular language, particularly when embodied in recognized standards, are increasingly seen as an important factor in promoting interoperation and substitution of system components, and reducing dependence on and consequent limitations due to particular language platforms.

NOTE It is of course possible for a specification to be "independent" of a particular language in a formal sense, but still be dependent on it through inbuilt assumptions derived from that language which do not necessarily hold for other languages. The term "language-independent" here is meant in a much stronger sense than that, though complete independence from all inbuilt assumptions may be difficult if not impossible to achieve.

Potential benefits from language-independent service or interface specifications include:

- A language-independent interface specification specifies those requirements that are common to all language bindings to that interface, and hence provides a specification to which language bindings may conform.
- A language-independent interface specification is a re-usable component for constructing language bindings.
- A language-independent interface specification aids the construction of language bindings by providing a common reference to which all bindings can relate. Through this common reference it is possible to make use of pre-existing language bindings to language-independent standards

for common features such as datatypes and procedure calls, and to other language-independent specifications with related concepts.

- A language-independent service or interface specification provides an abstract specification of a service in isolation from language-dependent extensions or restrictions, and hence facilitates more rigorous modelling of services and interfaces.
- Language-independent service specifications facilitate the specification of relationships between
 one service and another, by making it easier to relate common concepts than is generally possible
 when the specifications are dependent on different languages.
- A language-independent interface specification facilitates the definition of relationships between different language bindings to a common service (such as requirements for interoperability between applications based on different languages that are sharing a common service implementation), by providing a common reference specification to which all the languages can relate.
- A language-independent interface specification facilitates the definition of relations between bindings to multiple services, including the requirements on management of multiple name spaces.
- fort and resources needed to ensure compatibility and consistency of behaviour between implementations of the same service in different languages or between applications based on different languages using the same interface.