

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:2018...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

Second edition
2018-01

Information technology — Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces — Guidelines for the preparation of language-independent service specifications (LISS)

*Technologies de l'information — Langages de programmation,
leurs environnements et interfaces du logiciel d'exploitation —
Lignes directrices pour l'élaboration de spécifications de service
indépendantes du langage (LISS)*



Reference number
ISO/IEC TR 14369:2018(E)

© ISO/IEC 2018



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:201...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

Contents

	Page
Foreword	vii
Introduction	viii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviated terms	4
5 Overview	4
5.1 Services, interfaces, service providers and service users.....	4
5.2 Information technology services.....	4
5.3 Services and language independence.....	5
5.4 Language-independent specifications.....	6
5.5 Problems of language dependence and inbuilt assumptions.....	7
5.5.1 General.....	7
5.5.2 Representational assumptions.....	7
5.5.3 Implementation assumptions.....	7
6 Guidelines on strategy	8
6.1 General.....	8
6.2 General guidelines.....	8
6.2.1 Guideline: Dependence of the interface on the service.....	8
6.2.2 Guideline: What to do when there are interoperability, concurrency, or time constraint issues.....	8
6.2.3 Guideline: Use of marshalling/unmarshalling.....	8
6.2.4 Guideline: Recruiting expertise from a variety of backgrounds.....	9
6.3 What to do if starting from scratch.....	9
6.3.1 General.....	9
6.3.2 Guideline: Avoidance of implementation assumptions.....	9
6.3.3 Specifying the service in language-independent form.....	9
6.3.4 Specifying the interface to the service in language-independent form.....	10
6.4 What to do if starting from an existing language-dependent specification.....	10
6.4.1 General.....	10
6.4.2 General guidelines.....	10
6.4.3 Converting an existing language-dependent specification of the service into language-independent form.....	12
6.4.4 Converting an existing implicit interface into an explicit language- independent interface.....	13
6.4.5 Specifying a language-independent interface to a service whose specification is language-dependent.....	14
7 Guidelines on document organization	15
7.1 General.....	15
7.2 Guideline: The general framework.....	15
7.2.1 General.....	15
7.2.2 Checklist of parts for inclusion.....	15
7.3 Guideline: Production and publication.....	16
7.4 Guideline: Document organization when starting from a language-specific specification.....	16
8 Guidelines on terminology	17
8.1 General.....	17
8.2 Guideline: The need for rigour.....	17
8.3 Guideline: The need for consistency.....	17
8.4 Guideline: Use of undefined terms.....	17
8.5 Guideline: Use of ISO 2382.....	17
8.6 Guideline: Use of definition by reference.....	18

8.7	Guideline: Terminology used in bindings.....	18
9	Guidelines on use of formal specification languages.....	18
9.1	Guideline: Use of a formal specification language.....	18
9.2	Checklist of formal specification languages.....	18
9.2.1	General.....	18
9.2.2	Estelle.....	18
9.2.3	Lotos.....	19
9.2.4	VDM-SL.....	19
9.2.5	Z.....	19
9.2.6	Extended BNF.....	20
9.3	Guideline: Using formal specifications from the outset.....	20
9.4	Guideline: Use of operational semantics.....	20
10	Guidelines on interoperability.....	21
10.1	General.....	21
10.1.1	Interoperability with what?.....	21
10.1.2	The nature of the interoperation.....	22
10.1.3	How interoperation is invoked.....	22
10.2	Guidelines on interoperability with other instantiations of the same service.....	22
10.2.1	Guideline: Identifying features affecting interoperability.....	22
10.2.2	Guideline: Precise definition and rigorous conformity requirements.....	22
10.2.3	Guideline: Importance of exchange values.....	23
10.3	Guidelines on interoperability with other services.....	23
10.3.1	General.....	23
10.3.2	Guideline: Interoperability with other services being defined at the same time.....	23
10.3.3	Guideline: Interoperability with a pre-defined service.....	23
11	Guidelines on concurrency issues.....	24
11.1	General.....	24
11.2	Guidelines on concurrency within the service specification.....	24
11.2.1	Guideline: Avoidance of unnecessary concurrency requirements.....	24
11.3	Guidelines on concurrency of interaction with service users.....	24
11.3.1	General.....	24
11.3.2	Guideline: Handling of concurrent service requests.....	25
11.3.3	Guideline: Number of concurrent service requests handled.....	25
11.3.4	Guideline: Order of processing of service requests.....	25
11.3.5	Guideline: Criteria for prioritizing service requests.....	25
11.4	Guidelines on concurrency requirements on bindings.....	25
11.4.1	General.....	25
11.4.2	Guideline: Avoidance of concurrency requirements.....	25
11.4.3	Guideline: Specification of unavoidable concurrency requirements.....	26
12	Guidelines on the selection and specification of datatypes.....	26
12.1	General.....	26
12.2	Guideline: Use of ISO/IEC 11404 General-Purpose Datatypes (GPD).....	26
12.3	Guideline: Specification of datatype parameter values.....	26
12.4	Guideline: Treatment of values outside the set defined for the datatype.....	27
12.5	Guideline: Specification of operations on data values.....	27
12.6	Guideline: Recommended basic set of datatypes.....	27
12.7	Guideline: Specification of arithmetic datatypes.....	27
12.8	Guideline: Approach to language bindings of datatypes.....	28
12.9	Guideline: Avoidance of representational definitions.....	28
13	Guidelines on specification of procedure calls.....	28
13.1	General.....	28
13.2	Guideline: Avoidance of unnecessary operational assumptions or detail.....	29
13.3	Guideline: Use of ISO/IEC 13886 procedure calling model.....	29
13.4	Guidelines on the use of ISO/IEC 13886.....	29
13.4.1	General.....	29
13.4.2	Guideline: Selection of datatypes of parameters.....	30

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:201...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

13.4.3	Guideline: Selection of parameter passing modes.....	30
13.4.4	Guideline: Use of bindings to LIPC.....	31
13.5	Interfacing via remote procedure calling (RPC).....	31
13.5.1	General.....	31
13.5.2	Guideline: Avoid limiting the service specification because of constraints on the interface specification.....	31
13.5.3	Guideline: Specification of RPC interface.....	32
13.5.4	Guideline: Use of subsets.....	32
13.5.5	Guideline: Use of ISO/IEC 11578.....	32
13.6	Guideline: Guidance concerning procedure calling to those defining language bindings to the language-independent service specification.....	32
14	Guidelines on specification of fault handling.....	33
14.1	General.....	33
14.2	Guideline: Fault detection requirements.....	34
14.3	Checklist of potential faults.....	34
14.3.1	Invocation faults.....	34
14.3.2	Execution faults.....	34
14.4	Guideline: Recovery from non-fatal faults.....	35
15	Guidelines on options and implementation dependence.....	35
15.1	General.....	35
15.2	Guidelines on service options.....	36
15.2.1	Guideline: Optional service features.....	36
15.2.2	Guideline: Avoidance of assumptions about the use of the service.....	36
15.2.3	Guideline: Use of query mechanism.....	36
15.2.4	Guideline: Management of optional service features.....	36
15.2.5	Guideline: Definition of optional features.....	37
15.3	Guidelines on interface options.....	37
15.3.1	Guideline: Completeness of interface.....	37
15.3.2	Guideline: Interface to service with options.....	37
15.4	Guidelines on binding options.....	37
15.4.1	Guideline: Completeness of binding.....	37
15.4.2	Guideline: Binding to a service with options.....	37
15.4.3	Guideline: Binding to a language with optional features.....	38
15.5	Guidelines on implementation dependence.....	38
15.5.1	Guideline: Completeness of definition.....	38
15.5.2	Guideline: Provision of implementation options.....	38
15.5.3	Guideline: Implementation-defined limits.....	39
16	Guidelines on conformity requirements.....	40
16.1	General.....	40
16.2	Guidelines for specifying conformity of implementations of the service.....	41
16.2.1	Guideline: Avoidance of assumptions about the implementation language.....	41
16.2.2	Guideline: Avoidance of representational assumptions.....	41
16.2.3	Guideline: Avoidance of implementation model.....	41
16.2.4	Guideline: Requiring end results rather than methods.....	41
16.3	Guidelines for specifying conformity of implementations of the interface.....	41
16.3.1	Guideline: Requirements on implementation-defined aspects.....	41
16.4	Guidelines for specifying conformity of bindings.....	42
16.4.1	Guideline: Propagating requirements to conforming bindings.....	42
16.4.2	Guideline: Adherence to defined semantics.....	42
17	Guidelines on specifying a language binding to a language-independent interface specification.....	42
17.1	General.....	42
17.2	Guideline: Use of bindings to LID and LIPC.....	42
17.3	Guideline: Adherence to defined semantics.....	42
17.4	Guideline: Binding document organization.....	43
17.5	Guideline: "Reference card" binding documents.....	43

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:201...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

18	Guidelines on revisions	44
18.1	General	44
18.2	Kinds of change that a revision can introduce	44
18.2.1	General	44
18.2.2	Addition of a new feature	44
18.2.3	Change to the specification of a well-defined feature	44
18.2.4	Deletion of a well-defined feature	44
18.2.5	Deletion of ill-defined feature	44
18.2.6	Clarification of ill-defined feature	45
18.2.7	Change or deletion of obsolescent feature	45
18.2.8	Change of level definition	45
18.2.9	Change of specified limit to implementation-defined value	45
18.2.10	Change of other implementation requirement	45
18.2.11	Change of conformity clause	45
18.3	General guidelines applicable to revisions	45
18.3.1	Guideline: Revision compatibility	45
18.4	Guidelines on revision of the service specification	45
18.4.1	Guideline: Determining impact on interface and language bindings	45
18.4.2	Guideline: Minimising impact on interface and language bindings	46
18.4.3	Guideline: Use of incremental approach to revision	46
18.5	Guidelines on revision of the service interface	46
18.5.1	Guideline: Buffering unrevised bindings from changes	46
18.5.2	Guideline: Use of incremental amendments	46
18.6	Guidelines on revision of language bindings following revision of the service interface	46
18.6.1	Guideline: Buffering application programs from changes	46
18.6.2	Guideline: Use of incremental amendments	46
18.7	Guidelines on revision of a language binding following revision of the language	47
18.7.1	Guideline: Use of new language features	47
18.7.2	Guideline: Buffering "legacy" application programs from changes	47
18.7.3	Guideline: Buffering application programs by use of options	47
Annex A (informative) Brief guide to language-independent standards		48
Annex B (informative) Glossary of language-independent terms		51
Bibliography		64

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:201...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 22, *Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces*.

This second edition cancels and replaces ISO/IEC TR 14369:1999 and ISO/IEC TR 14369:2014, of which it constitutes a minor revision.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- the reference section has been corrected/updated;
- editorial changes have been made to fully align with ISO/IEC Directives.

Introduction

This document is dedicated to Brian L. Meek in grateful recognition of his leadership and vision in the development of the concepts on programming language independent specifications, and his efforts in producing a set of documents in this area. Without his commitment this document never would have been published.

0.1 Background

This document provides guidance to those writing specifications of services, and of interfaces to services, in a language-independent way, in particular as standards. It can be regarded as complementary to ISO/IEC TR 10182, which provides guidance to those performing language bindings for such services and their interfaces.

NOTE 1 Here and throughout, “language”, on its own or in compounds like “language-independent”, means “programming language”, not “specification language” nor “natural (human) language”, unless explicitly stated.

NOTE 2 A “language-independent” service or interface specification can be expressed using either or both of a natural language like English or a formal specification language like VDM-SL or Z. In a sense, a specification can be regarded as “dependent” on VDM-SL, for example. The term “language-independent” does not imply otherwise, since it refers only to the situation where programming language(s) can otherwise be used in defining the service or interface.

The development of this document was prompted by the existence of an earlier draft IEEE Technical Report (IEEE TCOS-SCC Technical Report on Programming Language Independent Specification Methods, draft 4, May 1991). The TCOS draft was concerned with specifications of services in a POSIX systems environment, and as such contained much detailed POSIX-specific guidance; nevertheless it was clear that many of the principles, if not the detail, were applicable much more generally. This document was conceived as a means of providing such more general guidance. Because of the very different formats, and the POSIX-related detail in the TCOS draft, there is almost no direct correspondence between the two documents, except in the discussion of the benefits of a language-independent principles below. However, the spirit and principles of the TCOS draft were of great value in developing this document, and reappear herein, albeit in much altered and more general form.

NOTE 3 The TCOS draft has not in fact been published, as the result of an IEEE decision to concentrate activities in other POSIX areas.

0.2 Principles

Service or interface specifications that are independent of any particular language, particularly when embodied in recognized standards, are increasingly seen as an important factor in promoting interoperation and substitution of system components, and reducing dependence on and consequent limitations due to particular language platforms.

NOTE It is possible for a specification to be “independent” of a particular language in a formal sense, but still be dependent on it through inbuilt assumptions derived from that language which do not necessarily hold for other languages. The term “language-independent” here is meant in a much stronger sense than that, though complete independence from all inbuilt assumptions can be difficult if not impossible to achieve.

Potential benefits from language-independent service or interface specifications include:

- A language-independent interface specification specifies those requirements that are common to all language bindings to that interface, and hence provides a specification to which language bindings can conform.
- A language-independent interface specification is a re-usable component for constructing language bindings.
- A language-independent interface specification aids the construction of language bindings by providing a common reference to which all bindings can relate. Through this common reference it is possible to make use of pre-existing language bindings to language-independent standards

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 14369:201...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

for common features such as datatypes and procedure calls, and to other language-independent specifications with related concepts.

- A language-independent service or interface specification provides an abstract specification of a service in isolation from language-dependent extensions or restrictions, and hence facilitates more rigorous modelling of services and interfaces.
- Language-independent service specifications facilitate the specification of relationships between one service and another, by making it easier to relate common concepts than is generally possible when the specifications are dependent on different languages.
- A language-independent interface specification facilitates the definition of relationships between different language bindings to a common service (such as requirements for interoperability between applications based on different languages that are sharing a common service implementation), by providing a common reference specification to which all the languages can relate.
- A language-independent interface specification facilitates the definition of relations between bindings to multiple services, including the requirements on management of multiple name spaces.
- A language-independent service or interface specification brings economic benefits by reducing the effort and resources needed to ensure compatibility and consistency of behaviour between implementations of the same service in different languages or between applications based on different languages using the same interface.