

20943-3

First edition
2004-03-01

Information technology — Procedures for achieving metadata registry content consistency —

Part 3: Value domains

*Technologies de l'information — Procédures pour réaliser la consistance du contenu de l'enregistrement des métadonnées —
Partie 3: Domaines de valeur*

Reference number
ISO/IEC TR 20943-3:2004(E)



This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 20943-3:2...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

© ISO/IEC 2004

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 20943-3:2...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

Contents

Page

| | |
|--|----|
| Foreword | iv |
| Introduction | v |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Purpose | 1 |
| 1.3 Limits of this Technical Report | 1 |
| 1.4 Registration approach — value domains and data elements..... | 1 |
| 2 Normative references | 1 |
| 3 Terms and definitions | 2 |
| 4 Understanding value domains | 2 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 2 |
| 4.2 General principles | 3 |
| 4.2.1 Introduction | 3 |
| 4.2.2 Choice of codes | 4 |
| 4.2.3 Number of permissible values | 4 |
| 4.2.4 Conceptual domain hierarchies | 4 |
| 4.2.5 Sharing value meanings across permissible values..... | 5 |
| 4.2.6 Sharing value domains across data elements..... | 5 |
| 4.2.7 Associating value domains with concepts (data element concepts and conceptual domains) | 5 |
| 4.2.8 Value domains not associated with data elements | 6 |
| 4.2.9 Contrasting conceptual domains and data element concepts..... | 6 |
| 4.2.10 Non-enumerated value domains | 6 |
| 4.2.11 Value domains with enumerated and non-enumerated components..... | 7 |
| 4.2.12 Semantic restriction of use of value domains | 8 |
| 4.2.13 Rapidly changing enumerated value domains (UPC example)..... | 8 |
| 4.3 Structure in value domains | 9 |
| 4.3.1 Introduction | 9 |
| 4.3.2 International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC)..... | 9 |
| 4.3.3 Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes (LOINC) | 11 |
| 4.4 Code sets as value domains | 12 |
| 4.5 Classification schemes as value domains | 13 |
| 4.6 Data types and value domains | 15 |
| 4.6.1 Basics..... | 15 |
| 4.6.2 Value domains with more than one data type — limitations of value meaning | 15 |
| 4.7 Units of measure | 16 |
| 4.8 Dimensionality..... | 18 |
| 4.9 Classifying value domains | 19 |
| 5 Registering value domains | 20 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 20 |
| 5.2 Rules for registering value domains | 20 |
| 5.3 Strategies | 23 |
| 5.4 Examples..... | 23 |
| 5.4.1 Enumerated value domain | 24 |
| 5.4.2 Non-enumerated value domain | 30 |
| Annex A Metamodel for value domains and conceptual domains | 33 |
| Bibliography | 35 |

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

In exceptional circumstances, the joint technical committee may propose the publication of a Technical Report of one of the following types:

- type 1, when the required support cannot be obtained for the publication of an International Standard, despite repeated efforts;
- type 2, when the subject is still under technical development or where for any other reason there is the future but not immediate possibility of an agreement on an International Standard;
- type 3, when the joint technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example).

Technical Reports of types 1 and 2 are subject to review within three years of publication, to decide whether they can be transformed into International Standards. Technical Reports of type 3 do not necessarily have to be reviewed until the data they provide are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC TR 20943-2, which is a Technical Report of type 3, was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data management and interchange*.

ISO/IEC 20943 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Procedures for achieving metadata registry content consistency*:

- *Part 1: Data elements* [Technical Report]
- *Part 3: Value domains* [Technical Report]

The following parts are under preparation:

- *Part 2: XML structured data*
- *Part 4: Overview*

This is a preview of "ISO/IEC TR 20943-3:2...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

Introduction

The exchange of metadata between metadata registries based on ISO/IEC 11179, *Information technology — Metadata registries* (all parts), depends not only on registry software that conforms to the standard, but also on metadata contents that are comparable between registries. While the standard has provisions for data specification and registration, there are pragmatic issues pertaining to populating the registries with content. Based on the experiences of organizations that are implementing the standard, technical reports to explore content issues will help current and future users.

Metadata registries can be used to register data elements, value domains, other objects, and associated attributes for many kinds of organizational data resource collections. Metadata registries can store information describing value domains used to specify the allowed values of a data element, the codes in a standard list, and classification schemes.

This technical report is based on ISO/IEC 11179-3:2003 of the six-part ISO/IEC 11179 International Standard that describes the organization of a registry for managing the semantics of data. The standard specifies the structure of a registry in the form of a conceptual model. The conceptual model is not intended to be a logical or physical data model for a computer system.

ISO/IEC 11179-3:2003, models a value domain and an associated conceptual domain. Conceptualization and articulation of rules and relationships are needed in the creation of conceptual domains and value domains. Reuse of value domains should be enabled and regularized. *Elementarily equivalent domains* have a relationship between their values that needs to be captured in a metadata registry. Some *conceptually equivalent domains* have relationships between their values, too. These also need to be captured. This Technical Report describes how this can be accomplished.

While metadata registries can be used for storing information about a variety of metadata items, this Technical Report addresses only value domains, conceptual domains, and their associated attributes and relationships. The goal of this paper is to ensure that there is a common understanding of the content of the value domain attributes so that metadata can be shared between registries, despite their differences.