ISSN: 1041-5653

ANSI/NISO Z39.71-1999

Holdings Statements for Bibliographic Items

Abstract: Specifies display requirements for holdings statements for bibliographic items to promote consistency in the communication and exchange of holdings information. The standard applies to holdings statements for bibliographic items in any physical or electronic medium. It may be applied to electronic resources available to an institution, either under its control, or available under other arrangements. It applies to both manual and automated means of recording holdings.

An American National Standard Developed by the National Information Standards Organization

Approved March 26, 1999 by the American National Standards Institute

Published by the National Information Standards Organization Bethesda, Maryland



This is a preview of "ANSI/NISO Z39.71-199...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

Published by NISO Press 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 300 Bethesda, MD 20814 www.niso.org

Copyright ©1999 by the National Information Standards Organization All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright Conventions. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publisher. All inquiries should be addressed to NISO Press, 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 300, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Printed in the United States of America

ISSN: 1041-5653 National Information Standard Series

ISBN: 1-880124-39-4

This paper meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (R 1997) Permanence of Paper.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

National Information Standards Organization (U.S.)

Holdings statements for bibliographic items: an American national standard developed by the National Information Standards Organization.

p. cm.

" ANSI/NISO Z39.71-1999."

ISBN 1-880124-39-4 (alk. paper)

1. Holdings (Bibliographic data)—Standards—United States.

I. Title.

Z693.3 H65N36 1999 025.3'24—dc21 99-10693

CIP

Contents

Fo	oreword	١
1	to the desertion	
<u>I.</u>	. Introduction	
	1.1 Scope	
	1.2 Principles	
	1.3 References	3
2.	. Definitions	3
3.	. Tables	11
	3.1 Punctuation Used in the Extent of Holdings Area	11
	3.2 Content of Holdings Statements	12
	3.3 Physical Form Designators	13
4.	. Holdings Statement Structure	15
	4.1 Data Areas	
	4.2 Data Elements	15
	4.3 Levels	15
	4.4 Punctuation and Separators	16
	4.5 Holdings Statement Composition	16
	4.6 Different Physical and Electronic Media	17
	4.7 Multiple Locations and Copies	
5.	. Data Areas and Data Elements	17
	5.1 Item Identification Area	
	5.2 Location Data Area	18
	5.2.1 Institution Identifier	18
	5.2.2 Sublocation Identifier	18
	5.2.3 Copy Identifier	18
	5.2.4 Call Number	
	5.3 Date of Report Area	
	5.4 General Holdings Area	
	5.4.1 Type of Unit Designator	
	5.4.2 Physical Form Designator	

5.4.3 Completeness Designator	20
5.4.4 Acquisition Status Designator	21
5.4.5 Retention Designator	22
5.5 Extent of Holdings Area	22
5.5.1 Guidelines on Extent of Holdings Area	22
5.5.1.1 Choice of Data Element	23
5.5.1.2 Itemized and Compressed Holdings Statements	23
5.5.1.3 Secondary Bibliographic Units	24
5.5.1.4 Enumeration and Chronology	24
5.5.1.5 Gaps and Non-Gap Breaks	25
5.5.1.6 Supplements and Indexes	26
5.5.2 Name of Unit	27
5.5.3 Extent of Unit	28
5.5.4 Enumeration	28
5.5.4.1 Levels of Enumeration	28
5.5.4.2 Captions	29
5.5.4.3 Sequential Designators	30
5.5.4.4 Multiple Enumerations	32
5.5.4.5 Unnumbered Issues	32
5.5.5 Chronology	32
5.5.5.1 Levels of Chronology	33
5.5.5.2 Dates	33
5.5.6 Specific Extent Note	35
5.6 Holdings Note Area	35
Appendix : Examples of Holdings Statements	37
	_
Index	47

FOREWORD

(This Foreword is not part of the American National Standard for Holdings Statements for Bibliographic Items, ANSI/NISO Z39.71-1999. It is included for information only.)

The Role of a Standard

In developing this standard, NISO Standards Committee AL grappled with the philosophical question of the role of a standard. Should the standard set goals, or should the standard provide pragmatic rules (some of which may already be in practice)? Standards Committee AL (SC AL) based its decisions broadly, considering the needs of the library community as a whole. As a result, the new standard generally makes allowances for past practices which are no longer recommended ("grandfathering").

One Holdings Standard or Two?

Since 1989, there have been two standards for holdings statements: ANSI Z39.44 for serials, and ANSI/NISO Z39.57 for non-serials. One of the first issues considered by SC AL was whether NISO should continue to support two standards or should it develop one standard for holdings statements regardless of bibliographic format. In merging the bibliographic MARC formats into one document, the United States library community has expressed support for the concept of integration. Because the principles of bibliographic and holdings data are interrelated, the committee concluded that the concept of a single document should be applied to holdings, as it has to bibliographic data.

Another consideration was that a clear-cut distinction between "serial" and "non-serial" is difficult to make in the case of such publications as conference proceedings, loose-leaf materials, and electronic publications. Not all libraries treat these materials the same way.

For these reasons, the committee was persuaded that one standard for holdings would be the most appropriate approach.

Relationship Between the New Holdings Standard and Earlier Ones

Revisions to a standard or the introduction of new concepts in a standard understandably cause consternation for those who have created holdings statements using an earlier version of a given standard. Is an institution expected to go back and revise all of its work to conform to the latest rules? When Z39.44-1986 replaced Z39.42-1980, holdings statements created under the earlier standard were accommodated in the current standard, or "grandfathered" in. Users were given two options for formatting enumeration and chronology data in Z39.44; the second option followed the earlier standard's format. Thus users of the new standard could either continue to format holdings as before, or could change to the new model. This practice of accommodating holdings statements created under earlier standards is maintained in Z39.71-1999.

Certain codes and values used in the earlier standards are not used in Z39.71-1999. Such values are called "obsolete," a term used in MARC formats. As stated in *The USMARC Formats: Background and Principles* (MARBI, 1996), "An obsolete content designator may continue to appear in records created prior to the date it was made obsolete. Obsolete content designators are not used in new records."

In the course of revision, SC AL resolved various inconsistencies in punctuation. The committee examined punctuation in the earlier U.S. standards, in ISO 10324, and in ANSI/NISO Z39.56-1996,

© NISO Page v

FOREWORD

Serial Item and Contribution Identifier (SICI). The committee determined that because the goals of SICI are quite different from the goals of a standard for holdings statements, punctuation could not always be identical. In resolving conflicts between the punctuation of ISO 10324 and the U.S. standards Z39.44 and Z39.57, the committee chose to follow the punctuation of the U.S. standards. SC AL based its decisions on common sense and on what would least affect users.

Relationship Between a NISO Holdings Standard and the USMARC Format for Holdings Data

Like all MARC formats, the USMARC Format for Holdings Data serves as a communications format for data, not a display format. The NISO standard specifies the content standards for holdings statements, while the MARC holdings format provides the structure for holdings records. SC AL frequently checked definitions and coding in the USMARC Format for Holdings Data, and considered the impact of changes on that format. The committee adopted the MARC holdings format list of codes for physical form designator for use in this standard and recommended relevant changes to the MARC holdings format.

Relationship Between NISO and ISO Holdings Standards

During its September 1995 meeting, SC AL members reviewed ISO 10324, the international standard for summary level holdings statements. The committee paid significant attention to ISO 10324 because, whenever possible, NISO supports harmonization with, or adoption of, international standards. The committee chose to take the ISO standard, rather than the 1991 draft of Z39.71, as the starting point for Z39.71-1999.

Multiple Versions of a Title

SC AL considered the long-standing issue of how to handle multiple versions of a single title (for example, a title issued in paper and microform, as a long-playing recording and on a compact disc, and in paper and online). Should each version be cataloged on a separate bibliographic record, or should all versions be cataloged on one record? The increase in electronic publications has intensified the debate about multiple versions. The conflict today is essentially a bibliographic dilemma and therefore outside the scope of this standard. SC AL has created a holdings standard that can accommodate a variety of cataloging techniques.

It is up to each library or group to determine the rules by which it represents bibliographic data. Holdings statements are then attached to the resulting bibliographic record or records.

Two Options for the Extent of Holdings Area

The Extent of Holdings Area has been made more flexible in Z39.71. First, a detailed level holdings statement (level 4) may end with a hyphen: an "open" holdings statement. This allows a detailed holdings statement that does not require updating each time a new unit is received.

Second, Z39.71 allows a mixed-level holdings statement with part at the summary level and part at the detailed level. This supports institutions that cannot create fully detailed holdings statements for their earlier volumes but have full information for recent volumes. It also accommodates institutions that built holdings statements starting with information from Z39.42 summary-level statements, but now maintain detailed information.

Page vi © NISO

FOREWORD

ANSI/NISO Z39.71-1999

This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the National Information Standards Organization. It was balloted by the NISO Voting Members October 29, 1997 -January 31, 1998. It is scheduled to be reviewed in 2003. Suggestions for improving this standard are welcome. They should be sent to the National Information Standards Organization, 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 300, Bethesda, MD 20814. NISO approval of this standard does not necessarily imply that all Voting Members voted for its approval. At the time it approved this standard, NISO had the following Voting Members:

NISO Voting Members

Jerry Karel

Richard W. Lindahl (Alt)

American Association of Law Libraries

Robert L. Oakley Mary Alice Baish (Alt)

American Chemical Society

Robert S. Tannehill, Jr.

Leon R. Blauvelt (Alt)

American Library Association

Carlen Ruschoff

American Society for Information Science

Kurt Kopp

American Society of Indexers

Marie Kascus

Charlotte Skuster (Alt)

American Theological Library Association

Myron B. Chace

Ameritech Library Services

John Kolman

Amoco Corporation

Randy R. Reddemann

Apple Computer, Inc.

Janet Vratny

Armed Forces Medical Library

Diane Zehnpfennig

Emily Court (Alt)

Art Libraries Society of North America

David L. Austin Susan Jurist (Alt)

Association for Information and Image Management

Marilyn Wright

Association of Information and Dissemination Centers

Bruce H. Kiesel

Association of Jewish Libraries

Pearl Berger

David Gilner (Alt)

Association of Research Libraries

Duane E. Webster

CARL Corporation

Ward Shaw

College Center for Library Automation

J. Richard Madaus

Ann Armbrister (Alt)

Data Research Associates, Inc. Michael J. Mellinger Candy Bogar (Alt)

Data Research Users' Group, Inc.

Beth F. Anderson

EBSCO Information Services

Sandra H. Hurd Melanie Watts (Alt)

Elsevier Science Incorporated John Mancia

Norman Paskin (Alt)

The Faxon Company

Alan Nordman

D. Jeffrey Blumenthal

Gaylord Information Systems

James English

William Schickling (Alt)

GCA Research Institute

Norman Scharpf

Geac Computers, Inc.

B. J. Mitchell

Hewlett-Packard Company

Lynne Szabo

Eugenie Prime (Alt)

IBM

Tryg Ager

Indiana Cooperative Library Services Authority

Millard Johnson

Dea Szatkowski (Alt)

Information Access Company

Victoria Gray

Innovative Interfaces, Inc.

Gerald M. Kline

Sandra Westall (Alt)

Knight-Ridder Information, Inc.

Richard Boulderstone

David Loy (Alt)

Lexis/Nexis Peter Ryall

Library Binding Institute

Sally Grauer

The Library Corporation Mark P. Wilson

Nancy Capps (Alt)

continued

FOREWORD

NISO Voting Members (continued)

Library of Congress Winston Tabb Sally H. McCallum (Alt)

Lucent Technologies M. E. Brennan

Medical Library Association Hope Barton Carla J. Funk (Alt)

MINITEX

Cecelia Boone William DeJohn (Alt)

Motion Picture Association of America William M. Baker

Axel aus der Muhlen (Alt)

Music Library Association Lenore Coral Geraldine Ostrove (Alt)

National Agricultural Library Pamela Q. J. Andre Gary K. McCone (Alt)

National Archives and Records Administration Alan Calmes

National Federation of Abstracting and Information Services John Schnepp

National Institute of Standards and Technology Paul Vassallo

National Library of Medicine Lois Ann Colaianni

OCLC, Inc.

Donald J. Muccino

OhioLINK David Barber

PALINET

James E. Rush

R. R. Bowker

Albert Simmonds

R. R. Donnelley & Sons, Co. Sidney P. Marland III

Readmore Academic Services Sandra J. Gurshman Amira Aaron (Alt)

The Research Libraries Group, Inc. Kathy Bales Wayne Davison (Alt) SGML Forum of New York, Inc. W. Joseph Davidson Chet Ensign (Alt)

SilverPlatter Information Incorporated Peter Ciuffetti

Barbara Bishop (Alt)

SIRS, Inc.

Leonardo Lazo Harry Kaplanian (Alt)

Society for Technical Communication C.J. Bibus

Kevin Burns (Alt)

Society of American Archivists Paul Conway

Special Libraries Association Marjorie Hlava

SUNY/OCLC Liz Lane

UMI

Todd Fegan Jim Tumolo (Alt)

U.S. Department of the Army, Headquarters Paula E. Vincent

U.S. Department of Defense, Defense Technical Information Center Gretchen A. Schlag Gopalakrishnan Nair (Alt)

U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Scientific and Technical Information
Nancy Hardin

U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science

Jane Williams

VTLS, Inc.

Vinod Chachra

West Publishing Company Forrest Rhoads

The H.W. Wilson Company George I. Lewicky Ann Case (Alt)

Winnebago Software Company Karen Folstad Carol Blagsvedt (Alt)

Page viii © NISO

FOREWORD

ANSI/NISO Z39.71-1999

NISO Board of Directors

At the time NISO approved this standard, the following individuals served on its Board of Directors:

Joel H Baron, Chair

Dawson/Information Services Group

Michael J. McGill, Immediate Past Chair University of Michigan Medical Center

Donald J. Muccino, Vice Chair/Chair-elect Online Computer Library Center, Inc.

Michael J. Mellinger, Treasurer Data Research Associates, Inc.

Patricia R. Harris, Executive Director

National Information Standards Organization

Directors Representing Libraries

Lennie Stovel

The Research Libraries Group, Inc.

Nolan F. Pope

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Beverly P. Lynch

University of California-Los Angeles

Directors Representing Information Services

Vinod Chachra

VTLS, Inc.

Howard Turtle

West Publishing Company

Directors Representing Publishing

Marjorie Hlava

Access Innovations, Inc.

Brian Green

Book Industry Communication

Albert Simmonds

Reed Reference Publishing

Standards Committee AL

NISO Standards Committee AL on Holdings Statements for Bibliographic Items, which developed this standard, had the following members:

Martha Hruska, Co-chair University of Florida

Ellen C. Rappaport, Co-chair Albany Law School

Marjorie E. Bloss

Center for Research Libraries

John Espley VTLS, Inc.

Helen E. Gbala

Ameritech Library Services

Rebecca S. Guenther Library of Congress

Elaine Henjum

Florida Center for Library Automation

Myrtle Myers

Online Computer Library Center, Inc.

Suzanne L. Thomas University of Pittsburgh

Sally McCallum (Library of Congress) provided invaluable help regarding the ISO standard and the MARC format.

Acknowledgment

NISO standards are collaborative documents that draw on the experience and contributions of many people. Standards Committee AL would like to recognize the work of the late Glyn T. Evans who chaired the committee that wrote the first ANSI holdings standard, Z39.42.

© NISO Page ix

This is a preview of "ANSI/NISO Z39.71-199". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI sto	ore.

Holdings Statements for Bibliographic Items

1. Introduction

1.1 Scope

1.1.1 Display Requirements

This standard specifies display requirements for holdings statements for bibliographic items to promote consistency in the communication and exchange of holdings information. It applies to holdings statements for bibliographic items in any physical or electronic medium. It also applies to both manual and automated means of recording holdings.

1.1.2 Data Elements

This standard identifies and defines the data elements for holdings statements of bibliographic items appropriate for listings of holdings in one or more libraries or institutions. It specifies which data areas and data elements must be included in the holdings statement. It does not prescribe the sequence in which data areas are to be displayed in a holdings statement. It specifies the content, sequence, and format of data elements within data areas.

This standard is independent of cataloging rule systems. The standard specifies neither how the data elements should be represented in a machine-readable data format, nor the medium(s) employed for storage, display, or transfer of the holdings statements.

1.1.3 Holdings Statement Linkage

This standard requires that the holdings statement be linked to an identification of the bibliographic item to which the statement pertains; however, the method of identifying the bibliographic item is excluded from the standard.

1.2 Principles

1.2.1 Levels of Specificity

This standard defines holdings statements at four levels of specificity. The determination of which level to use is a local decision. Institutions have the option of recording at each of the four levels, depending on the circumstances and the need. The levels are described below and shall contain, as a minimum, the indicated areas. Table 3.2 in section 3 summarizes this information. The four levels of specificity are as follows:

Level 1: Item Identification Data Area and Location Data Area.

Level 2: Level 1 information plus Date of Report Area. Optionally, a Level 2 statement may include General Holdings Area data: physical form of publication, completeness of holdings, acquisition policy, retention policy, and local notes.

Level 3: Required Level 2 information plus the Extent of Holdings Data Area, for basic bibliographic units, supplements, and/or indexes. Optionally, a Level 3 statement may include General Holdings Area data.

Level 4: Required Level 2 information plus the Extent of Holdings Data Area, recorded at the detailed level, for basic bibliographic units, supplements, and/or indexes. Optionally, a Level 4 statement may include General Holdings Area data.

© NISO Page 1