AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

Graphic technology— Color transmission target for input scanner calibration

SECRETARIAT NPES THE ASSOCIATION FOR SUPPLIERS OF PRINTING AND PUBLISHING TECHNOLOGIES

APPROVED JUNE 21, 1993 AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE, INC.

PRINTED AS A PUBLIC SERVICE BY

NPES THE ASSOCIATION FOR SUPPLIERS OF PRINTING, PUBLISHING AND CONVERTING TECHNOLOGIES

1899 Preston White Drive

Reston, Virginia 20191-4367

Telephone: (703) 264-7200

FAX: (703) 620-0994



This is a preview of ANSI 116.7/1-1993 (R... . Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the secretariat whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard periodically. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

American National Standards Institute 11 West 42nd Street, New York, New York 1003

Copyright ©1993 by NPES The Association for Suppliers of Printing and Publishing Technologies All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

This is a preview of "ANSITI8.7/1-1993 (R...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

FOREWORD

(This foreword is not a part of American National Standard IT8.7/1-1993, Graphic technology — Color transmission target for input scanner calibration.)

The intent of this standard is to define an input test target that will allow any color input scanner to be calibrated with any film dye set used to create the target.

The IT8 Committee was accredited by the American National Standards Institute in 1987 to facilitate the development of standards for digital data exchange.

The IT8 Committee recommends the voluntary implementation and use of this standard by the prepress segment of the graphic arts industry at its earliest convenience.

Suggestions for improving this standard are welcome. They should be sent to Secretariat, NPES The Association for the Suppliers of Printing and Publishing Technologies, 1899 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 22091-4367. Technical questions and requests for clarification should also be addressed in writing to the Secretariat.

This standard was prepared by IT8 Subcommittee 4 and was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by Accredited Standards Committee IT8. Committee approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval. At the time this standard was approved the leadership of IT8 was as follows:

Chairman, Paul Hanson Vice Chairman, S. Thomas Dunn Secretary, William K. Smythe, Jr. AAU.//A A//V

At the time it approved this standard, the IT8 Committee had the following personnel:

Organization Represented

AD/SAT, Inc. Association for Information and Image Management ASG Sherman Graphics, Inc. Adobe Systems Incorporated Advanced Vision Research Agfa Division/Miles Inc.

American Association of Advertising Agencies American Color Applied Graphics Technologies Autologic Incorporated Banta Prepress Group

CalComp Case Hoyt/Rochester Color Response Colorhouse, Inc.

Barco Industries

Crosfield Electronics Ltd. Crown Graphics Cymbolic Sciences International

Dainippon Screen Fraunhofer-Institut

Du Pont Electronic Imaging

Dunn Technology, Inc.

Eastman Kodak Company

Flexographic Technical Association Fuji Photo Film U.S.A., Inc. Gerber Systems Corporation Graphic Arts Technical Foundation Gravure Association of America Hanson Graphics of Memphis Harper House, Inc. Hyphen Incorporated International Association of Diecutting & Diemaking

Name of Representative

James B. Jenkins (Obs.) Jean Baronas Marilyn Courtot (Alt.) John Gagliano Steve Zilles George Tharakan Patrick Thomas Paul Delabastita (Alt.) Don Greifenkamp

Grant Hall (Obs.) Murray Oles (Obs.) Randy Bowen (Obs.) Melene Follert (Obs.) Hans L.F. De Stecker (Obs.) Karel Roose (Obs.) William C. Kress Ken Nowicki (Obs.) Karl G. Schnellinger Bill Klocke (Obs.) Bill Sieps (Obs.) Tony Johnson Robert Gartner (Obs.) Alistair Reed Mark Bunce (Alt.) Bruce C. Shifrin Jurgen Schonhut (Obs.) John C. Ufford Robert C. Strum (Alt.) S. Thomas Dunn Patrice M. Dunn (Alt.) David Q. McDowell Chris Goldsmith (Alt.) Lu Linares (Obs.) Lawrence C. Warter Frank Wilk Richard Warner Gregory Tyszka

Organization Represented

International Prepress Association

Kieffer-Nolde, Inc. Kreber Graphics Linotype-Hell Company

Magazine Publishers Association Mandel Company McGraw Hill Inc. The Mitre Corporation National Association of Printers and Lithographers

NPES The Association for Suppliers of Printing and Publishing Technologies National Computer Graphics Association Robert Willis (Obs.) Newspaper Association of America Oceana **Optronics**

PMSI - Photo Mechanical Services, Inc. Page Imaging Peerless Engraving Phototype Color Graphics Pindar Graphics PLC Polychrome Corporation

Quad/Tech, Inc. Quality House of Graphics Quebecor Printing (USA) Corp. R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company Research & Engineering Council of the Graphic Arts Industry Ringier America, Inc.

Schawk Inc. Scitex Corporation Ltd. Screaming Technology Inc.

Shandata Shira Computers Ltd. Technical Association of the Graphic Arts

Xyvision Design Systems, Inc.

3M Company

Name of Representative

Henry L. Hatch Paul F. Borth (Alt.) Thomas Klamm (Obs.) Joe Kreber (Obs.) Dieter Preuss Ted J. Cooper (Alt.) Kevin Hickey Lee Catlett (Obs.) Cesare Del Vaglio (Obs.) Bradley R. Dunkle (Obs.) William Mullins

Richard S. Fisch

John W. Iobst Mark Rand Bruce Harrison Tom Holzinger (Obs.) Bob Manuel (Obs.) Sam Bracy (Obs.) Harold Wyckoff (Obs.) Graham Cook (Obs.) Gary H. Dolgins Hideyuki Shoji (Alt.) Alan Darling (Obs.) Ozzie Aslanian (Obs.) Terry Bush James D. Mason Fred Rogers Harold A. Molz (Alt.) Joseph Kleine-Jaeger Mark O. Jones (Alt.) Phillip DeWulf (Obs.) Edna Shachar Ken Filarski David Lewis (Alt.) Brian M. Cluer Rene Dubois David Q. McDowell Paul R. Guy (Alt.)

IT8 Subcommittee 4

David McDowell, Chair Mary Abbott, Secretary SC4

Yigal Accad Ted J. Cooper Gary H. Dolgins S. Thomas Dunn Richard Fisch Jack Fraser Paul Hoffenberg Richard Holub Toru Hoshino

Miyuki Hosoi Po-Chieh Hung Tony Johnson Jun Komaki William Kress Matty Litvak John W. Long David McDowell Noboru Ohta

Paul M. Hanson

Ronald Ballard

Chris Jackson (Obs.)

Ian Rutledge (Obs.)

Dieter Preuss Mark Rand Danny Rich Raymond Roosen Tom Schuneman Edna Shachar **Bruce Shifrin**

Hideyuki Shoji Robert Strum Eran Steinberg Arthur Taggi Modest Vereychen Lawrence C. Warter Sandy Whitenack

Ran Bedekar Richard S. Fisch (Alt.)

Alan Green

This is a preview of "ANSITI8.7/1-1993 (R...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

Graphic technology — Color transmission target for input scanner calibration

Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1 Scope | 3 |
| 2 Normative references | 3 |
| 3 Definitions | 3 |
| 4 Requirements | 6 |
| 4.2 Target layout and physical characteristics | 6 |
| 4.4 Color gamut mapping | 13 |
| 4.6 Neutral scale mapping | 14 |
| 4.8 Spectral measurement and colorimetric calculation | 15 |
| 4.10 Data file format | |
| Annexes | |
| Annex A (informative) Gamut mapping - computational reference | 19 |
| Annex B (informative) Application notes | 21 |
| Annex C (informative) Data file format | 25 |

This is a preview of "ANSITI8.7/1-1993 (R...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

118.//1-1993

Graphic technology — Color transmission target for input scanner calibration

Introduction

Purpose

Color input scanners do not all analyze color the same way the human eye does. These devices are designed to optimize the signal generated when typical materials are scanned. Color transparency products use various combinations of proprietary dye sets to achieve visual responses that simulate the color appearance of natural scene elements. The ability to achieve the same color appearance from different combinations of dyes is referred to as metamerism. Because both film dyes and scanner sensitivities vary from product to product, there is a variability in the scanner response to metameric colors produced by the various materials.

The intent of this standard is to define an input test target that will allow any color input scanner to be calibrated with any film dye set used to create the target. This standard is intended to address the color transparency products which are generally used for input to the preparatory process for printing and publishing.

The target was designed to be useable for calibration by visual comparison and as a numerical data target for electronic systems and future development. The target design made use of a uniform color space to optimize the spacing of target patches. The tolerances developed for individual colored patches meet the values needed for both numerical and visual analysis.

This standard represents the first part of a multi-part standard which addresses graphic arts color definition issues. IT8.7/2, "Graphic technology — Color reflection target for input scanner calibration" and IT8.7/3, "Graphic technology — Input data for characterization of 4-color process printing" are companion documents.

Technical background

Design of target

The CIE 1976 (L*a*b*) or CIELAB color space was chosen as the space to be used for the design of the color calibration target. Uniform spacing in hue, lightness and chroma, and tolerancing in terms of differences in these parameters (ΔE^*_{ab}) is believed to provide a reasonable distribution of colored patches in the most effective manner. Although CIELAB was defined by CIE with reference to reflection viewing conditions, tolerancing in terms of vector differences (ΔE) does provide a reasonable error estimate for transmission materials.

The design goal of the committee was to define a target that would have, as its main part, as many common colored patches as was practical, regardless of dye set used. It was determined that the remainder of the target should define the unique color characteristics of the particular dye set used to create a specific target; the values for each target element should be established using a common procedure.

To provide a reasonable measure of the color gamut that is within the capability of modern color films, all manufacturers of these films were invited to provide color dye data along with the necessary minimum and maximum density data for each of their image forming color dye sets. Data were provided by Agfa Company, Eastman Kodak Company, Fuji Photo Film Company, and Konica Corporation. These data

were then used to estimate the CIELAB color gamut that each dye set could produce. This estimate was achieved by mathematical modelling (by several of the participating companies) using methods which were different but gave very similar results. Annex A provides additional reference material concerning the methodology used in selecting aim values.

NOTE — The following documents provide reference information on the computational methods used in gamut determination:

- 1. N. Ohta, "The Color Gamut Obtainable by the Combination of Subtractive Color Dyes. V. Optimum Absorption Bands as Defined by Nonlinear Optimization Technique." Journal of Imaging Science, 30[1], 9-12(1986).
- 2. M. Inui, "A Fast Algorithm for Computing the Colour Gamut of Subtractive Colour Mixture." Journal of Photographic Science, 38[4,5], 163-164 (1990).

All computations were based upon the use of the CIE 2 degree observer and D50 illuminant. All transmission measurements were made using diffuse/normal or normal/diffuse geometry as defined for total transmittance. The use of an absolute reference rather than film Dmin allows all colors that have the same colorimetric definition to also look the same when viewed together.

The gamut plots developed were then used to determine the color gamut that was common to all of the provided dye families. The limiting values of chroma were then reduced to 80% of their computed values to create a "common gamut" for purposes of target design.

The committee goal was to have all colored patches defined in the same way (regardless of film used) and to have as many patches as practical. The defined color gamut therefore required a pattern with a consistent reference. An existing color input target provided by Eastman Kodak Company under the designation of "Kodak Color Reproduction Guides, Q- 60^{TM} " was used as a guide in the development of the target. The Q- 60^{TM} target used 12 uniformly spaced (approximately) hue angles in CIELAB. These were sampled at three chroma values at each of three lightness levels. Although this pattern does not provide equal spacing in terms of CIELAB ΔE^*_{ab} , it does provide an easily understandable and defined element arrangement. It was adopted by the committee for the IT8 target with the addition of a fourth product-specific chroma value at each hue angle/lightness combination.

Lightness levels were chosen for each hue angle to best characterize the gamut at that hue angle. The three common chroma values were then chosen such that one fell on the computed 80% chroma limit common to all the products and the others were equally spaced in chroma between this value and the neutral. The fourth chroma, which is product-specific, was defined to be the maximum available from each product at the specific hue angle and lightness level. This provided a consistent mapping for all products.

It was also felt to be important to include scales in each of the individual dyes, dye pairs, and a dye neutral along with areas to define product minimum and maximum densities.

A "vendor-optional" area was provided so that different target manufacturers could add unique elements of their own determination beyond those which are required by this standard.

Manufacturing tolerances

In order to permit practical production of these targets, tolerances had to be set which were capable of being achieved over a significant number of targets. However, this conflicted with the relatively narrow tolerances required for numerical color calibration. Different tolerances were therefore defined for differing applications, with the objective of minimizing variations as far as was reasonable.

Graphic technology - Color transmission target for input scanner calibration

1 Scope

This standard defines the layout and colorimetric values of a target which can be manufactured on any positive color transparency film and which is intended for use in the calibration of a photographic film/scanner combination (as used in the preparatory process for printing and publishing).

2 Normative references

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below. The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) maintains a register of currently valid American National Standards.

- 1. CIE 15.2 (1986), Colorimetry (second edition)
- 2. ISO 1012:1973, Color film for general use Sizes of sheet material
- 3. CIE 17.4 (1987), International Lighting Vocabulary (fourth edition)
- 4. CGATS.5-1993, Graphic technology Spectral measurement and colorimetric computation for graphic arts images
- 5. ISO/IEC 646:1991, Information technology ISO 7-bit coded character set for information interchange

3 Definitions

For the purpose of this Standard, the following definitions apply:

3.1 CIE tristimulus values: Amounts of the three reference color stimuli, in the CIE-specified trichromatic system, required to match the color of the stimulus considered.

NOTE — In the 1931 CIE standard colorimetric system, the tristimulus values are represented by the symbols X, Y, Z. See References 1 and 3.