NSF International, an independent, not-for-profit, nongovernmental organization, is dedicated to being the leading global provider of public health and safety-based risk management solutions while serving the interests of all stakeholders.
Disclaimers

NSF International (NSF), in performing its functions in accordance with its objectives, does not assume or undertake to discharge any responsibility of the manufacturer or any other party. The opinions and findings of NSF represent its professional judgment. NSF shall not be responsible to anyone for the use of or reliance upon this Standard by anyone. NSF shall not incur any obligation or liability for damages, including consequential damages, arising out of or in connection with the use, interpretation of, or reliance upon this Standard. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to judge the suitability of the ANS for the user's purpose.

NSF Standards provide basic criteria to promote sanitation and protection of public health and the environment. Provisions for mechanical and electrical safety have not been included in this Standard because governmental agencies or other national standards-setting organizations provide safety requirements.

Participation in NSF Standards development activities by regulatory agency representatives (federal, local, state) shall not constitute their agency's endorsement of NSF or any of its Standards.

Preference is given to the use of performance criteria measurable by examination or testing in NSF Standards development when such performance criteria may reasonably be used in lieu of design, materials, or construction criteria.

The illustrations, if provided, are intended to assist in understanding their adjacent standard requirements. However, the illustrations may not include all requirements for a specific product or unit, nor do they show the only method of fabricating such arrangements. Such partial drawings shall not be used to justify improper or incomplete design and construction.

At the time of this publication, examples of programs and processes were provided for general guidance. This information is given for the convenience of users of this Standard and does not constitute an endorsement by NSF International. Equivalent programs and processes may be used.

Unless otherwise referenced, the annexes are not considered an integral part of NSF Standards. The annexes are provided as general guidelines to the manufacturer, regulatory agency, user, or certifying organization.

---

1 The information contained in this Disclaimer is not part of this American National Standard (ANS) and has not been processed in accordance with ANSI's requirements for an ANS. Therefore, this Disclaimer may contain material that has not been subjected to public review or a consensus process. In addition, it does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to the Standard.
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Foreword²

This American National Standard, NSF/ANSI 391.1 General Sustainability Assessment Criteria for Professional Services, has been developed as part of the ongoing efforts of a number of interested parties to document and improve the environmental, economic and corporate performance profile of professional services using established and advanced scientific principles, practices, materials, and standards.

The purpose of this Standard for professional services is to establish environmental, economic, social and supply chain performance criteria and performance metrics that exemplify sustainability leadership in the market. These performance criteria are intended to form the basis of conformity assessment programs, such as third-party certification or registration.

This Standard was developed by the NSF Joint Committee on General Sustainability Assessment Criteria for Professional Services using the consensus process described by the American National Standards Institute.

Suggestions for improvement of this Standard are welcome. This Standard is maintained on a Continuous Maintenance schedule and can be opened for comment at any time. Comments should be sent to: Chair, Joint Committee on General Sustainability Assessment Criteria for Professional Services at ncss@nsf.org, or c/o NSF International, Standards Department, PO Box 130140, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48113-0140, USA.

² The information contained in this Foreword is not part of this American National Standard (ANS) and has not been processed in accordance with ANSI’s requirements for an ANS. Therefore, this Foreword may contain material that has not been subjected to public review or a consensus process. In addition, it does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to the Standard.
NSF/ANSI Standard for Sustainability –

General Sustainability Assessment Criteria for Professional Services

1 General

NSF 391.1 is an ANSI approved standard defining a set of qualifying key performance indicators (KPI) for professional services organizations, which also serves as a sustainability credential for suppliers. It is intended as an earned sustainability credential for professional service organizations and, thereby, as a reference tool for procurement professionals seeking to purchase more sustainable services.

NSF International (NSF) developed this Standard to address the lack of a uniform measure of sustainability that can be applied across a range of professional service providers and their offerings. The Standard complements NSF’s expertise in developing sustainability standards for products. As with sustainable product standards, a workable sustainable professional service standard must be relevant and credible to the marketplace. This includes large institutional purchasers of professional services and incentivizing meaningful environmental, social, economic and supply chain practices and outcomes by professional service providers, while providing the flexibility needed to accommodate service providers of different sizes, business models, and geographic footprints. To the extent practicable, it is a goal of this Standard to be applicable across a spectrum of professional service providers in the marketplace, from small and medium enterprises (SMEs) to large privately-held and public corporations.

Though no intent exists to deter “microbusinesses” (firms with 1 to 9 employees) from attempting conformity or seeking to qualify for the credential itself, the 391.1 Standard was not developed with a view toward such organizations due to the general nature of their operational characteristics, including, their smaller workforce, smaller physical footprint, fewer financial resources, and simpler supply chains. These characteristics can present a vastly different set of concerns, abilities and measures from those of the larger subject firms, and thereby cause the performance requirements of this Standard to extend, as a comparative and even practical matter, beyond their reach.

The prerequisites, KPIs and assigned point values in this Standard were developed to establish minimum required levels of achievement for companies seeking base levels of sustainability and those seeking to demonstrate leadership.

One of the major challenges in defining sustainability for the professional service sector has been the scarcity of accurate information on applicable multi-attribute sustainability characteristics. Comparing the sustainability of any one product or service to another can be complex, often prompting reliance on “single-attribute” standards which reduce sustainability to a single metric – for example, energy efficiency, carbon footprint, or lists of hazardous chemicals. Such single-attribute standards are particularly ill-suited to the professional service sector, where investments in human capital and related policies may compete with energy use and environmental impacts in determining the long-term stability of the business and its impact on the community and natural environment. The NSF 391.1 Standard incorporates a broader approach to sustainability, building on the concept of the “triple bottom line,” i.e., environmental, social, and economic (ESE) sustainability, and then going one step further, to factor in sustainability within the supply chain.
chain as a fourth category.\(^5\)

The criteria in this Standard ensures that professional service providers pursuing sustainability in their operations are able to understand the expectations of customers and personnel responsible for procurement of sustainable professional services. The Standard is intended to establish a minimum threshold in determining conformity, while providing supplemental criteria that, when achieved, can lead to “leadership” recognition within the industry.

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Standard is to establish a set of general practices and performance criteria by which professional service providers may demonstrate their sustainability performance to meet the growing demand from governmental and private entities for sustainable products and services. Companies achieving certification to this Standard will have achieved documented and measurable levels of environmental, social, economic, and supply chain sustainability for the operations of their businesses and facilities.

### 1.2 Scope

The Standard is one of the first to focus on the service industry subsectors described as “professional services”. Professional service firms are often characterized as those that have low capital intensity, high knowledge intensity, and a professionalized workforce.\(^6\)

Some subsectors of the service industry rely heavily on the use, consumption, or conversion of raw materials, hazardous materials, manufactured goods, and/or energy to deliver the marketed service. A few examples might include commercial cleaning services, commercial landscaping, pest management, taxi service, and air travel. In these cases, the energy, material, or product-intensive nature of the service may dominate the overall “sustainability footprint” of the subsector, and the traditional lifecycle impacts of these energy and materials inputs may be the largest determinant in the service’s triple-bottom line sustainability. NSF will address these service sectors as part of a separate, complementary Standard.

### 1.3 Boundary

Service providers come in many different sizes and structures, from single-owner proprietorships, co-ops and partnerships with a single leased office, to publicly-traded corporations with dozens of leased and owned buildings / campuses across the country and even worldwide. While the business boundary of a small local enterprise may be obvious, the boundaries can be ambiguous in the case of large multilayer corporations, physically operating within multiple owned and leased properties, where the presence of holding companies, parent companies and subsidiaries may blur operational lines. For this reason, the Standard recognizes the importance of establishing a clear organizational boundary for the entity engaged in the certification process.

“Organizational boundary” is a critical concept to understand, as defining this ‘boundary’, in turn, defines that aspect of the business which may seek and be awarded with a certification under this Standard. Aspects of a business which fall outside this boundary may not apply nor be considered for certification since their measure of sustainability lacks meaningful relevance to the institutions seeking to purchase their services. The question to answer, therefore, is which aspects of a business characterize what shall be inside and what outside this ‘boundary’?

For companies that only offer professional services, the service line can be equivalent to the entire company or specified locations. For companies that offer a mix of professional and non-professional services,

\(^5\) EPA, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20402. <www.epa.gov>

\(^6\) The Sustainable Procurement Leadership Counsel (“SPLC”), Guidance for Leadership in Sustainable Purchasing Version 1.0 (2014) at 147.