ANSI/OEOSC OP1.002-2009 (Revision of ANSI/OEOSC OP1.002-2006)

American National Standard

For Optics and Electro-Optical Instruments –
Optical Elements and Assemblies –
Appearance Imperfections



This is a preview of "ANSI/OEOSC OP1.002-2". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store

ANSI/OEOSC OP1.002-2009 (Revision of ANSI/OEOSC OP1.002-2006)

American National Standard

For Optics and Electro-Optical Instruments –
Optical Elements and Assemblies –
Appearance Imperfections

Secretariat
Optics and Electro-Optics Standards Council

American National Standard

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

Published by

The Optics and Electro-Optics Standards Council P.O. Box 25705 Rochester, NY 14625-0705

Copyright © 2006, 2009 by the Optics and Electro-Optics Standards Council

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Foreword to the second edition	i\
Foreword to the first edition	V
1 Scope	1
1.1 General	
1.2 Reference to this Standard	
1.3 Application Caution	
2 Terms and Definitions	
2.1 Appearance Imperfections	
2.2 Other Definitions	
3 Requirements	
3.1 General	
3.2 Area Imperfections	
3.3 Edge Imperfections	
3.4 Visibility Method for Specifying Scratch and Dig Imperfections	
3.5 Dimensional method for specifying scratch and dig imperfections	۷
3.6 Accumulation	
3.7 Inspection	
Annex A	
A 1. A perfect singlet	
A 2. A perfect doublet	
A 3. Chips	12
A 4. Coating spatter	13
A 5. Digs	
A 6. Bubbles and inclusions	
A 7. Fractures – separated	
A 8. Fractures – hairline	
A 9. Gray	
A 10. Scratch	
A 11. Stain.	
A 12. Cement bubbles, voids, undissolved particles	
A 13. Edge separation	
Annex B	
Annex C	24
Figures	
Figure 1 True Roof Surfaces	,
Figure 2 Chamfer-face	
Figure 3 Transmitted light Inspection, Method 1	
Figure 4 Transmitted Light Inspection, Method 2	8
Figure 5 Reflected Light Inspection	9
Figure B1 Dimensional Comparison Plate	24
Tables	
Table 1 Scratch Designation	5
Table 2 Dig Designation	

Foreword to the second edition (This Foreword does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to this standard.)

The second edition of OP1.002 for Appearance Imperfections includes updates to allow for the specification and inspection of surface imperfections in applications where the physical dimensions, and not the visibility, are important. This is achieved by adopting a second notation based upon MIL-C-48497A and MIL-F-48616 to differentiate from the application of scratch and dig specifications for visibility.

Suggestions for improvement of this standard are welcome. They should be sent to the Optics and Electro-Optics Standards Council, P.O. Box 25705, Rochester, NY 14625-0705.

Steve VanKerkhove, Chairperson Gene Kohlenberg, Secretary Gordon Boultbee, Task Force Leader

This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the OEOSC Committee on Optics and Electro-Optical Instruments, OP. Committee approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval. At the time it approved this second edition of the standard, the OP Committee had the following members:

Organization Represented	Name of Representative	
†APOMA	Walter Czajkowski	
Brookhaven National Lab	Peter Takacs	
Clemson University	Kathleen Richardson	
Corning Tropel	Steven VanKerkhove	
†Davidson Optronics, Inc	Charles Gaugh Sam Bailey, Alternate	
†Gage-Line Technology, Inc	Frank Dombrowski	
†Harold Johnson Optical Lab	Hal Johnson	
†IEEE/LEOS	Marla Dowell	
Individual	David Corridon	
[†] Individual	William E. Royall	
†JDSU	Gordon Boultbee Benjamin Catching, Alternate	
Lawrence Berkeley National Lab	Valeriy V. Yashchuk Wayne McKinney, Alternate	
Lockheed Martin Coherent Technologies	Bruce Netherton	
[†] Northrop Grumman	John M. Hamilton	
[†] Northrop Grumman Laser Systems	Jonathan McGuire	
Photon, Inc	Jeffrey Guttman John Fleischer, Alternate	
†Ray Williamson Consulting	Ray Williamson	
†Research Electro-Optics, Inc	Trey Turner Sam Richman, Alternate	
†Savvy Optics Corp	Dave Aikens	
[†] SPIE Standards Committee	Lincoln Endelman	
+ A member of the Task Force that prepared this standard		

[†] A member of the Task Force that prepared this standard

This is a preview of "ANSI/OEOSC OP1.002-2...". Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.

ANSI/OEOSC OP1.002-2009

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
†Triptar Lens Co., Inc	Allen Krisiloff
[†] Xyratex International Inc	Andrei Brunfeld
Zygo Corporation	Chris Evans Krishna Gupta, Alternate

Foreword to the first edition (This Foreword does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to this standard.)

This standard establishes uniform practices for stating, interpreting, and inspecting appearance imperfections for transmissive and reflective optical elements and assemblies. It does this by presenting the nomenclature and inspection methods of MIL-O-13830 as a national standard. This standard maintains methods for evaluating the appearance of these imperfections using guidelines and scales that have been used in the US and other countries for more than half a century, while attempting to eliminate much of the ambiguity and obsolete nomenclature of the MIL-O-13830 standard and its successor documents. The US Army Comparison Standard required to evaluate optical samples according to this national standard is referenced in clause 3.4.1 and its footnote.

This standard is to be followed by an additional standard covering the functional effects of these imperfections and the methods of specifying and evaluating them.

Robin Pruss, Northrop Grumman Corporation created the images that appear in the Annex.

Suggestions for improvement of this standard are welcome. They should be sent to the Optics and Electro-Optics Standards Council, P.O. Box 25705, Rochester, NY 14625-0705.

This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the OEOSC Committee on Optics and Electro-Optical Instruments, OP. Committee approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval. At the time it approved this standard, the OP Committee had the following members:

Harvey Pollicove, Chairperson Gene Kohlenberg, Secretary Gordon Boultbee, Task Force Leader

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
APOMA	Walter Czajkowski
Clemson University	Kathleen Richardson
Davidson Optronics, Inc	Charles Gaugh
Eastman Kodak Company	William E. Royall
Gage Line Technology, Inc	Frank Dombrowski
Harold Johnson Optical Lab	Hal Johnson
Individual	Rudolf Hartmann
JDS Uniphase Corporation	Gordon H. Boultbee
Northrop Grumman Corporation	John M. Hamilton
SPIE Standards Committee	Lincoln Endelman
Zygo Corporation	David Aikens

American National Standard for – Optics and Electro-Optics Instruments – Optical Elements and Assemblies – Appearance Imperfections

1 Scope

1.1 General

This standard establishes uniform practices for stating, interpreting, and inspecting surface imperfections for transmissive and reflective optical elements and assemblies. This standard provides two alternative notations for specifying surface imperfections. A numerical notation indicates the allowable *visibility* of surface imperfections under specific viewing conditions. An alphabetic notation indicates the allowable *size* of surface imperfections. It is the responsibility of the optical engineer to choose which notation to use. This standard does not address the impact of imperfections on element or system performance.

1.2 Reference to this Standard

Drawings based on this Standard shall note this fact on the drawing or in a document referenced on the drawing.

1.3 Application Caution

This Standard does not address the legal, safety, or health issues associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the users of this Standard to establish appropriate health and safety practices, and to determine the applicability of regulatory limitations. Nothing in this Standard shall be construed to exempt the user from or supersede applicable laws or government regulations.

2 Terms and Definitions

2.1 Appearance Imperfections

An appearance imperfection is an anomaly that appears on or in optical elements and assemblies. The four classes of appearance imperfections are area, long, round, and edge imperfections. The following list defines appearance imperfections pertinent to this specification:

- **2.1.1 Chips:** Typically localized rough and irregular areas or relatively smooth conchoidal fractures (curved concavities resembling a clam shell) where the material has flaked or broken away from the surface, edge, or bevel of an optical element.
- **2.1.2 Coating spatter:** Deposits or droplets of partially vaporized coating material that adhere to the optical surface during the coating operation.
- **2.1.3 Digs:** Round (regular) and sometimes irregular shaped holes or voids (pinholes), including grinding pits and opened entrapped bubbles, in the polished or molded optical surface that may be filled with a residue, e.g., dried grinding or polishing slurry.
- **2.1.4 Fracture:** An obvious crack or split in an optical element that is visible because there is an air spaced separation along the fracture or a barely visible hairline fracture that is almost imperceptible because the material has not yet separated and the two sides remain almost perfectly contacted along the crack.
- **2.1.5 Gray:** An area of the optical surface that appears somewhat gray compared to the rest of the surface. Under magnification, the area will have multiple fine pits and scratches.
- **2.1.6 Scratch:** A marking or tearing of the optical surface that usually has a v-groove contour that is significantly longer in dimension than it is wide. A scratch is sometimes referred to as a long imperfection. Scratches that are shorter than the allowable dig diameter are considered digs.
- **2.1.7 Stain:** A patchy, localized, or overall discoloration of the surface caused by a foreign substance or a chemical reaction.