

M41-A
Vol. 26 No. 35
Replaces M41-P
Vol. 26 No. 7

Viral Culture; Approved Guideline

This document provides guidance for viral culture and identification procedures performed in the clinical virology laboratory.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.



Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Advancing Quality in Healthcare Testing

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS) is an international, interdisciplinary, nonprofit, standards-developing, and educational organization that promotes the development and use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines within the healthcare community. It is recognized worldwide for the application of its unique consensus process in the development of standards and guidelines for patient testing and related healthcare issues. Our process is based on the principle that consensus is an effective and cost-effective way to improve patient testing and healthcare services.

In addition to developing and promoting the use of voluntary consensus standards and guidelines, we provide an open and unbiased forum to address critical issues affecting the quality of patient testing and health care.

PUBLICATIONS

A document is published as a standard, guideline, or committee report.

Standard A document developed through the consensus process that clearly identifies specific, essential requirements for materials, methods, or practices for use in an unmodified form. A standard may, in addition, contain discretionary elements, which are clearly identified.

Guideline A document developed through the consensus process describing criteria for a general operating practice, procedure, or material for voluntary use. A guideline may be used as written or modified by the user to fit specific needs.

Report A document that has not been subjected to consensus review and is released by the Board of Directors.

CONSENSUS PROCESS

The CLSI voluntary consensus process is a protocol establishing formal criteria for:

- the authorization of a project
- the development and open review of documents
- the revision of documents in response to comments by users
- the acceptance of a document as a consensus standard or guideline.

Most documents are subject to two levels of consensus—"proposed" and "approved." Depending on the need for field evaluation or data collection, documents may also be made available for review at an intermediate consensus level.

Proposed A consensus document undergoes the first stage of review by the healthcare community as a proposed standard or guideline. The document should receive a wide and thorough technical review, including an overall review of its scope, approach, and utility, and a line-by-line review of its technical and editorial content.

Approved An approved standard or guideline has achieved consensus within the healthcare community. It should be reviewed to assess the utility of the final document, to ensure attainment of consensus (i.e., that comments on earlier versions have been satisfactorily addressed), and to identify the need for additional consensus documents.

Our standards and guidelines represent a consensus opinion on good practices and reflect the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties obtained by following CLSI's established consensus procedures. Provisions in CLSI standards and guidelines may be more or less stringent than applicable regulations. Consequently, conformance to this voluntary consensus document does not relieve the user of responsibility for compliance with applicable regulations.

COMMENTS

The comments of users are essential to the consensus process. Anyone may submit a comment, and all comments are addressed, according to the consensus process, by the committee that wrote the document. All comments, including those that result in a change to the document when published at the next consensus level and those that do not result in a change, are responded to by the committee in an appendix to the document. Readers are strongly encouraged to comment in any form and at any time on any document. Address comments to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, PA 19087, USA.

VOLUNTEER PARTICIPATION

Healthcare professionals in all specialties are urged to volunteer for participation in CLSI projects. Please contact us at customerservice@clsi.org or +610.688.0100 for additional information on committee participation.

M41-A

ISBN 1-56238-623-9

Volume 26 Number 35

ISSN 0273-3099

Viral Culture; Approved Guideline

Lorraine M. Clarke, PhD
Holly Alexander, PhD
Mary Beth Baker, MS, SV (ASCP), RM (AAM)
Matthew J. Bankowski, PhD, D (ABMM)
Carol Kirk
Michael J. Loeffelholz, PhD, D (ABMM)
James B. Mahony, PhD
D. Kathleen Wright
Martin R. Evans, PhD
Patricia C. Harris, MS, SM (AAM)
Robyn L. McGuire, PhD
Ella M. Swierkosz, PhD

Abstract

This document provides guidance for viral culture and identification procedures that are typically performed in the clinical virology laboratory setting using commercially available cell cultures and reagents. The nature of the cell culture system is one that is inherently variable and thus remains susceptible to numerous adverse conditions that can lead to unreliable results. Several critical elements that must be addressed in devising a viral culture procedure are identified. These include: cell culture selection, assessment and maintenance; cell culture verification and quality control; culture medium preparation and quality control; specimen collection and preparation; isolate identification; and result reporting and interpretation. The intended audience includes laboratories performing either limited or comprehensive viral cultures as well as those that are considering introduction of viral culture. Regardless of the viral diagnostic testing algorithm utilized by a laboratory, the basic principles of viral culture are universal.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Viral Culture; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document M41-A (ISBN 1-56238-623-9). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2006.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the healthcare community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI/NCCLS documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog, which is distributed to member organizations, and to nonmembers on request. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org

Copyright ©2006 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, neither this publication nor any portion thereof may be adapted, copied or otherwise reproduced, by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without prior written permission from Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute ("CLSI").

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, contact the Executive Vice President, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898, USA.

Suggested Citation

(Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Viral Culture; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document M41-A [ISBN 1-56238-623-9]. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2006.)

Proposed Guideline

January 2006

Second Printing

February 2006

Approved Guideline

November 2006

ISBN 1-56238-623-9

ISSN 0273-3099

Committee Membership

Area Committee on Microbiology

Mary Jane Ferraro, PhD, MPH
Chairholder
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts

James H. Jorgensen, PhD
Vice-Chairholder
University of Texas Health
Science Center
San Antonio, Texas

Donald R. Callihan, PhD
BD Diagnostic Systems
Sparks, Maryland

Freddie Mae Poole
FDA Center for Devices and
Radiological Health
Rockville, Maryland

David L. Sewell, PhD
Veterans Affairs Medical Center
Portland, Oregon

Thomas R. Shryock, PhD
Elanco Animal Health
Greenfield, Indiana

Jana M. Swenson, MMSc
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

Michael L. Wilson, MD
Denver Health Medical Center
Denver, Colorado

Advisors

Ellen Jo Baron, PhD
Stanford University Hospital &
Medical School
Stanford, California

Lynne S. Garcia, MS
LSG and Associates
Santa Monica, California

Richard L. Hodinka, PhD
Children's Hospital of
Philadelphia
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Michael A. Pfaller, MD
University of Iowa College of
Medicine
Iowa City, Iowa

Robert P. Rennie, PhD
University of Alberta Hospitals
Edmonton, Canada

Melvin P. Weinstein, MD
Robert Wood Johnson Medical
School
New Brunswick, New Jersey

Gail L. Woods, MD
University of Arkansas for
Medical Sciences
Little Rock, Arkansas

Subcommittee on Viral Culture

Lorraine M. Clarke, PhD
Chairholder
New York State Dept. of Health
Albany, New York

Holly Alexander, PhD
Via Christi Regional Medical
Center
Wichita, Kansas

Mary Beth Baker, MS, SV(ASCP),
RM(AAM)
Spokane, Washington

Matthew J. Bankowski, PhD,
D(ABMM)
Diagnostic Laboratory Services,
Inc.
Honolulu, Hawaii

Carol Kirk
Wisconsin State Laboratory of
Hygiene
Madison, Wisconsin

Michael J. Loeffelholz, PhD,
D(ABMM)
ViroMed Laboratories
Minnetonka, Minnesota

James B. Mahony, PhD
St. Joseph's Hospital
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

D. Kathleen Wright
FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health
Rockville, Maryland

Advisors

Martin R. Evans, PhD
Verlander, Evans and Associates
Oyster Bay, New York

Patricia C. Harris, MS, SM(AAM)
Diagnostic Hybrids, Inc.
Henderson, Nevada

Richard L. Hodinka, PhD
Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Robyn L. McGuire, PhD
Southern California Region Blood
Services
Los Angeles, California

Marilyn A. Menegus, PhD
University of Rochester Medical
Center
Rochester, New York

Linda L. Minnich, MS, SM
Charleston Area Medical Center
Charleston, West Virginia

Steven Specter, PhD
University of South Florida College
of Medicine
Tampa, Florida

Ella M. Swierkosz, PhD
St. Louis University School of
Medicine
St. Louis, Missouri

Kenneth D. Thompson, PhD
The University of Chicago Medical
Center
Chicago, Illinois

Washington C. Winn, Jr., MD
Fletcher Allen Health Care
Burlington, Vermont

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
Wayne, Pennsylvania

John J. Zlockie, MBA
Vice President, Standards

Tracy A. Dooley, BS, MLT (ASCP)
Staff Liaison

Donna M. Wilhelm
Editor

Melissa A. Lewis
Assistant Editor

Acknowledgement

CLSI acknowledges the expert and his institution listed below for the “special review,” advice, and help in preparing the approved-level edition of this guideline:

Dean D. Erdman, Dr. P.H., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Contents

Abstract.....i

Committee Membership..... iii

Foreword..... vii

1 Scope..... 1

2 Introduction..... 1

3 Standard Precautions..... 1

4 Definitions 2

5 Monolayered Cell Cultures and Cell Culture Medium (Preanalytical).....3

 5.1 Cell Culture Variables Affecting Susceptibility to Viral Infection.....3

 5.2 Types of Culture Vessels5

 5.3 Assessment, Maintenance, and Quality Control of Uninoculated Monolayered Cell Cultures.....6

 5.4 Cell Culture Medium9

6 Specimens for Viral Culture (Preanalytical)..... 13

 6.1 General Principles..... 13

 6.2 Specimen Collection 13

 6.3 Transport and Storage19

 6.4 Assessment Criteria20

 6.5 Processing21

 6.6 Storage of Processed and Residual Specimens25

7 Viral Culture Using Monolayered Cell Cultures (Analytical)25

 7.1 Design of Viral Culture Algorithms26

 7.2 Inoculation Procedure29

 7.3 Incubation and Maintenance of Inoculated Cell Cultures.....31

 7.4 Examination of Inoculated Cell Culture Monolayers33

 7.5 Storage of Viral Isolates40

8 Quality Assessment.....40

 8.1 Summary of QI/QC Practices in This Document.....41

 8.2 Troubleshooting41

9 Postanalytical Considerations42

 9.1 Levels of Identification42

 9.2 Reporting Results.....42

 9.3 Limitations of Viral Culture.....42

References.....44

Appendix A. Equipment47

Appendix B. Appearance of Uninoculated Monolayers and CPE Produced by Selected Viruses49

Contents (Continued)

Summary of Consensus and Delegate Comments and Subcommittee Responses.....	53
Quality System Approach	60
Related CLSI/NCCLS Publication.....	61

Foreword

This document provides guidance for viral culture and identification procedures that are typically performed in the clinical virology laboratory setting using commercially available reagents and monolayered cell cultures and does not include procedures for isolation of agents requiring specialized cell culture systems or procedures for their cultivation. The nature of the cell culture system is one that is inherently variable and thus remains susceptible to numerous adverse conditions that can lead to unreliable results. Given this, and the number of other variables associated with culture procedures, it would be impossible to identify a consensus guideline that would not be restrictive. This document is intended to identify the many variables associated with viral culture procedures and to provide guidance regarding several critical elements that must be addressed in devising a viral culture procedure. The critical elements of a viral culture procedure include specimen collection, processing, and inoculation; cell culture selection, assessment, maintenance, and quality control; isolate detection and identification; and reporting and interpretation of test results, including information regarding potential limitations of the procedure.

This document includes procedures and guidance that are appropriate for different laboratory settings ranging from those offering a limited culture menu (e.g., herpes simplex virus [HSV]), to those performing more comprehensive viral culture. The intended audience includes not only laboratories already performing viral culture but also those that may have hesitated to introduce viral culture because of perceived difficulties of the method. This document also includes cautionary notes related to recent safety concerns regarding BSL-3 and BSL-4 agents that have been identified as either potential biologic threats (e.g., variola) or emergent infections (e.g., severe acute respiratory syndrome – coronavirus [SARS-CoV], avian influenza).

Key Words

Cell culture, viral culture, viral isolation

Viral Culture; Approved Guideline

1 Scope

This document focuses on viral culture and identification procedures that are typically performed in the clinical virology laboratory setting using commercially available monolayered cell cultures and reagents. Guidance for specimen collection, processing, and inoculation; cell culture selection, assessment, maintenance, and quality control; isolate detection and identification; and reporting and interpretation of test results, including information regarding potential limitations of the procedure, are outlined. The intended audience includes laboratories performing either limited or comprehensive viral culture procedures, as well as those that are considering introduction of viral culture.

2 Introduction

Comprehensive diagnostic virology laboratories typically utilize a combination of culture and nonculture techniques for the detection of viral agents in clinical samples. Historically, culture methods were considered cumbersome and complex, with turnaround times that precluded their clinical utility. In addition, many microbiology laboratories have hesitated to incorporate viral culture into their test menus because of the perceived difficulties associated with handling cell cultures and identifying viral isolates. However, the commercial availability of high quality cell cultures, culture media, and culture confirmation reagents has served to expand the availability of viral cultures.

The nature of the cell culture system is one that is inherently variable and thus remains susceptible to numerous adverse conditions that can lead to unreliable results. In addition, a viral cell culture procedure may vary depending on the needs of a particular setting and the availability of complementary procedures. These variables underscore the need to develop a procedure that adequately addresses the critical elements necessary for performing a reliable viral culture procedure. This guidance document is thus intended to provide recommendations for optimizing culture results. This document also includes cautionary notes related to recent safety concerns regarding BSL-3 and BSL-4 agents that have been identified as either potential biologic threats (e.g., variola) or emergent infections (e.g., SARS-CoV, avian influenza), and that can replicate in cell lines typically employed by the diagnostic laboratory.

3 Standard Precautions

Because it is often impossible to know what isolates or specimens might be infectious, all patient and laboratory specimens are treated as infectious and handled according to “standard precautions.” Standard precautions are guidelines that combine the major features of “universal precautions and body substance isolation” practices. Standard precautions cover the transmission of all infectious agents and thus are more comprehensive than universal precautions, which are intended to apply only to transmission of blood-borne pathogens. Standard and universal precaution guidelines are available from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Garner JS, Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *Guideline for Isolation Precautions in Hospitals*. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. CDC. 1996;17(1):53-80). For specific precautions for preventing the laboratory transmission of all infectious agents from laboratory instruments and materials and for recommendations for the management of exposure to all infectious disease, refer to the most current edition of CLSI document M29—*Protection of Laboratory Workers From Occupationally Acquired Infections*. Categorization of biologic agents according to their biosafety level (BSL) and a detailed description of recommended facilities, practices, and protective equipment for the various levels are available at <http://www.cdc.gov/od/ohs/biosfty/bmbl4/bmbl4s3.htm> (Public Health Service, CDC and NIH. *Biosafety*